

St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork – Ground Investigation

Client:

Reddy Architecture + Urbanism *For services for the LDA*

Client's Representative: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers

Report No.:

Date:

Status:

20-0105

July 2020

Final for Issue

Causeway Geotech Ltd 8 Drumahiskey Road, Ballymoney Co. Antrim, N. Ireland, BT53 7QL +44 (0)28 2766 6640 info@causewaygeotech.com www.causewaygeotech.com

stered in Northern Ireland. Company Number: NI610766 Approved: ISO 9001 • ISO 14001 • OHSAS 18001





CONTENTS

Document Control Sheet

Note on: Methods of describing soils and rocks & abbreviations used on exploratory hole logs

1	AUT	HORITY	5
2	SCO	PE	5
3	DES	CRIPTION OF SITE	5
4	SITE 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7	OPERATIONS Summary of site works Boreholes	6 6 7 8 8 8
5	LAB0 5.1 5.2	ORATORY WORK Geotechnical laboratory testing of soils Environmental laboratory testing of soils	9 9
6	GRO 6.1 6.2 6.3	UND CONDITIONS General geology of the area Ground types encountered during investigation of the site Groundwater	10 10
7	DISC 7.1 7.2 7.3	USSION Proposed construction Recommendations for construction 7.2.1 Summary 7.2.2 Soil strength parameters 7.2.3 Foundations and ground floor construction 7.2.4 Floor slabs 7.2.5 Excavations for services 7.2.6 Soil aggressivity Infiltration drainage Infiltration drainage	11 11 12 12 13 14 14
8	REFI	ERENCES	15





APPENDICES

Appendix A	Site and exploratory hole location plans
Appendix B	Borehole logs
Appendix C	Core photographs
Appendix D	Trial pit logs
Appendix E	Trial pit photographs
Appendix F	Infiltration test results
Appendix G	Groundwater and gas monitoring
Appendix H	Geotechnical laboratory test results
Appendix I	Environmental laboratory test results
Appendix J	PRA/GQRA
Appendix K	SPT hammer energy measurement report





Document Control Sheet

Report No.:		20-0105								
Project Title:		St. Kevin's Asylı	ım, Cork							
Client:		Reddy Architecture + Urbanism (<i>For services for the LDA</i>)								
Client's Repres	sentative:	Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers								
Revision:	A02	Status:Final for IssueIssue Date:08 July 2020								
Prepared by:	•	Reviewed by:	•	Approved by:						
hia	Cors.	Jam O l	lup.	Jam Or UND-7.						
Sean Ross BSc MSc MIEI		Darren O'Mahor BSc MSc MIEI E		Darren O'Mahony BSc MSc MIEI EurGeol PGeo						

The works were conducted in accordance with:

British Standards Institute (2015) BS 5930:2015, Code of practice for site investigations.

BS EN 1997-2: 2007: Eurocode 7 - Geotechnical design - Part 2 Ground investigation and testing.

Geotechnical Society of Ireland (2016), Specification & Related Documents for Ground Investigation in Ireland

Laboratory testing was conducted in accordance with:

British Standards Institute BS 1377:1990 parts 2, 4, 5, 7 and 9



METHODS OF DESCRIBING SOILS AND ROCKS

Soil and rock descriptions are based on the guidance in BS5930:2015, The Code of Practice for Site Investigation.

U	Nominal 100mm diameter undisturbed open tube sample (thick walled sampler).
UT	Nominal 100mm diameter undisturbed open tube sample (thin walled sampler).
Р	Nominal 100mm diameter undisturbed piston sample.
В	Bulk disturbed sample.
LB	Large bulk disturbed sample.
D	Small disturbed sample.
С	Core sub-sample (displayed in the Field Records column on the logs).
L	Liner sample from dynamic sampled borehole.
W	Water sample.
ES / EW	Soil sample for environmental testing / Water sample for environmental testing.
SPT (s)	Standard penetration test using a split spoon sampler (small disturbed sample obtained).
SPT (c)	Standard penetration test using 60 degree solid cone.
(x,x/x,x,x,x)	Blows per increment during the standard penetration test. The initial two values relate to the seating drive (150mm and the remaining four to the 75mm increments of the test length.
(Y for Z/ Y for Z)	Incomplete standard penetration test where the full test length was not achieved. The blows 'X' represent the tota blows for the given seating or test length 'Z' (mm).
N=X	SPT blow count 'N' given by the summation of the blows 'X' required to drive the full test length (300mm).
HVP / HVR	In situ hand vane test result (HVP) and vane test residual result (HVR). Results presented in kPa.
V VR	Shear vane test (borehole). Shear strength stated in kPa.V: undisturbed vane shear strengthVR: remoulded vane shear strength
Soil consistency description	In cohesive soils, where samples are disturbed and there are no suitable laboratory tests, N values may be used to indicate consistency on borehole logs – a median relationship of Nx5=Cu is used (as set out in Stroud & Butler 1975)
dd-mm-yyyy	Date at the end and start of shifts, shown at the relevant borehole depth. Corresponding casing and water depths shown in the adjacent columns.
\bigtriangledown	Water strike: initial depth of strike.
▼	Water strike: depth water rose to.
Abbreviations relating	g to rock core – reference Clause 36.4.4 of BS 5930: 2015
TCR (%)	Total Core Recovery: Ratio of rock/soil core recovered (both solid and non-intact) to the total length of core run.
SCR (%)	Solid Core Recovery: Ratio of solid core to the total length of core run. Solid core has a full diameter, uninterrupted b natural discontinuities, but not necessarily a full circumference and is measured along the core axis between natural fractures.
RQD (%)	Rock Quality Designation: Ratio of total length of solid core pieces greater than 100mm to the total length of core run
FI	Fracture Index: Number of natural discontinuities per metre over an indicated length of core of similar intensity of fracturing.
NI	Non Intact: Used where the rock material was recovered fragmented, for example as fine to coarse gravel size particles
AZCL	Assessed zone of core loss: The estimated depth range where core was not recovered.
DIF	Drilling induced fracture: A fracture of non-geological origin brought about by the rock coring.
(xxx/xxx/xxx)	Spacing between discontinuities (minimum/average/maximum) measured in millimetres.





St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Report

1 AUTHORITY

On the instructions of Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers, ("the Client's Representative"), acting on the behalf of Reddy Architecture + Urbanism ("the Client"), a ground investigation was undertaken at the above location to provide geotechnical and environmental information for input to the design and construction of a proposed residential development.

This report details the work carried out both on site and in the geotechnical and chemical testing laboratories; it contains a description of the site and the works undertaken, the exploratory hole logs and the laboratory test results. A discussion on the recommendations for construction is also provided.

All information given in this report is based upon the ground conditions encountered during the site investigation works, and on the results of the laboratory and field tests performed. However, there may be conditions at the site that have not been taken into account, such as unpredictable soil strata, contaminant concentrations, and water conditions between or below exploratory holes. It should be noted that groundwater levels usually vary due to seasonal and/or other effects and may at times differ to those recorded during the investigation. No responsibility can be taken for conditions not encountered through the scope of work commissioned, for example between exploratory hole points, or beneath the termination depths achieved.

This report was prepared by Causeway Geotech Ltd for the use of the Client and the Client's Representative in response to a particular set of instructions. Any other parties using the information contained in this report do so at their own risk and any duty of care to those parties is excluded.

2 SCOPE

The extent of the investigation, as instructed by the Client's Representative, included boreholes, trial pits, soil and rock core sampling, environmental sampling, in-situ and laboratory testing, and the preparation of a report on the findings including recommendations for construction.

3 DESCRIPTION OF SITE

As shown on the site location plan in Appendix A, the works were conducted on the site of St. Kevin's Asylum, Shanakiel located 1km immediately west of Cork City on the northern bank of the River Lee. The site is bounded by the Atkins Hall development to the west, St. Annes Pitch and Putt club to the North, Irish Water storage and residential units to the East, and the Old Cork Waterworks to the south.





The site comprises several abandoned buildings which will be demolished as part of the development and is falls rapidly in elevation from north to south towards the River Lee.

4 SITE OPERATIONS

4.1 Summary of site works

Site operations, which were conducted between 2nd March and 26th March 2020, comprised:

- ten light cable percussion boreholes;
- three boreholes by rotary follow-on methods;
- a standpipe installation in one borehole;
- twenty machine dug trial pits; and
- an infiltration test performed in five trial pits.

The exploratory holes and in-situ tests were located as instructed by the Client's Representative, as shown on the exploratory hole location plan in Appendix A.

4.2 Boreholes

A total of ten boreholes were put down in a minimum diameter of 150mm through soils and rock strata to their completion depths by a combination of methods, including light percussion boring using a Dando Terrier rig and rotary drilling by a Comacchio 205 tracked rotary drilling rigs.

The borehole logs state the methodology and plant used for each location, as well as the appropriate depth ranges.

A summary of the boreholes, subdivided by category in accordance with the methods employed for their completion, is presented in the following sub-sections.

4.2.1 Dynamic sampled boreholes

Seven boreholes (BH02, BH03, BH0 and BH07-BH10) were put down to completion by light percussion boring techniques using a Dando Terrier dynamic sampling rig. The boreholes were put down initially in 150mm diameter, reducing in diameter with depth as required, down to 50mm by use of the smallest sampler.





Hand dug inspection pits were carried out between ground level and depths ranging from 0.50 -1.20m to ensure boreholes were put down clear of services or subsurface obstructions. The boreholes were taken to depths ranging between 0.50m and 10.00m where they were terminated on encountering virtual refusal on obstructions above this depth.

Disturbed (bulk and small bag) samples were taken within the encountered strata.

Standard penetration tests were carried out in accordance with BS EN 22476-3:2005+A1:2011 at standard depth intervals using the split spoon sampler ($SPT_{(s)}$) or solid cone attachment ($SPT_{(c)}$). The penetrations are stated for those tests for which the full 150mm seating drive or 300mm test drive was not possible. The *N*-values provided on the borehole logs are uncorrected and no allowance has been made for energy ratio corrections. The SPT hammer energy measurement report is provided in Appendix K.

Groundwater was not noted during drilling at any of the locations.

Appendix B presents the borehole logs.

4.2.2 Boreholes by combined percussion boring and rotary follow-on drilling

Three boreholes (BH01, BH04 and BH06) were put down by a combination of light cable percussion boring and rotary follow-on drilling techniques using a Comacchio 205 tracked drilling rig, with core recovery in bedrock. Where the light cable percussion borehole had not been advanced onto competent bedrock, symmetrix-cased full hole rotary percussive drilling techniques were employed to advance the boreholes to bedrock, after which rotary coring was employed to recover core samples of the bedrock.

Hand dug inspection pits were carried out between ground level and 1.20m depth to ensure boreholes were put down at locations clear of services or subsurface obstructions.

Disturbed (bulk and small bag) samples were taken within the encountered strata in the light cable percussion sections of the boreholes.

Standard penetration tests were carried out in accordance with BS EN 22476-3:2005+A1:2011 at standard depth intervals throughout the overburden using the split spoon sampler ($SPT_{(s)}$) or solid cone attachment ($SPT_{(c)}$). The penetrations are stated for those tests for which the full 150mm seating drive or 300mm test drive was not possible. The N-values provided on the borehole logs are uncorrected and no allowance has been made for energy ratio corrections. The SPT hammer energy measurement report is provided in Appendix K.

Where coring was carried out within bedrock strata, conventional coring methods were used with a metric T2-101 core barre, which produced core of nominal 84mm diameter, and was placed in triple channel wooden core boxes.





The core was subsequently photographed and examined by a qualified and experienced Engineering Geologist, thus enabling the production of an engineering log in accordance with *BS 5930: 2015: Code of practice for ground investigations*.

Appendix B presents the borehole logs, with core photographs presented in Appendix C.

4.3 Standpipe installations

A groundwater monitoring standpipe was installed in boreholes BH01.

Details of the installations, including the depth range of the response zone, are provided in Appendix B on the individual borehole logs.

4.4 Trial Pits

Twenty trial pits (TP01–TP20) were excavated using a 3t tracked excavator fitted with a 600mm wide bucket, to a maximum depth of 2.15m.

Disturbed (small jar and bulk bag) samples were taken at standard depth intervals and at change of strata. Environmental samples were taken at standard intervals each trial pit.

Groundwater was not noted during excavation of any of the trial pits. The stability of the trial pit walls was noted on completion.

Appendix D presents the trial pit logs with photographs of the pits and arising provided in Appendix E.

4.5 Infiltration tests

An infiltration/soakaway test was carried out at five locations (IF01- IF05) in accordance with BRE Digest 365 - Soakaways (BRE, 2016). The tests were conducted in similarly numbered trial pits.

Appendix F presents the results and analysis of the infiltration test.

4.6 Groundwater and ground gas monitoring

Following completion of site works, groundwater and ground gas monitoring was conducted on four rounds. Ground water monitoring was carried out using a water interface probe. Ground gas measurements were carried out using a GA5000 gas meter.

The monitoring records are presented in Appendix G.





4.7 Surveying

The as-built exploratory hole positions were surveyed following completion of site operations by a Site Engineer from Causeway Geotech. Surveying was carried out using a Trimble R6 GPS system employing VRS and real time kinetic (RTK) techniques.

The plan coordinates (Irish National Grid) and ground elevation (mOD Malin) at each location are recorded on the individual exploratory hole logs. The exploratory hole plan presented in Appendix A shows these asbuilt positions.

5 LABORATORY WORK

Upon their receipt in the laboratory, all disturbed samples were carefully examined and accurately described and their descriptions incorporated into the borehole logs.

5.1 Geotechnical laboratory testing of soils

Laboratory testing of soils comprised:

• **soil classification:** moisture content measurement, Atterberg Limit tests and particle size distribution analysis.

Laboratory testing of soils samples was carried out in accordance with British Standards Institute: *BS 1377, Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes; Part 1 (2016), and Parts 2-9 (1990).*

The test results are presented in Appendix H.

5.2 Environmental laboratory testing of soils

Environmental testing, as specified by the Client's Representative was conducted on selected environmental soil samples by Chemtest at its laboratory in Newmarket, Suffolk.

Testing was carried out for a range of determinants, including:

- Metals
- Speciated total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH)
- Speciated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)
- Cyanides
- Asbestos screen
- pH.





Results of environmental laboratory testing are presented in Appendix I.

The results of the above tests were analysed by environmental consultant McCloy Consulting and a GQRA compiled which is presented in Appendix J.

6 GROUND CONDITIONS

6.1 General geology of the area

Published geological mapping indicate the superficial deposits underlying the site comprise Made Ground and Glacial Till. These deposits are underlain by sandstones and mudstones of the Cuskinny Member (Kinsale Formation)

6.2 Ground types encountered during investigation of the site

A summary of the ground types encountered in the exploratory holes is listed below, in approximate stratigraphic order:

- **Paved surface:** BH04 encountered 100mm of bitmac.
- **Topsoil:** encountered across the site with a thickness range of 50-300mm.
- **Made Ground (sub-base):** approximately 400mm of aggregate fill beneath the paved surface in BH04.
- Made Ground (fill): reworked sandy gravelly clay or sandy silty/clayey gravel encountered across the site, greatest in extent in the south of the site at TP18 to a depth of 2.15m. Varying amounts of glass, red brick, waste pipe, concrete wood and steel were encountered across the site.
- **Glacial Till:** sandy gravelly clay, frequently with low cobble content, typically firm or stiff in upper horizons, becoming very stiff with increasing depth. Generally only found in the south of the site.
- **Weathered bedrock**: generally, very dense encountered as sandy silty/clayey gravel encountered across the site to a maximum depth of 6.00m in BH06.
- **Bedrock (Sandstone):** Rockhead was encountered at depths ranging from 2.30m in BH01 to 6.00m in BH06.





6.3 Groundwater

Groundwater was not noted during drilling at any of the borehole. However, it should be noted that the casing used in supporting the borehole walls during drilling may have sealed out any groundwater strikes and the possibility of encountering groundwater during excavation works should not be ruled out.

It should be noted that any groundwater strikes within bedrock may have been masked by the fluid used as the drilling flush medium.

Groundwater was not encountered during excavation of any of the trial pits.

Seasonal variation in groundwater levels should also be factored into design considerations and continued monitoring of the installed standpipes will give an indication of the seasonal variation.

7 **DISCUSSION**

7.1 Proposed construction

It is proposed to construct a new residential development which will comprise a mix of townhouses, duplexes and apartments and associated infrastructure.

No further details were available to Causeway Geotech at the time of preparing this report and any designs based on the recommendations or conclusions within this report should be completed in accordance with the current design codes, taking into account the variation and the specific details contained within the exploratory holes. Causeway Geotech were commissioned to provide a geotechnical report, and it is outwith our remit to advise on structure design.

7.2 Recommendations for construction

7.2.1 Summary

Based on the presence of very dense gravel/weathered bedrock or stiff glacial till at relatively shallow depths across the footprint of the proposed building, the implementation of traditional shallow (spread) foundations (strip/pad and trench fill) are considered suitable.

However locally, the thickness of made ground and soft to firm glacial till deposits may make the implementation of traditional shallow (spread) foundations problematic and likely unsuitable. Consequently, the most practicable foundation solution across these areas involves the transfer of loading to depth by piling.





It should be noted that at the time of writing this report the full scope of works was not completed. It is recommended to return to site to complete rotary drilling in the south of the site to determine depth to bedrock.

7.2.2 Soil strength parameters

When estimating the shear strength of fine soils (silt/clay), reference is made to the results of Standard Penetration Tests (SPT's) carried out within the boreholes. The undrained shear strength of fine soils can be estimated using the correlation developed by Stroud & Butler:

 $C_u = f_1 \times N$

where f_1 is typically in the range 4 to 6. A median f_1 value of 5 is adopted for this report.

For granular soils (sand/gravel), a graphical relationship between SPT "N" value and angle of shearing resistance, φ , has been developed by Peck, Hanson and Thorburn. This is published in *Foundation Design and Construction* (Tomlinson, 2001) and is referenced in this report when deriving angles of shearing resistance for the gravel soils.

7.2.3 Foundations and ground floor construction

Foundations should transfer loading to below any Made Ground or subsoil. The recommended foundation construction and allowable bearing pressure (ABP) at the borehole locations are presented in Table 1.

Borehole	Depth below EGL* to suitable bearing stratum	Estimated ABP (kPa)	Strata description	Foundation type	Ground floor construction	Groundwater
BH01	0.10m	500	Weathered bedrock	Strip & Pad	Ground bearing	Not encountered
BH02	0.50m	300	Weathered bedrock	Strip & pad	Ground bearing	Not encountered
BH03	1.60m	300	Weathered bedrock	Strip & pad	Suspended	Not encountered
BH04	1.20m	500	Very dense GRAVEL	Strip & pad	Ground bearing	Not encountered
BH05	0.50m	300	Weathered bedrock	Strip & pad	Ground bearing	Not encountered

Table 1:	Construction	recommendations
----------	--------------	-----------------





Borehole	Depth below EGL* to suitable bearing stratum	Estimated ABP (kPa)	Strata description	Foundation type	Ground floor construction	Groundwater
BH06	3.70m	>500	Weathered bedrock	Trench fill	Suspended	Not encountered
BH07	1.20m	300	Weathered bedrock	Strip & pad	Suspended	Not encountered
BH08	3.00m	200	Stiff Glacial Till	Trench fill	Suspended	Not encountered
ВН09	1.20m	300	Very Stiff Glacial Till	Strip & pad	Suspended	Not encountered
BH10	1.20m	150	Stiff Glacial Till	Strip & pad	Sugnandad	Not encountered
DUID	5.00	500	Weathered bedrock	Piled	Suspended	

*Existing Ground Level

Based on the findings of the site investigation, spread foundations (strip/pad and trench fill) are considered suitable with estimated allowable bearing pressures between 300kPa and 500kPa at depths between 0.10m and 3.00m on very dense gravel/weathered bedrock or stiff glacial till

The base of foundation excavations should be thoroughly inspected and tested in accordance with the earthwork's specification; any soft or loose soils removed with the resultant void backfilled with ST1 concrete or engineered backfill. A consistent bearing stratum should be provided for any building unit to limit differential settlements.

Given the generally fine grained/cohesive nature of the soils throughout the proposed formation levels, excavations for foundations are likely to be relatively stable. However, any instability can be minimised by battering the side slopes at 2 vertical to 1 horizontal and by limiting the duration that the excavation is open. Groundwater control, where required, will be possible by pumping from sumps formed in the base of excavations.

7.2.4 Floor slabs

Floor slabs should not bear directly onto Made Ground or soft soils. Consequently, the use of ground bearing floor slabs is considered appropriate following the removal of any surface Made Ground and soft clay layers and their replacement using well-graded well-compacted granular fill. However, a suspended floor slab should be adopted where the difference in levels of the proposed floor and the base of Made Ground/soft soils is greater than 600mm.





Therefore, given the depth to the base of Made Ground and relative low strength of upper soil layers, a suspended floor slab may be required over parts of the site. The use of intermediate lines of support stub walls would reduce the spans required for flooring units.

7.2.5 Excavations for services

For the installation of services ducts/trenches, it is suggested that open trenching will be the most practicable construction method. Generally speaking, the ground conditions should render the use of open trenching by backhoe excavator possible, with some trench support required for the uppermost granular stratum.

Where working in open trenches, it is thought that trench support systems, by way of a trench box (or possibly sheet piles), will be required to maintain trench stability and safe working conditions. Groundwater control at these locations should be possible by means of sump pumping.

To preclude the eventuality of differential settlements in pipes, they should be laid on a consistent stratum of appropriate allowable bearing capacity and protected with appropriate fill cover.

Where ducts and chambers must be installed in areas where localised soft spots are encountered, the use of geogrid reinforcement along the base of the excavation on is recommended. This will stiffen the base of the trench and help control longitudinal differential settlement.

Backfilling of trenches may be completed by using compacted Cl 804 granular fill and reinstated as appropriate.

7.2.6 Soil aggressivity

An assessment of the Aggressive Chemical Environment for Concrete (ACEC) was undertaken through reference to the Building Research Establishment (BRE) Special Digest 1 (2017).

As noted by BRE Special Digest 1, sulphates in the soil and groundwater are the chemical agents most likely to attack concrete. The extent to which sulphates affect concrete is linked to their concentrations, the type of ground, the presence of groundwater, the type of concrete and the form of construction in which concrete is used.

BRE Special Digest 1 identifies four different categories of site which require specific procedures for investigation for aggressive ground conditions:

- Sites not subjected to previous industrial development and not perceived as containing pyrite;
- Sites not subjected to previous industrial development and perceived as containing pyrite;
- Brownfield sites not perceived as containing pyrite;
- Brownfield sites perceived as containing pyrite.





For the purposes of this report the site was classified as not having been subject to previous industrial development and not perceived as containing pyrite.

The results of chemical tests (pH and water soluble sulphate contents) on soil samples indicate Design Sulphate Class DS-1 and ACEC Class AC-1 – reference Table C1 of BRE Special Digest 1 (Building Research Establishment, 2005). The Special Digest does not require any measures to protect underground concrete elements greater that 140mm thick. The Special Digest requires additional design measures to be applied to increase protection from the elevated levels of sulphates and acidic soils present in parts of the site.

7.3 Infiltration drainage

In infiltration tests carried out in trial pits IF01, IF02 and IF05, the rate of infiltration was calculated ranging from 0.071 - 0.690m/hr. The rates of infiltration coupled with the soil descriptions imply that the subsoil may be considered suitable media for an infiltration drainage system. In IF04 the pit was unable to be filled due to the water draining away too fast. This would indicate that the stratum is highly permeable.

In IF03, the absence of the outflow from the pits precluded calculation of infiltration coefficients. The lowpermeability soils are therefore considered to be poor infiltration media and would be deemed unsuitable for the implementation of infiltration drainage systems.

Reference should be made the Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) design guidance, taking into account meteorological conditions and a hydrogeological assessment.

8 **REFERENCES**

Geotechnical Society of Ireland (2016), Specification & Related Documents for Ground Investigation in Ireland

IS EN 1997-2: 2007: Eurocode 7 - Geotechnical design - Part 2 Ground investigation and testing.

BS 1377: 1990: Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes. British Standards Institution.

BS 5930: 2015: Code of practice for ground investigations. British Standards Institution.

BS EN 1997-2: 2007: Eurocode 7 - Geotechnical design - Part 2 Ground investigation and testing. British Standards Institution.

BS EN ISO 14688-1:2018: Geotechnical investigation and testing. Identification and classification of soil. Part 1 Identification and description.

BS EN ISO 14688-2:2018: Geotechnical investigation and testing. Identification and classification of soil. Part 2 Principles for a classification.

BS EN ISO 14689-1:2018: Geotechnical investigation and testing. Identification and classification of rock. Identification and description.





BS EN ISO 22476-3:2005+A1:2011: Geotechnical investigation and testing. Field testing. Standard penetration test.

Building Research Establishment (2005) BRE Special Digest 1, Concrete in aggressive ground.

Building Research Establishment (2007), BRE Digest 365: Soakaways.







APPENDIX B BOREHOLE LOGS

Meth	8/ -	AUS G	EOT	EC	H	Base	(m)		0105	Client: Client's		velopment Nahony Co	Agency nsulting Engir	neers			BH01		
Light Per Rotary D Rotary 0	cussion Drilling	Dando Te Comacchi Comacchi	errier o 205	0	.00 .10 .50	0.1 2.5 5.5	LO 50	16492	7.73 E 3.41 N								Scale: 1: FINA		
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Fiel	d Record	s		Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend		Des	cription		1	Water	Backfill		
								63.46	0.10		\TOPSOIL Very dense greenish description)	a grey sandy c	layey subangula	r GRAVEL (Driller's	7		0.5 1.0 · 1.5 2.0 ·	
								61.26 61.06	2.30 2.50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Grey SANDSTONE (D Medium strong (loca			fine graine	d well	_		2.5	
			100 100	0 50	9				(1.30)		cemented grey SANI grey MUDSTONE. Pa closer fracture spaci discolouration. Discontinuities:	DSTONE inter artially weath ing with patcl	bedded with ve ered: slightly red hy dark orangish	ry thin bed duced stren brown	s of sark ngth,			3.0 -	
3.60		_			_			50.70			1. 10 to 20 degree b planar and slightly u	indulating, sn						3.5	
								59.76	3.80	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	staining on fracture 2. At 3.3m to 3.40m patchy dark orangisl	>85 degree j			ith	1		, 4.0	
			100 100	0 44	7				(0.70)		Medium strong (loca cemented grey SAN	ally weak) ve DSTONE inter	ry thinly bedded bedded with ve	fine graine ry thin bed	s of dark			*	
								59.06	4.50		grey mudstone. Part slightly closer fractu							4.5	
.10		-			10				(1.00)		staining. Discontinuities: 1. 0 to 15 degree joi	nts medium	spaced (100/235	5/300) plan	ar			5.0	
.50			100 85	30				58.06	5.50		smooth with faint an surfaces.	nd patchy ora	angish brown sta	ining on fra	acture			,	
								50.00			Medium strong (loca cemented grey SANI grey MUDSTONE. Pa closer fracture spaci discolouration.	DSTONE inter artially weath	bedded with ve ered: slightly red	ry thin bed duced strer	s of dark Igth,			6.0	
											Discontinuities: 1. 10 to 20 degree b planar, smooth with surfaces.							6.5	
											2.>85 degree joints rough with faint and surfaces. 5.40m to 5.50m: Discontin	d patchy dark	orangish brown					7.0	
																		8.0	
																		0.0	
																		8.5	
																		9.	
			TCR SCI	R RQD) FI											+			
uck at (m)	Water Casing to (m)	Strikes Time (min) I	Rose to	(m) I	From (elling To (m	Details		Remarks No ground [,]	water encountered.								
Casing I To (m)	Details Diam (mm)	Water A From (m)	Added To (m																
2.50	130		ווו) טו	<u>, </u>	Core	Barr	el	Flush	Type 1	Terminati	on Reason			Last Up	dated				

		EOTE	СН		20-	ect No. 0105	Client:	Land De	velopment A	Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Client: Land Development Agency Client's Rep: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers					
Method Light Percussio	Plant Us n Dando Ter		op (m) E 0.00	0.50		dinates 22.93 E	Final De	pth: 0.50 m	Start Date:	04/03/2020	Driller: JC		heet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:40		
				Casing Wate Depth Depth (m) (m)		28.17 N	Elevatio	n: 65.82 mOD	End Date:	04/03/2020	Logger: SR		FINAL		
(m) Te 0.20 - 0.30 B1 0.30 - 0.50 B2	pie / Field	30mm) Hammer SN = 0490 04-03-2020					Legend	TOPSOIL Soft light brown slig (Gravel is angular fir Very dense greenisl (GRAVEL of sandstor	ghtly sandy gra ne to coarse of h grey sandy si ne. Sand is fine	mixed lithologie Ity subangular f	es.	Water	Backfill		
	to (m) Time (min) R	ose to (m)			ng Detail		Remarks No groundv	vater encountered.							
Casing Detail To (m) Diam		dded To (m)													
								on Reason on possible bedrock			Last Updated		AGS		

•		GEOT	AY ECH				ct No. 0105	Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Client: Land Development Agency Client's Rep: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers		hole ID H03
Metho		Plant Used	Top (m)			Coord	linates		Shee	et 1 of 1
Light Percu	ussion	Dando Terrier	0.00	1.0	60		9.09 E 2.20 N	Final Depth: 1.60 m Start Date: 04/03/2020 Driller: JC Elevation: 65.21 mOD End Date: 04/03/2020 Logger: SR		le: 1:40 NAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	;	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend Description	Water	ackfill
0.20 - 1.20 1.20 - 1.60 1.60 1.60 - 1.98	B1 B2 D3 SPT (S)	N=49 (20,10/49 for 22 Hammer SN = 0490	5mm)		Dry	65.01 64.01 63.61	- 0.20 - 1.20 - 1.60	TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Soft to firm light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse of mixed lithologies. Soft to firm light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is angular to rounded fine to coarse of mixed lithologies. End of Borehole at 1.60m	-	0.5 1.0 - 1.5
1.60	50 D3 50 - 1.98 SPT (S) N=49 (20,10/49 for 225mm) Hammer SN = 0490									2.0 - 2.5 3.0 - 3.5 4.0 - 4.5 5.0 -
							- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			6.0 - 6.5 7.0 -
Casing D	asing to (m	r Strikes) Time (min) Rose to (Display="block"> Display="block" block" Display="block" block" Display="block" block" block" block Display="block" block" block" block block Display="block" block block" block block Display="block" block block block" block block block block block" block b			ellin _i To (g Details m) Tim		le marks		
								ermination Reason Last Updated , erminated on refusal. 04/06/2020		AGS

	C	G	E							ct No. 0105	Project Client: Client'		Borehole ID BH04				
Metho		Plant L				(m)			Coord	dinates	Final De	s	Sheet 1 of 2				
Light Percu Rotary Dr Rotarty C	rilling	Dando T Comacch Comacch	io 20)5	1.	00 20 00	1.2 5.(8.(00		19.82 E 55.55 N	Elevatio	NP	Scale: 1:50 FINAL				
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Fie	eld Rec	cords			Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend		Des	scription	Ч. п.		Backfill
0.10 - 0.50									58.32	0.10		MADE GROUND: Bi MADE GROUND: G		ndy angular to	subangular fine to		
0.50 - 1.20	B2								57.92	0.50		coarse GRAVEL of m	ixed litholog	ies with low cob	ble content. Sand		0.5
0.50 - 1.20	DZ								57.92	0.50		fine to coarse. Cobl Firm light brown sa				el is	0.5
												angular fine to med	ium of mixed	lithologies.			1.0
										1.20					00.0010		1.0
1.20 1.20 - 1.65	D3 SPT (S)	N=44 (20,10/	/9,11,	12,12	2)			Dry	57.22			Very dense greenish description)	n grey sandy o	clayey subangula	ar GRAVEL (Driller	s	
		Hammer SN :			,					Ē							1.5
1.20		05-03-2020						Dry		Ē							
										Ē							2.0
										Ē							
										Ē							2.5
										Ē							
										E		-					3.0
												-					
																	3.5
																	4.0
										Ē							
									53.92	4.50							4.5
									55.52	4.50		Greenish grey SAND	STONE (Drille	er's description)			
										E 5 00							
						6			53.42	- 5.00		Medium strong thin cemented SANDSTC		•	-		5.0
			100	69	13	>20				(0.50)		strength, closer frac					
			-			10			52.92	5.50 (0.30)		discolouration. Discontinuities:				l	5.5
5.80					-	-			52.62	5.80		1.>80 degree joint f				/	
			100	51	0	13				(0.47)		patchy orangish bro Medium strong (loc					6.0
			100	71					52.15	6.27		cemented SANDSTC	NE interbed	ded with very th	in beds of mudsto	one.	
5.50					├	18				Ē		Partially weathered faint patchy orangis			cture spacing with	י 📗	6.5
			100	80	50	8				(0.83)		Discontinuities:			and (100/200)		
				55		Ľ			51.32	7.10		1. 55 to 70 degree b >500) slightly undul	-				7.0
7.20			\vdash		-	11			91.3Z	1.10		staining on fracture Weak (locally mediu	surfaces.	-			
						\vdash				(0.90)		MUDSTONE interbe	dded with ve	ry thin beds of s	sandstone. Partial	· 11	7.5
			100	43	0	18				Ē		weathered: slightly with purplish browr			oser fracture spaci	ng	
3.00									50.42	8.00		Discontinuities:					8.0
												 75 to 90 degree b slightly undulating, 	-			re	
										Ē		surfaces.		· ·			8.5
												Medium strong thin SANDSTONE. Partial					
										Ē		fracture spacing wit Discontinuities:	h faint and p	atchy orangish t	prown discolourati	on.	9.0
												1. 35 to 45 degree b	edding fracti	ures closely space	ced (90/115/290)		9.0
	<u> </u>		TCR	SCR	RQD	FI				Ĺ							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Strikes							, Details		Remarks						
		Time (min)			n) F	<u>rom (</u>	<u>m)</u>	То (і	m) Tim	ie (hh:mm) p	No ground	water encountered.					
Casing D To (m) D	etails Piam (mm)	Water From (m)		ed (m)													
5.00	130			- <u>(</u> 11)													
						Core	Barr	el	Flush	Туре	F erminati	ion Reason			Last Updated		
						-	-101			ter -	Forminator	d at scheduled depth.			04/06/2020		AGS

										ect No. 0105	Project Client: Client's		velopment		ieers	B	Borehole I BH04		
Meth ight Perc Rotary D	cussion	Plant Dando ⁻ Comacch	Terrie	er	0.	(m) 00 20	1	e (m) 20 00		dinates 19.82 E	Final De	pth: 8.00 m	Start Date:	05/03/2020	Driller: JC+R	S	Sheet 2 o Scale: 1		
Rotarty (Coring	Comaccl	nio 20	05	5.	00		00	7176	55.55 N	Elevatio	n: 58.42 mOD	End Date:	26/03/2020	Logger: SR+M		FINA	L	
Depth (m)	Samples	/ Field Records	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend			cription		Water	Backfill		
	Casing to (m	Strikes	Rose			FI		selling To (g Details m) Tim		Remarks	Medium strong thin SANDSTONE. Partial fracture spacing wit Discontinuities: 1. 35 to 45 degree bi orangish brown stai Medium strong (loc. cemented grey SAN grey MUDSTONE. Pa- closer fracture spaci discolouration. Discontinuities: 1. 10 to 20 degree bi slightly undulating, fracture surfaces. 2. At 7.25m to 8.00r patchy orangish bro 7.25m to 7.57m: 90 quart	lly weathered th faint and pa- bedding fractur smooth with or oint at 6045m ning on fractur ally weak) ver DSTONE inter artially weath- ing with faint bedding fractur smooth with pa- m: 85 to 90 de wen staining. z vein	: slightly reduced ttchy orangish br res closely space orangish brown : to 6.65m: undu tre surface. y thinly bedded bedded with ver ered: slightly red and patchy oran res medium spa patchy orangish	d strength, closer own discolourati ed (90/115/290) staining on fractu lating, rough with fine grained well y thin beds of da luced strength, gish brown ced (95/225/230 brown staining or	on. re n rk		9.3 10.0 10.1 11.0 11.1 12.0 12.0 13.0 14.0 13.1 14.0 15.0 16.0 16.1 15.1 16.0 16.1 17.0 18.1 18.1	
Casing D Io (m) D 5.00 D	Details Diam (mm) 130	Water From (m)	-	ed o (m)		Core	Barı	rel	Flush	Type	Terminati	on Reason			Last Updated				
							-101		Wa			at scheduled depth.			04/06/2020		A	G	

Meth		GEOT Plant Used	ECH	Bass	(m)	20-0	ct No. D105 linates	Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Client: Land Development Agency Client's Rep: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers		Borehole IE BH05
Light Perc		Dando Terrier	0.00	0.8		16492	0.21 E	inal Depth: 0.80 m Start Date: 05/03/2020 Driller: JC Ilevation: 53.90 mOD End Date: 05/03/2020 Logger: SR		Sheet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:40 FINAL
Depth	Sample / Tests	Field Record	s	Casing Depth	Water Depth (m)	Level	Depth (m)	Idevation: 53.90 mOD End Date: 05/03/2020 Logger: SR Legend Description	Water	
(m)				(m)	(m)		-	TOPSOIL	3	
0.30 - 0.80	B1					53.60	- 0.30 - -	Very dense light brown sandy clayey angular fine to medium GR of sandstone. Sand is fine to coarse.	AVEL	0.5
0.80 - 1.12		N=50 (11,13/50 for 17 Hammer SN = 0490	70mm)		Dry	53.10	- - 0.80	End of Borehole at 0.80m		
.80		05-03-2020			Dry		-			1.0
							-			1.5
							-			
							-			2.0
							-			2.5
							-			
							-			3.
							-			3.
							-			4.
							-			4.1
							-			4.
							-			5.
							-			
							-			5.5
							-			6.
							-			
							-			6.
							-			7.0
							-			
ruck at (m) (r Strikes) Time (min) Rose to	(m) From		elling To (I	, Details m) Tim		marks groundwater encountered.	I	
	0 - (<u> </u>					
Coolis - I										
Casing I To (m)	Details Diameter	Water Added From (m) To (m)							
								mination Reason Last Update	d	AG

										ct No.	Project	Name: St. Kevin	's Asylum, (Cork		Borehole	e ID
	\mathcal{B}		GEC		A ECI	Y H			20-0	0105	Client:		velopment			BHO	5
								()			Client's	Rep: Barrett N	Mahony Cor	nsulting Engi	ineers		
Meth Light Perc		Plant Dando				(m) 00	Base 4.0		Coord	linates	Final De	pth: 9.50 m	Start Date:	04/03/2020	Driller: JC+RS	Sheet 1 c Scale: 1:	
Rotary D Rotary C	-	Comacch Comacch				00 50	6.5 9.5			50.16 E 92.20 N	Elevatio	P FINAI					
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Fi	eld Re	cords			Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend		Des	cription		Backfill	
).20 - 1.20	B1								63.07	0.20		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: So	oft to firm bro	wn slightly san	dy gravelly CLAY		
												Sand is fine to coars coarse of mixed lith	se. Gravel is s	• •			0.
1.20 1.20 - 2.00 1.20 - 1.65	D6 B2 SPT (S)	N=14 (4,4/3, 0490	,2,4,5) Ham	nmer	SN =		Dry	62.07	1.20		MADE GROUND: Fi fine to coarse. Grav mixed lithologies.	-		• •		1.5
2.00 2.00 - 3.00	D7 B3	0450							61.27	2.00		MADE GROUND: So fine to coarse. Grav				is	2.0
2.00 - 2.45	SPT (S)	N=6 (1,1/2,1 0490	1,2,1)	Hamr	mer S	N =		Dry				lithologies.	ier is subangu		un of mixed		2.5
3.00 3.00 - 3.70 3.00 - 3.45	D8 B4 SPT (S)	N=5 (1,1/1,1	.,2,1)	Hamr	mer S	N =		Dry	60.27	3.00		Soft light brown slig Gravel is subangular					3.0
70 4 00	В5	0490							50 57	3.70		lithologies.					з.
.70 - 4.00		N 50 /5- 5		1-					59.57	5.70		Very dense greenish	n grey sandy c	layey GRAVEL (Driller's description)	4.
.00 - 4.11 .00	SPT (S)	N=50 (30 for 30mm) Ham 04-03-2020						Dry Dry									
		04-03-2020						DIY									4
																	5
																	5.
									57.27	6.00	•••••	Greenish grey SAND	STONE (Drille	r's description)	I		6.
			1						56.77	6.50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Medium strong (loc					6.5
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cemented SANDSTC MUDSTONE. Partial	ly weathered:	reduced stren	gth, closer fracture	/	7.
			100	73	0	4						spacing with patchy Discontinuities: 1. 0 to 15 degree be					
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	slightly undulating, surfaces.	-				7.
8.00										(3.00)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.80 to 90 degree f undulating, rough w					8
						>20						surfaces. 6.50m to 7.90m: 85 quart.	z vein	_			
			100	76	10						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						8
						11					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						9
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Wate	r Strikes	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Chise	elling	g Details		Remarks						
ruck at (m) C	Casing to (m) Time (min)	Rose	e to (r	n) Fi	rom (ı	m)	To (m) Tim	ie (hh:mm)	No groundv	vater encountered.					
Casing D	Details	Water	Add	ed													
	Diam (mm 130		-	o (m)													
						Core	Barre	el	Flush	Туре	Terminatio	on Reason			Last Updated		
						T2-	-101		Wa	ter	Terminated	at scheduled depth.			04/06/2020	AC	ג

•		GEC	DTE	ECI	Н			20-	ect No. 0105	Project Client: Client's		velopment		leers		Borehole I BH06	
Method Light Percussic Rotary Drilling		Terrie	er	Top 0.0 4.0	00	Base 4.0 6.!	00		dinates	Final De	pth: 9.50 m	Start Date:	04/03/2020	Driller: JC+	RS	Sheet 2 of Scale: 1:50	
Rotary Coring		nio 20)5	6.		9.!	50	717	92.20 N	Elevatio	63.27 mOD	End Date:	25/03/2020	Logger: SR+		FINAL	
Depth (m) Sau	mples / Field Records	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend			cription		Water	Backfill	
9.50 Utility of the second sec	s Water	Rose					elling To (53.77 g Detail: m) Tin		Remarks	Medium strong (loc cemented SANDSTC MUDSTONE. Partial spacing with patchy Discontinuities: 1. 0 to 15 degree be slightly undulating, surfaces. 2. 80 to 90 degree f undulating, rough w surfaces.	DNE interbedc ly weathered: r orangish bro edding fractur smooth with ractures wide vith patchy or	ed with very thin reduced strengt wn discolouratio es closely spaced orangish brown s ly spaced (650/1	n beds of dark g h, closer fractu n. I (35/155/480) staining fracture 000/1600)	rey		9.5 9.5 1.0 - 1.5 1.5 1.5 2.0 - 1.5 3.5 3.5 - 3.5 5.5 - 5.5 5.5 - - 5.5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5
6.50 13	0				Core	Barr	el	Flush			on Reason			Last Update	ł	AG	_

Metho		GEOT	ECH	Bass /	20	oject No. D-0105 ordinates	Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Client: Land Development Agency Client's Rep: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers	Borehole ID BH07
Light Perci		Dando Terrier	0.00	2.00	165	005.24 E 757.17 N	Final Depth: 2.00 m Start Date: 06/03/2020 Driller: JC Elevation: 60.22 mOD End Date: 06/03/2020 Logger: SR	Sheet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:40
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Record	s	Casing Wa Depth De (m) (r	oth		Legend Description	Backfill
0.30 - 1.20	B1				59.9	2 - 0.30	TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Soft light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sa is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coar of mixed lithologies.	nd
1.20 - 2.00 1.20 - 1.65	B2 SPT (S)	N=44 (4,5/8,8,14,14) SN = 0490	Hammer	D	59.0	2 1.20	Dense light brown sandy clayey angular fine to medium GRAVEL sandstone. Sand is fine to coarse.	1.0 Df
2.00 2.00 - 2.32 2.00		N=50 (8,13/50 for 168 Hammer SN = 0490 06-03-2020	3mm)	D		2 – 2.00	End of Borehole at 2.00m	2.0
						- - - - -		3.0
						- - - - -		3.5
						- - - -		4.5
						- - - - -		5.0
						- - - - -		6.0
						- - - -		6.5
						-		7.0
		r Strikes	(m) F ===		ing Deta		emarks	
Casing D)) Time (min) Rose to (Water Added From (m) To (m)		<u>(m)</u>	<u>ō (m)</u>	Time (hh:mm)	o groundwater encountered.	
							ermination Reason Last Update erminated on refusal. 04/06/2020	

			-							ct No.	Project	Name: St. Kevin	s Asylum, v	LUIK			ehole II
			EC		A	Y			20-(0105	Client:	Land Dev	velopment	Agency		E	BH08
		G	IEC								Client's	Rep: Barrett N	/lahony Cor	nsulting Engir	ieers		
Metho Light Perco Rotary Dr	ussion	Plant L Dando T Comacch	errie		Top 0.0 3.8	00	Base 3.8 5.0	30		11.25 E	Final De	pth: 7.00 m	Start Date:	05/03/2020	Driller: JC+SJ		et 1 of 1 ale: 1:50
Rotary Co	oring	Comacch	io 40	15	5.(00	7.0			53.55 N	Elevatio	n: 44.85 mOD	End Date:	21/05/2020	0 Logger: SR		INAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Fie	ld Rec	ords			Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend		Des	cription		Water	lackfill
.20 - 1.20	B1								44.65	0.20		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: So CLAY. Sand is fine to to coarse of mixed l	coarse. Grav				• • • • 0. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
.20 .20 - 2.00 .20 - 1.65		N=6 (2,2/1,1, 0490	.2,2) H	lamr	ner Sl	N =		Dry	43.65	1.20		MADE GROUND: Sc fine to coarse. Grav					
.00 .00 - 3.00 .00 - 2.45		N=13 (4,4/4,3 0490	3,3,3)	Ham	imer :	SN =		Dry	42.85	2.00		Firm light brown slig Gravel is angular fin					2.
.00 .00 - 3.80 .00 - 3.45		N=22 (4,5/5,0 0490	6,6,5)	Ham	imer	SN =		Dry	41.85	3.00		Stiff light brown slig Gravel is angular fin				• • •	3.
80 - 3.99 80		N=50 (25 for 115mm) Han 05-03-2020						Dry Dry	41.05	3.80		Brown clayey GRAV	EL with cobble	es (Driller's desci	ription)		4
									40.35	4.50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Grey weathered SAI	NDSTONE (Dri	ller's descriptior)		4
30			100 100	0	0				39.85	5.00		Weak thinly bedded Heavily weathered: with faint and patch fractures.	slightly reduc	ed strength, clos	ser fracture spacing		5
60 00			100 100	0	0	NI				(2.00)		Discontinuities:	- deline for the				6
20 50			100	0	0						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 35 to 45 degree b planar, smooth with Sub-vertical fractor 	brown staini ures probably	ng on fracture su medium spaced	urfaces.		e
00			100	85	70	3			37.85	7.00		blackish brown stair	-	re surface. shole at 7.00m		_	
																	5
																	8
																	8
	Water	Strikes	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Chie	alling	g Details		Remarks						
ıck at (m) Ca) Time (min)	Rose	to (n	n) Fr			To (vater encountered.					
Casing D To (m) D 5.00 D	e tails Diam (mm) 200	Water From (m)	_	e d (m)													
						Core	Barr	el	Flush	Туре	Terminati	on Reason			Last Updated		AG

										ect No.	Project	Name: St. Kevin	's Asylum, C	ork		B	orehole
			EO	V TF	A CF	1			20-	0105	Client:		velopment A				BH09
											Client's	Rep: Barrett N	Mahony Con:	sulting Engin	leers	_	
Metho Light Percu	ussion	Plant L Dando T	errier		0.0	00	Base 2.(00		dinates	Final De	pth: 5.70 m	Start Date:	05/03/2020	Driller: JC+SJ		heet 1 of Scale: 1:5
Rotary Dr Rotary Co	-	Comacch Comacch			2.0 5.0		5.0 5.7			38.04 E 57.60 N	Elevatio	n: 49.44 mOD	End Date:	21/05/2020	0 Logger: SR		FINAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Fie	ld Recor	ds			Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend		Descr	iption		Water	Backfill
.20 - 1.20	B1								49.24	0.20		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: So fine to coarse. Grav mixed lithologies.					
.20 .20 - 2.00 .20 - 1.65	D3 B2 SPT (S)	N=37 (9,8/8,9 SN = 0490	9,10,10)) Ha	mme	er		Dry	48.24	1.20		Dense light brown s coarse GRAVEL of m					
.00 .00 - 2.38 .00	D4 SPT (S)	N=50 (9,16/5 Hammer SN : 05-03-2020		25m	m)			Dry Dry	47.44	_ 2.00		Brown sandy gravel	ly CLAY with co	bbles (Driller's	description)		
									45.24	4.20		Grey weathered SAI	NDSTONE (Drill	er's description	n)		
.30 .70			100 (100 (0	NI			44.44 43.74	5.00 (0.70) 5.70		Weak thinly beddec Heavily weathered: brown discolouratic Discontinuities:	closer fracture	e spacing with f	aint and patchy		
										· · ·		1. 10 to 30 degree b planar, smooth with	n brown stainin	g on fracture su	urfaces.		
												2. Sub-vertical fract staining on fracture		5.70 planar, wit	h blackish brown		
													End of Boreł	nole at 5.70m			
			TCR S	CR	RQD	FI				-							
unik et <i>t</i>		Strikes	Poss t	. /				elling To (g Details		Remarks						
Casing D To (m) D	P etails Diam (mm	Water			<u>, rí</u>	<u>un (I</u>		(<u>, ((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((</u>	INO groundv	vater encountered.					
5.00	200				(Barr	el	Flush Wa			on Reason on scheduled depth.			Last Updated 04/06/2020		AG

		GEOT	AY ECH		20-	ect No. •0105	Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Client: Land Development Agency Client's Rep: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers	Borehole ID BH10
Metho Light Percu		Plant Used Dando Terrier	Top (m) 0.00	Base (m 5.00		dinates	Final Depth: 5.00 m Start Date: 05/03/2020 Driller: JC	Sheet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:40
						28.53 E 12.34 N	Elevation: 40.79 mOD End Date: 05/03/2020 Logger: SR	FINAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	;	Casing Wate Depth Dept (m) (m)	h	Depth (m)	Legend Description	Backfill
0.20 - 1.20	B1				40.59	- 0.20 - - - - -	TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Soft to firm dark brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to medium of mixed lithologies.	0.5 -
1.20 1.20 - 2.00 1.20 - 1.65	D6 B2 SPT (S)	N=18 (2,1/6,4,4,4) Har 0490	mmer SN =	Dry	39.59	- 1.20	Stiff dark brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse of mixed lithologies.	1.5 -
2.00 2.00 - 3.00 2.00 - 2.45	D7 B3 SPT (S)	N=10 (3,3/4,3,1,2) Har 0490	nmer SN =	Dry	38.79	- 2.00	Firm dark brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse of mixed lithologies.	2.0
3.00 3.00 - 4.00 3.00 - 3.45	D8 B4 SPT (S)	N=14 (2,1/3,3,4,4) Har 0490	nmer SN =	Dr	37.79	- - 3.00 - - -	Firm light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is angular to subangular fine to coarse of mixed lithologies.	
4.00 4.00 - 5.00 4.00 - 4.45	D9 B5 SPT (S)	N=31 (5,5/5,7,11,8) Ha = 0490	ammer SN	Dry	36.79	- - - 4.00 - -	Very stiff light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is angular fine to coarse of mixed lithologies of mixed lithologies.	
5.00 5.00 - 5.42 5.00	D10 SPT (S)	N=50 (19,19/50 for 27 Hammer SN = 0490 05-03-2020	5mm)	Dr <u>y</u> 0.0		- - - 5.00 - - -	End of Borehole at 5.00m	5.0
						- - - - - -		6.0
						- - - -		6.5 -
						-		7.0
	Wate	r Strikes		Chiselli	ng Detail	s	Remarks	
Casing D	asing to (m	i) Time (min) Rose to (No groundwater encountered.	
							Termination Reason Last Updated Terminated on refusal. 04/06/2020	MAGS



APPENDIX C CORE PHOTOGRAPHS

St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork

Report No.: 20-0105



BH01 Box 1 2.50-5.50m



BH04 Box 1 5.00-8.00m





BH06 Box 1 6.50-9.50m



BH08 Box 1 5.00-7.00m



BH09 Box 1 5.00-5.70m





APPENDIX D TRIAL PIT LOGS
				e ct No. -0105		t Name: in's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	SEWAY GEOTECH		dinates	Client:			-	TP01
	(SEOTECH				evelopment Agency			
Method:				78.43 E		s Representative:		S	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				32.53 N	Barrett	Mahony Consulting Engineers			icale: 1:25
Plant:				vation	Date:		Logger:		
3T Tracked Ex) mOD	02/03/	2020	RS		FINAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.05 0.40 0.40	ES1 B3 ES2		64.20 63.90	0.10		TOPSOIL Pinkish brown sandy very silty subangular fine to co sandstone with low cobble content. Sand is fine to subrounded of sandstone. End of trial pit at 0.40m	parse GRAVEL of coarse. Cobble are		-
									0.5
				- - - - - - - -					1.0
				- - - - - -					1.5 — - -
				-					2.0
				- - - - -					
				-					2.5
				- - - - - - -					3.0
				- - - - -					- 3.5 —
				- - - -					
									-
				- - - - -					- 4.5 — -
				- - - - - -					-
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 0.40 Width: 0.60 Length: 1.20	Rema No gr	arks: roundwate	l er encour	l tered			1
		Stability: Unstable		ination Re		bedrock	Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS

				e ct No. -0105		: Name: in's Asylum, Cork		ſ	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH		dinates	Client:			-	TP02
	G	EOTECH			Land D	evelopment Agency			
Method:				00.26 E 24.91 N		s Representative:			heet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting						Mahony Consulting Engineers		5	Scale: 1:25
Plant:				vation	Date:	2020	Logger:		FINAL
3T Tracked Ex Depth	cavator Sample /		65.7	L mOD Depth	02/03/	2020	RS	2	1
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD) 65.66	(m) - 0.05	Legend	Description		Water	
0.25	ES1		65.41	- 0.30		MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy slig with coarse gravel sized pieces of broken glass. San Gravel is subangular fine to coarse of mixed litholog Orangish brown sandy very clayey subangular fine to sandstone with low cobble content. Sand is fine to subangular of sandstone.	d is fine to coarse. gies. to coarse GRAVEL of		0.5
0.75 0.75	B3 ES2		64.96	0.75		End of trial pit at 0.75m			-
				- - - - - - -					1.0
				- - - - - -					
				-					
				-					
				-					2.5 —
				-					-
									3.0
				-					- - 3.5
				-					
				- - - -					4.0
				-					-
				- - - - -					4.5 —
				- - - -					-
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 0.75 Width: 0.60 Length: 1.70	Rema No gr	arks: roundwate	l er encour	l tered			1
		Stability: Stable		ination Re		pedrock	Last Updated 04/06/2020	V	AGS

	CALIC			ect No. -0105		: Name: n's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	SEWAY GEOTECH		dinates	Client:				ТР03
		BLOTLCH	1650	56.71 E		evelopment Agency			
Method:				32.76 N		s Representative:			neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting						Mahony Consulting Engineers		5	icale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Exc	cavator			vation 4 mOD	Date: 02/03/	2020	Logger: RS FIN		FINAL
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description	10	Water	
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	(m)	Legenu	TOPSOIL		Ň	
0.10	ES1		66.04	0.20					-
			00.04	0.20	م× ۰ م× ۱ م	Light orangish brown sandy silty subangular fine to sandstone with low cobble content. Sand is fine to			_
				-	°° °× ° ° × ° °× °	subrounded of sandstone.			
0.50 0.50	B3 ES2			-	م× ، م م× ، م				0.5 —
				-	• × • • • × •				_
			65.49	0.75	<u>~a ו ° ° ≪ 8</u>	End of trial pit at 0.75m		_	
				-					-
				-					1.0
				ŀ	1				-
				-	1				-
				-					1.5 —
				-					-
				-					-
				-					_
				-					2.0
				-					-
				-					-
				-					2.5 —
				-					_
				-					_
				-					-
				-					3.0
				-					-
				-					-
				-					3.5 —
				-					-
				-	1				-
				-					-
									4.0
				ŀ	1				-
				-	1				-
				-	1				-
				-	1				4.5 —
				-	1				-
				-	1				-
				-					
\M/ato	er Strikes		Rem	arks:					
Struck at (m)	Remarks	Depth: 0.75		roundwate	er encour	tered			
		Width: 0.60							
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	2500.		Last Updated	T	
		Stability.		inated on		aedrock	04/06/2020		AGS
		SLANIE	lerm	mateu ON	hossinie	JEULUEN	04/00/2020		

	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH	20-	ect No. -0105 dinates		: Name: n's Asylum, Cork		1	rial Pit ID
Method: Trial Pitting	G	EOTECH	- 1650 718	42.85 E 16.52 N	Client's	evelopment Agency Representative: Mahony Consulting Engineers			neet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Exc	cavator			vation 3 mOD	Date: 02/03/	2020	Logger: RS		FINAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.50	ES1		65.78	0.05		TOPSOIL Firm brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY. Sar Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse of mixed litholo			0.5
			65.23 65.13	0.60		Orange sandy very clayey subangular fine to coarse sandstone. Sand is fine to coarse. Pink sandy very silty subangular fine to coarse GRA low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Cobbles sandstone.	VEL of sandstone with		1.0
1.50 1.50	B3 ES2			-	م×، مح× ا م×، مح× ا				1.5 —
1.50	E32		64.23	1.60	<u>**.^: </u>	End of trial pit at 1.60m			-
				-					2.0
				-					-
				-					2.5 —
				- - - -					
				-					3.0
				-					-
				- - - - -					3.5 —
				-					_
				- - - -					4.0
				-					–
				- - - -					4.5 —
				- - - -					–
Wate Struck at (m)	Remarks	Depth: 1.60 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.50	Rema No gr	arks: roundwate	r encour	tered			
		Stability: Stable		ination Re		pedrock	Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS

				ect No. -0105		: Name: in's Asylum, Cork		1	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH		dinates	Client:				TP05
	G	EOTECH			Land D	evelopment Agency			
Method:				13.29 E 01.51 N		s Representative:		S	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting						Mahony Consulting Engineers		5	cale: 1:25
Plant:	vesueter			vation	Date:	2020	Logger:		FINAL
3T Tracked E: Depth	Sample /		Level	5 mOD Depth	02/03/		RS	r	
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	(m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.10	ES1 B3 ES2		64.66	0.30		TOPSOIL Light brown sandy silty subangular fine to coarse G with low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Co of sandstone.	RAVEL of sandstone bbles are subrounded		
1.50	ESZ		63.36	1.60	<u>ed X 1 - 10 e</u>	End of trial pit at 1.60m			2.0
				-					2.5
				- 					3.0
				- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -					4.0
				- - - - - - - - - - - - -					4.5 — –
				-					
Wat Struck at (m	ter Strikes	Depth: 1.60 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.30	Rema No gr	arks: roundwate	er encour	tered			
		Stability: Unstable		ination Re		pedrock	Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS

	CALLE			ect No. 0105		Name: n's Asylum, Cork		T	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH	Coord	dinates	Client:				ТР06
			1649	58.43 E		evelopment Agency			
Method:				18.90 N		Representative:			neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				vation		Mahony Consulting Engineers	Logger	S	cale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Ex	cavator			mod	Date: 02/03/	2020	Logger: RS		FINAL
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description		Water	
(m) 0.20 0.40	ES1 B3 ES2		(mOD) 63.08	(m) 		MADE GROUND: Greyish brown very sandy slightly subangular fine to coarse GRAVEL of mixed litholog content gravel sized pieces of waste pipe and concr coarse. Cobbles are subrounded of mixed lithologie End of trial pit at 0.40m	ies with low cobble rete. Sand is fine to		
0.40	ES2								0.5
				-					- - - 1.5 —
				- - - - - - -					- - 2.0 -
				-					- 2.5 — -
				- - - - - - - -					 3.0 —
				-					
				- - - - - - -					- 4.0 - -
				- - - - - - - -					- 4.5 — - -
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 0.40	Rema No gr	arks:	er encoun	tered			
		Width: 0.60 Length: 1.80 Stability:	Termi	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated		
		Unstable	Termi	inated on	concrete		04/06/2020		AGS

	CALIC			ect No. -0105		Name: n's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
		EWAY GEOTECH	Coor	dinates	Client:				TP07
Method:				94.99 E		Representative:			neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting			717	78.27 N		Mahony Consulting Engineers			cale: 1:25
Plant:				vation	Date:		Logger:		FINAL
3T Tracked Ex				7 mOD	02/03/	2020	RS		FINAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.05	ES1 B3		56.07	0.10		TOPSOIL Orange sandy silty subangular fine to coarse GRAVI low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Cobbles sandstone.	EL of sandstone with are subrounded of		 0.5
0.65	ES2		55.52	-		End of trial pit at 0.65m			
				-					_
				- - - - -					1.5 —
				-					_
				- - - - -					2.0
				-					 2.5
				- - - - - - - -					3.0
				- - - - - - - - -					
				-					
				- -					4.0
				- - - -					-
				- - - - -					
				- - - -					-
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes) Remarks	Depth: 0.65 Width: 0.50 Length: 2.00	Rema No gi	arks: roundwate	er encoun	tered			
		Stability: Stable		ination Re		pedrock	Last Updated 04/06/2020	V	AGS

				ect No. -0105		t Name: in's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	SEWAY BEOTECH		dinates	Client:				ТР08
	(BEOLECH			Land D	evelopment Agency			
Method:				08.57 E	Client'	s Representative:		S	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				70.63 N	Barrett	Mahony Consulting Engineers		S	cale: 1:25
Plant:				vation	Date:		Logger:		
3T Tracked Ex	cavator		61.07	7 mOD	02/03/	2020	RS		FINAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.10	ES1		60.87	- 0.20		MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy sli, with medium coarse gravel sized pieces of glass. Sa Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse of mixed litholo	nd is fine to coarse.	>	-
			00.07	-	a X + a X	Light brown sandy very silty subangular fine to coa sandstone with low cobble content. Sand is fine to subangular of sandstone.	rse GRAVEL of		-
0.50 0.50	B3 ES2		60.47	- 0.60	• × • • × •	End of trial pit at 0.60m		_	0.5 —
				-					-
				-					1.0
				-					-
				-					
				-					-
				-					-
				-					2.0
				-					-
				- -					- 2.5 —
				- - -					-
				- - - -					3.0
				- - - -					-
				-					3.5 —
				-					-
				-					4.0
				-					-
				- - -					-
				- - -					4.5 —
				- - -					-
				-					
14/04-	er Strikes		Rema	arks:					
Wate Struck at (m)	Remarks	Depth: 0.60 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.00		roundwate	er encour	tered			
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	ason.		Last Updated		
		Unstable		inated on		bedrock	04/06/2020		AGS

	CAUS	EWAY	20-	ot No. 0105 dinates		: Name: n's Asylum, Cork		T	rial Pit ID TP09
Method: Trial Pitting	G	EOTECH	- 16500	01.03 E 43.68 N	Land D Client's	evelopment Agency • Representative: Mahony Consulting Engineers			neet 1 of 1 cale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Ex	cavator			vation mOD	Date: 02/03/	2020	Logger: RS	FINA	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.10	ES1 B3 ES2		59.59	0.05		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Brown sandy very clayey subangu GRAVEL of sandstone with high cobble content, she steel, coarse gravel sized pieces of glass and boulde concrete and wood. Sand is fine to coarse. Cobbles sandstone. End of trial pit at 0.75m	eets of plastic and er sized pieces of		0.5 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
									2.0
				- - - - - - - - - - - -					3.5 — — 4.0 — —
				-					4.5 — — — — —
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 0.75 Width: 0.80 Length: 2.00	Rema No gr	arks: roundwate	l r encour	tered			
		Stability: Unstable		ination Re			Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS

	CALLS			ect No. 0105		: Name: n's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY BEOTECH		dinates	Client:			-	TP10
				51.24 E		evelopment Agency			
Method:				33.38 N		s Representative:			neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting Plant:				ation	Barrett Date:	Mahony Consulting Engineers	Logger:	5	cale: 1:25
3T Tracked E	Excavator		52.48		03/03/	2020	RS		FINAL
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description	<u> </u>	Water	
(m) 0.05	Tests ES1		(mOD)	(m) 0.10		MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy slig Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular fine to co Vithologies.	parse of mixed	Ň	-
				· · · ·		MADE GROUND: Pink sandy very clayey subangular GRAVEL of sandstone with low cobble content. Sand Cobbles are subangular of sandstone.	fine to coarse d is fine to coarse.		- 0.5 —
0.80 0.80	B3 ES2		51.68	0.80		End of trial pit at 0.80m		_	-
				-					1.0
									- 1.5 —
									-
									2.0
				· · ·					- 2.5 — -
				· · · · ·					- - 3.0
				· · · ·					3.5 —
									4.0
				- - - - -					- - 4.5 —
									-
Wa Struck at (n	ater Strikes n) Remarks	Depth: 0.80	Rema No gr	irks: oundwate	er encour	tered			
		Width: 0.60 Length: 1.60 Stability:	Termi	ination Re	23500.		Last Updated		
		Stable		nated on			04/06/2020	V	AGS

				ect No.		Name:		T	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY		0105	St. Kev	n's Asylum, Cork		-	TD11
	——-G	EWAY EOTECH	Coor	dinates		evelopment Agency			TP11
Method:			16493	14.47 E		s Representative:			
Trial Pitting			7169	96.31 N		Mahony Consulting Engineers			neet 1 of 1 icale: 1:25
Plant:			Elev	ation	Date:		Logger:	2	Cale: 1.25
1	cavator					2020			FINAL
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth			ļ	ater	
3T Tracked Exe		Field Records	50.09	mOD	03/03/	2020	RS gravelly CLAY. Sand is of mixed lithologies. dy gravelly SILT with s subangular fine to	Mater	FINAL
				- - - - - -					4.0
				-					-
				- - - -					- 4.5 — -
				- - - -					-
14/	yr Strikes		Rema	arks:					
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 1.65 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.00		arks: oundwate	er encour	tered			
			Tower	ination Re	22602.		Last Updated		
		Stability: Stable		ination Re			04/06/2020		AGS

				ect No. 0105		t Name: in's Asylum, Cork		Trial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY BEOTECH		dinates	Client:		\neg	TP12
		BEOTECH			Land D	evelopment Agency		
Method:				90.09 E		s Representative:	9	Sheet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				45.49 N		Mahony Consulting Engineers		Scale: 1:25
Plant:				vation	Date:	Logger:		FINAL
3T Tracked E			51.58	3 mOD	02/03/	2020 RS		
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend		Water	
0.05	ES1		51.48	0.10		TOPSOIL Grey very sandy silty subangular fine to medium GRAVEL of sandstone with low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Cobbles are subround of sandstone.		0.5
1.00 1.00	B3 ES2		50.48	1.10		End of trial pit at 1.10m		1.0
				-				 1.5
				- - - - - - -				 2.0
				- - - - - - -				 2.5
				- - - - - - - - -				3.0
				-				 3.5
				- - - - - - -				
				-				 4.5
				- - - - -				-
Wa Struck at (m	n) Remarks	Depth: 1.10 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.10	Rema No gr	arks: oundwate	er encour			
		Stability: Unstable		ination Re		bedrock 04/06/2020	V	AGS

CAUSEWAY GEOTECH				e ct No. -0105	Project St. Kevi	Name: n's Asylum, Cork		Trial Pit ID	
	G	EOTECH		dinates	Client: Land Development Agency				TP13
Method:			- 164960.72 E 71681.85 N Elevation		Client's Representative: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers				neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting									icale: 1:25
Plant:					Date:		Logger:		
3T Tracked Exe			49.35 mOD		03/03/	2020	RS		FINAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.20	ES1		49.05	0.30		TOPSOIL Stiff yellowish brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly content. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangula	/ CLAY with low cobble r fine to coarse of		
0.50	ES2		48.75	0.60		sandstone. Cobbles are subangular of sandstone. End of trial pit at 0.60m			0.5
				- - - - - -					
				-					-
				-					
				-					2.0
				-					-
				-					2.5
				- - - - - - - - - - - - - -					 3.0
				- - - - - -					
				-					-
									4.0
				- - - -					- - 4.5
				- - - - -					
Wate Struck at (m)	Remarks	Depth: 0.60 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.00	Rema No gr	arks: roundwate	er encoun	tered			
		Stability: Stable		ination Re		pedrock	Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS

				ect No.	Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork				Trial Pit ID	
	CAUS	SEWAY BEOTECH		-0105	Client:			_	TP14	
		GEOTECH		dinates	Land Development Agency					
Method:				87.80 E		s Representative:		c	heet 1 of 1	
Trial Pitting			717	00.95 N	Barrett	Mahony Consulting Engineers			Scale: 1:25	
Plant:			Elevation		Date: Logger:					
3T Tracked Ex	cavator		52.17 mOD		03/03/2020		RS		FINAL	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water		
		Field Records					to coarse. Gravel is	Water		
				-					-	
14/-1-	Ctuille a		Rem	arks						
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 0.90		агкs: roundwate	er encour	itered				
Struck dt (m)	Reifidi KS	Width: 0.60								
		Length: 2.00								
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated			
									AGS	
		Stable	Term	inated on	possible	pearock	04/06/2020			

	Project No. 20-0105		Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork				Trial Pit ID			
	G	EWAY EOTECH		dinates	Client: Land D	evelopment Agency		TP15		
Method:			 165023.99 E 71697.79 N Elevation 52.43 mOD 		Client's Representative: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers				neet 1 of 1	
Trial Pitting									cale: 1:25	
Plant: 3T Tracked Exc	cavator				Date: 03/03/	2020	Logger: RS		FINAL	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water		
0.10	ES1		52.38	0.05		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Firm brown slightly sandy slightly fine to coarse. Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse MADE GROUND: Yellowish brown sandy very claye coarse GRAVEL of sandstone with low cobble conte sized pieces of steel. Sand is fine to coarse. Cobble: sandstone.	of mixed lithologies. y subangular fine to ent and coarse gravel		0.5	
1.00	B3 ES2		50.92	1.50		End of trial pit at 1.50m			1.0 — — — 1.5 —	
				- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -					2.0	
				- - - - - - -					2.5 —	
				- - - - - - - -					3.0 	
				- - - - - -					3.5 — — —	
				- - - - - - - - - - -					4.0	
				- - - - - - - - -					4.5 — — — —	
Wate Struck at (m)	r Strikes Remarks	Depth: 1.50 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.20	Rema No gr	arks: Toundwate	er encour	tered				
		Stability: Stable		ination Re		pedrock	Last Updated 04/06/2020	V	AGS	

CAUSEWAY GEOTECH		Project No. 20-0105		Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork				Trial Pit ID	
	G	EVVAT	Coord	dinates	Client:	evelopment Agency			TP16
Method:			Elevation		Client's Representative: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers				neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting									cale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Exc	cavator				Date: 03/02/	2020	Logger: RS	FINAL	
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth	Legend	Description		Water	
(m)	Tests		52.22	(m) - - 0.10		TOPSOIL		>	
				-		MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular fine to coarse			-
0.50	ES1		51.72	0.60		MADE GROUND: Brown very sandy very silty sub GRAVEL of sandstone with high cobble content. S Cobbles are subangular of sandstone.			0.5
1.00	В3			- - - - - - -					1.0
				-					1.5 — - -
2.00	B4			- - 					2.0
2.00	ES2		50.27	2.05		End of trial pit at 2.05m			-
				-					- 2.5 — -
				- - - - - - - -					
				- - - - - - -					- 3.5 — -
				- - - -					4.0
				-					-
				- - - -					- 4.5 —
				- - - -					-
Wate Struck at (m)	Remarks	Depth: 2.05 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.00	Rema No gr	arks: oundwate	er encour	etred			
		Stability:		ination Re		alls collapsing	Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS

	CAUSEWAY GEOTECH			ect No. 0105		Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork			
	CAUS	EWAY		dinates	Client:				TP17
	G	EOTECH	165091.94 E		Land Development Agency				
Method:						s Representative:		S	heet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting			71677.01 N Elevation 51.31 mOD		Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers				Scale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Ex	an vatar				Date:	2020	Logger: RS		FINAL
Depth	Sample /		Level	Depth	03/03/		KS	Ŀ	
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	(m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.20	ES1		51.21	0.10		MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy slig with medium gravel sized pieces of glass. Sand is fir subangular fine to coarse of mixed lithologies. MADE GROUND: Orangish brown sandy very silty si coarse GRAVEL of sandstone with medium cobble of coarse. Cobbles are subangular of sandstone.	ne to coarse. Gravel is ubangular fine to	-	
1.00 1.00	B3 ES2			-					1.0
			49.66	1.65		End of trial pit at 1.65m			-
				- - - - -					2.0
				- - - - - -					2.5
				- - - - - - - - -					3.0
				-					- 3.5 — -
				- - - - - - -					4.0
				- - - - - -					- 4.5 -
				-					-
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 1.65 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.50	Rema No gr	arks: oundwate	er encour	tered			
		Stability: Unstable		ination Re		alls collapsing	Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS

				ect No. 0105		: Name: n's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID	
	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH		dinates	Client:			TP18		
	G	EOTECH		86.63 E	Land D					
Method:			71645.90 N		Client'		neet 1 of 1			
Trial Pitting Plant:					Barrett	Scale: 1:25				
3T Tracked Ex	cavator				Date: Logger 03/03/2020 RS				FINAL	
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description		Water		
(m) 0.50	ES1		(mOD) 46.25	(m) 0.10		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy sli with cobble sized pieces of concrete and red brick. Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse of mixed lithol	Sand is fine to coarse.	-		
1.00	В3		45.65	0.70		MADE GROUND: Firm light yellowish brown slightl gravelly silty CLAY with low cobble content. Sand is is subangular fine to coarse of sandstone. Cobbles sandstone.	fine to coarse. Gravel	_		
2.00 2.00	B4 ES2		44.20	2.15		End of trial pit at 2.15m		_	1.5 — — — 2.0 —	
				-					 2.5 	
				- - - - - - - -					3.0	
				· • • • •					4.0	
				· · · ·						
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	— Depth: 2.15	Rema No gr	arks: oundwate	er encour	tered			_	
		Width: 0.60								
		Stability: Stable		ination Re		alls collapsing	Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS	

	CAUSEWAY GEOTECH			ect No. 0105	Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork				Trial Pit ID	
	CAUS	FOTECH	Coord	dinates	Client:				TP19	
		BLOTLETT	164937.88 E 71664.61 N Elevation		Land Development Agency Client's Representative:					
Method:							heet 1 of 1			
Trial Pitting Plant:					Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers				Scale: 1:25	
3T Tracked Ex	cavator		44.79 mOD		Date: 03/03/	2020	Logger: RS	FINAL		
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description		Water		
(m)	Tests	Held Records	(mOD) 44.74	(m) 0.05		•		Š		
0.10	ES1 B3 ES2		44.74 44.64 43.89	0.05		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Firm brown slightly sandy slightly i fine to coarse. Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse of MADE GROUND: Light brown sandy very clayey sub GRAVEL of sandstone with low cobble content. Sand Cobbles are subangular of sandstone. End of trial pit at 0.90m	of mixed lithologies. angular fine to coarse			
									-	
									4.0	
									-	
				•					-	
									-	
				-					4.5 —	
									-	
									-	
				- -					-	
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 0.90 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.00	Rema No gr	arks: oundwate	er encoun	tered				
		Stability:	Termi	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated			
		Stable	Termi	nated on	possible l	bedrock	04/06/2020		AGS	

				ect No. -0105		t Name: in's Asylum, Cork		Trial Pit ID	
	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH	Coordinates		Client:		TP20		
		GEOTECH			Land D				
Method:				16.18 E		Client's Representative:			
Trial Pitting			71670.43 N Elevation		Barrett		Sheet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:25		
Plant:					Date:	Logger:			
3T Tracked Ex	cavator		45.91 mOD		03/03/	2020 RS		FINAL	
Depth (m)	Sample /	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
(m) 0.20	Tests ES1		45.80 45.56	(m) - 0.10 		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Firm brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY. Sa fine to coarse. Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse of mixed litholog	and is gies.	<u>-</u>	
1.00	в3		43.30			MADE GROUND: Stiff brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fir coarse. Gravel is subangular fine to coarse of sandstone. Cobbles a subangular of sandstone.		0.5 — - - - 1.0 —	
1.00	ES2		44.76	- 1.15 -		End of trial pit at 1.15m		-	
				-					
				-				-	
				-				2.0	
				-				-	
				-				_	
				-				-	
				-				2.5	
				-				-	
				-				_	
				-				-	
				-				3.0	
				-				-	
				-				_	
				-				-	
				-				3.5 —	
				-				-	
				-				-	
				-				4.0	
				-				-	
				-				-	
				-				-	
				-				4.5 —	
								-	
				-					
				-				-	
	er Strikes	Depth: 1.15	Rema	arks: roundwate	on on concern	torod			
Struck at (m)	Remarks	Width: 0.60		ounuwate	a encour	liereu			
		Length: 1.80							
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	eason:	Last Updat	ed		
		Stable	Term	inated on	possible		0	AGS	
					,	3.100/202			



APPENDIX E TRIAL PIT PHOTOGRAPHS



Report No.: 20-0105



TP01



Report No.: 20-0105



TP01



TP01



Report No.: 20-0105



TP02



TP02



Report No.: 20-0105



TP02



Report No.: 20-0105



TP03



TP03



Report No.: 20-0105



TP03



Report No.: 20-0105



TP04



Report No.: 20-0105



TP04



TP04



Report No.: 20-0105



TP05



Report No.: 20-0105



TP05



Report No.: 20-0105



TP06



TP06



Report No.: 20-0105



TP06



Report No.: 20-0105



TP07



Report No.: 20-0105



TP07



TP07



Report No.: 20-0105



TP08



TP08


Report No.: 20-0105



TP08



Report No.: 20-0105



TP09





Report No.: 20-0105



TP09



TP10



Report No.: 20-0105



TP10



TP10



Report No.: 20-0105





Report No.: 20-0105



TP11





Report No.: 20-0105



TP12



Report No.: 20-0105



TP12



TP12



Report No.: 20-0105





Report No.: 20-0105



TP13



TP13



Report No.: 20-0105



TP14



Report No.: 20-0105

GEOTECH Project Name St Kerrins Project Number 20-0105 03/03/2020 P 14 T 0.

TP14





Report No.: 20-0105



TP15



Report No.: 20-0105



TP15



TP15



Report No.: 20-0105





Report No.: 20-0105



TP16



TP16



Report No.: 20-0105



TP17



Report No.: 20-0105



TP17



TP17



Report No.: 20-0105



TP18



Report No.: 20-0105



TP18



TP18



Report No.: 20-0105





Report No.: 20-0105



TP19



TP19



Report No.: 20-0105



TP20



Report No.: 20-0105



TP20







APPENDIX F SOAKAWAY TEST RESULTS



CAUSEWAY GEOTECH			Project No. 20-0105 Coordinates		Projec St. Kev	Trial Pit ID			
					Client:				IF01
Method:			164981.12 E			evelopment Agency Representative:		c	heet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting			718	17.24 N		Mahony Consulting Engineers			Scale: 1:25
Plant:				vation	Date:		Logger:		FINAL
3T Tracked Ex				3 mOD	04/03/	2020	RS		FINAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
(m)	B1		(mOD) 63.78 63.28	(m) 0.20		MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy sli Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subrounded fine to lithologies. Brownish yellow sandy silty angular fine to coarse Col with low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Col of sandstone. End of trial pit at 0.70m	coarse of mixed GRAVEL of sandstone	M	
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 0.70 Width: 0.40	Rema No gr	arks:	er encour	tered			3.5 -
		Width: 0.40 Length: 1.40 Stability:	Term	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated		
		Unstable	Termi	inated on	refusal		04/06/2020		AGS

Project No.:	20-0105	5					
Site:	St. Kevir	n's Asylum, Co	ork				EWAY EOTECH
Test Location	: IF01				-	———GI	EOTECH
Test Date:	03 Marc	h 2020					
		width (m) 0.40 0.40 0.70 depth of water in pit (m) 0.24 0.21 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.10 0.08 0.06		lepth to groundwa graph below: test start - 75% d 0.18 time is test end - 25% de 0.06 time is	m water depth 2.0 minutes	rt C697-The . ater (m) =	SUDS Manual
time (mins)	depth to water (m)	depth of water in pit (m)	time elapsed (mins)	volume of water lost (m ³)	Area of walls and base at 50% drop (m ²)	q (m/min)	q (m/h)
2 12	0.52 0.64	0.18 0.06	10	0.04	0.50	7.1E-03	0.424



CAUSEWAY GEOTECH			Project No. 20-0105 Coordinates		Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Client:				rial Pit ID IF02
Method: Trial Pitting		EUTECH	- 165025.24 E 71813.00 N Elevation 65.49 mOD		Land Development Agency Client's Representative: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers				neet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Exc	cavator				Date: 04/02/	2020	Logger: RS	FINAL	
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description		Water	
(m)	B1		(mOD) 64.69 64.49	(m) 0.80		MADE GROUND: Firm brown slightly sandy slightly fine to coarse. Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse of soft orangish brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly coarse. Gravel is subangular fine to coarse of sands End of trial pit at 1.00m	of mixed lithologies. SILT. Sand is fine to	M	
Water Struck at (m)	r Strikes Remarks	Depth: 1.00 Width: 0.30	Rema No gr	arks:	er encoun	tered			
		Length: 1.00 Stability: Stable		ination Re			Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS

Project No.: 20-0105 Site: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork **Test Location:** IF02 **Test Date:** 03 March 2020 width (m) length (m) Analysis using method as described in BRE Digest 365 and CIRIA Report C697-The SUDS Manual 1.00 test pit top dimensions 0.30 test pit base dimensions 0.30 0.80 test pit depth (m) 1.00 depth to groundwater before adding water (m) = Dry depth to depth of water in pit water surface time (mins) (m) (m) 0 0.54 0.46 From graph below: 0.56 0.44 1 test start - 75% depth at 2 0.58 0.43 0.345 m water depth 4 time is 11.0 minutes 0.60 0.41 6 0.62 0.38 8 0.64 0.37 test end - 25% depth at 10 0.65 0.35 0.115 m water depth 15 0.68 0.32 time is 76.0 minutes 0.15 60 0.85 105 0.93 0.07 test infiltration rate (q) = 0.071 m/h depth to depth of time volume of Area of walls and water in pit elapsed water lost base at 50% drop water time q q (mins) (m^{3}) (m^2) (m) (m/min) (m/h)(mins) (m) 11 0.66 0.345 65 0.06 0.76 1.2E-03 0.071 76 0.89 0.115



		ect No. -0105	Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork				Trial Pit ID				
GEOTECH				Coordinates		Client:					
		loileit	164990.75 E		Land Development Agency						
Method:				11.13 N		s Representative:			heet 1 of 1		
Trial Pitting						Mahony Consulting Engineers		Scale: 1:25			
Plant: 3T Tracked Ex	cavator		Elevation 52.63 mOD		Date: 04/03/	2020	Logger: RS		FINAL		
	Sample /		Level	Depth				ter			
0.50		Field Records			Legend	Description MADE GROUND: Firm brown slightly sandy slightly fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular fine to coarse of MADE GROUND: Brown sandy very clayey subangu GRAVEL of sandstone with low cobble content. Sar Cobbles are subrounded of sandstone. End of trial pit at 0.65m	gravelly CLAY. Sand is of mixed lithologies. Ilar fine to coarse				
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 0.65 Width: 0.40 Length: 1.20	Rema No gr	arks:	er encour	tered			3.5		
		Stability:		ination Re			Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS		

Project No.: 20-0105 Site: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Test Location: IF03 **Test Date:** 03 March 2020 width (m) length (m) Analysis using method as described in BRE Digest 365 and CIRIA Report C697-The SUDS Manual 1.20 test pit top dimensions 0.40 test pit base dimensions 0.40 0.60 test pit depth (m) 0.65 depth to groundwater before adding water (m) = Dry depth to depth of water in pit water surface (m) time (mins) (m) 0 0.27 0.38 From graph below: 0.28 0.37 1 test start - 75% depth at 2 0.29 0.36 0.285 m water depth 4 time is 33.0 minutes 0.30 0.35 0.31 6 0.35 8 0.31 0.34 test end - 25% depth at 10 0.32 0.33 0.095 m water depth 15 0.33 0.33 time is not determined 0.34 20 0.31 25 0.35 0.30 infiltration rate (q) is very low 105 0.48 0.17 120 0.49 0.16 150 0.51 0.14 depth to depth of time volume of Area of walls and water in pit elapsed water lost base at 50% drop water time q q (mins) (m^{3}) (m^2) (m/min) (m/h) (m) (mins) (m) 33 0.37 0.285 0.56 0.095



CAUSEWAY GEOTECH			Project No. 20-0105 Coordinates		Project St. Kev	Trial Pit ID				
									IF04	
		JEOTECH	164983.30 E		Land Development Agency					
Method:				79.45 N		s Representative:			heet 1 of 1	
Trial Pitting Plant:				ation	Barrett Date:	Mahony Consulting Engineers	Logger:	Scale: 1:25		
3T Tracked Exe	cavator			mOD	04/03/	2020	RS		FINAL	
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description		Water		
(m)	Tests		(mOD) 49.18	(m) 0.15		MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy sli Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subrounded fine to Vithologies. MADE GROUND: Brown sandy very clayey subangu GRAVEL of sandstone with low cobble content and red brick. Sand is fine to coarse. Cobbles are subro	coarse of mixed lar fine to coarse cobble sized pieces o	_	0.5 -	
1.00	В1		48.14	1.20		End of trial pit at 1.20m		_	1.0	
				· · · · ·					2.0	
				-						
				- - - - - - - -					3.0	
				-					3.5	
									4.0	
				- - - - - - - -					4.5	
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 1.20 Width: 0.60 Length: 1.30	Rema No gr	oundwate	er encour	tered			<u> </u>	
		Stability: Unstable		ination Re		l depth	Last Updated 04/06/2020		AGS	

Project No.:		20-0105	5								
Site:		St. Kevin	n's Asylum, Co	ork				EWAY OTECH			
Test Locatio	on:	IF04					GI	EOTECH			
Test Date:		03 Marc	h 2020								
test pit top test pit base test p	e din	nensions	width (m) 0.60 0.60 1.00	length (m) 1.30 0.90		and CIRIA Repo	using method as described in BRE Digest 365 and CIRIA Report C697-The SUDS Manual before adding water (m) = Dry				
test pit depth (m) 1.00 depth to water surface depth of water in pit (m) 0 (m) 1 (m) 2 (m) 4 (m) 6 (m) 10 (m) 10 (m) 15 (m) 60 (m) 105 (m)				From graph below: test start - 75% depth at 0.75 m water depth time is not determined test end - 25% depth at 0.25 m water depth time is not determined Soakaway Pit could not retain water long enough to undertaken test, indicating that the infiltration rate is high							
time (mins)		epth to water (m)	depth of water in pit (m)	time elapsed (mins)	volume of water lost (m ³)	Area of walls and base at 50% drop (m ²)	q (m/min)	q (m/h)			
		0.25 0.75	0.75 0.25								
		0.7.0	0.45								



				Project No. 20-0105		Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork				
GEOTECH			Coor	Coordinates		Client:				
			1650	1 1 C E O 1 O 1 O E		Land Development Agency Client's Representative:				
Method: Trial Pitting	716	71613.71 N		s κepresentaπve: Mahony Consulting Engineers		Sheet 1 o				
Plant:			Elev	vation	Date:		Logger:	Scale: 1:25		
3T Tracked Exc	cavator		40.88 mOD		04/03/	2020	RS		FINAL	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water		
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD) 40.58	Depth (m)	Legend	Description MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy gr medium gravel sized pieces of glass. Sand is fine to subangular fine to coarse of mixed lithologies. MADE GROUND: Light brown sandy very clayey sut coarse GRAVEL of mixed lithologies with low cobble to coarse. Cobbles are subangular of sandstone. End of trial pit at 1.20m	coarse. Gravel is			
		· · · · ·		arke:						
Wate Struck at (m)	r Strikes Remarks	Depth: 1.20 Width: 0.45 Length: 1.30	Rema No gr	arks: roundwate	er encour	tered				
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated			
		Unstable	Term	inated at s	scheduler	l depth	04/06/2020		AGS	

Project No.: 20-0105 Site: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Test Location: IF05 **Test Date:** 03 March 2020 width (m) length (m) Analysis using method as described in BRE Digest 365 and CIRIA Report C697-The SUDS Manual 1.30 test pit top dimensions 0.45 test pit base dimensions 0.35 0.75 test pit depth (m) 1.20 depth to groundwater before adding water (m) = Dry depth to depth of water in pit water surface time (mins) (m) (m) 0 0.76 0.44 From graph below: 0.82 0.38 1 test start - 75% depth at 2 0.86 0.34 0.33 m water depth 4 0.93 time is 2.3 minutes 0.27 6 1.00 0.20 test end - 25% depth at 8 1.05 0.15 10 1.09 0.11 0.11 m water depth time is 10.0 minutes test infiltration rate (q) = 0.690 m/h depth to depth of time volume of Area of walls and water in pit elapsed water lost base at 50% drop water time q q (mins) (m^{3}) (m^2) (m) (m/min) (m/h) (mins) (m) 2.3 0.87 0.33 7.7 0.07 0.78 1.2E-02 0.690 10 1.09 0.11





APPENDIX G GROUNDWATER AND GAS MONITORING RECORDS


Site:	St. Kevins's Cork
Project No.:	20-0105
Date:	08/06/2020
Weather:	Dry, warm

BH01	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	0.8	18.8	0	0
60	0	0.8	18.8	0	0
90	0	0.8	18.8	0	0
120	0	0.8	18.8	0	0
150	0	0.8	18.7	0	0
180	0	0.8	18.7	0	0
240	0	0.7	18.7	0	1
300	0	0.7	18.7	0	1

BH08	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	0.2	20.7	0	0
60	0	0.4	20.4	0	0
90	0	0.7	19.9	0	0
120	0	0.9	19.5	0	0
150	0	1	19.4	0	0
180	0	1	19.3	0	0
240	0	0.9	19.3	0	0
300	0	0.9	19.3	0	0

BH09	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH4 (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	1.7	18	0	0
60	0	1.7	18	0	0
90	0	1.7	18	0	0
120	0	1.7	18	0	0
150	0	1.7	18	0	0
180	0	1.7	18	0	0
240	0	1.7	18.1	0	0
300	0	1.7	18.2	0	0

Equipment:		Geotechnica	l Instrument	s GA5000		
Ambient Conditions	Barometric Pressure	CH₄ (%)	C0 ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H₂S (ppm)
Before:	996	0	0.1	20.9	0	0
After:						

Flow rates		
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)	
30	0.4	
60	0.5	
90	0.5	
120	0.5	
150	0.5	
180	0.5	
240	0.5	
300	0.5	

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	5.3
Depth to bottom of BH	5.5
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Flow rates		
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)	
30	0.3	
60	0.4	
90	0.4	
120	0.4	
150	0.4	
180	0.4	
240	0.4	
300	0.4	

Flow rates		
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)	
30	0.4	
60	0.5	
90	0.5	
120	0.5	
150	0.5	
180	0.5	
240	0.4	
300	0.5	

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.51
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.55
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Site:	St. Kevins's Cork
Project No.:	20-0105
Date:	15/06/2020
Weather:	Wet, humid

BH01	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	0	20.7	0	0
60	0	0	20.7	0	0
90	0	0	20.7	0	0
120	0	0	20.7	0	0
150	0	0	20.7	0	0
180	0	0	20.6	0	0
240	0	0	20.6	0	0
300	0	0.1	20.5	0	0

BH08	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0.1	0.4	19.9	0	0
60	0	0.5	19.8	0	0
90	0	0.6	19.6	0	0
120	0	0.6	19.6	0	0
150	0	0.7	19.6	0	0
180	0	0.7	19.6	0	0
240	0	0.7	19.6	0	0
300	0	0.7	19.6	0	0

BH09	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH ₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0.1	2.1	17	0	0
60	0	2.1	17	0	0
90	0	2	17.1	0	0
120	0	2	17.1	0	0
150	0	2	17.2	0	0
180	0	2	17.1	0	0
240	0	1.9	17.1	0	0
300	0	2	17.1	0	0

Equipment:		Geotechnical Instruments GA5000				
Ambient Conditions	Barometric Pressure	CH ₄ (%) CO ₂ (%) O ₂ (%) CO (ppm) H ₂ S (H₂S (ppm)
Before:	998	0	0.1	20.9	0.1	0
After:						

Groundwater monitoring

Flow rates		
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)	
30	0.4	
60	0.4	
90	0.4	
120	0.4	
150	0.4	
180	0.4	
240	0.4	
300	0.4	

30 60 90

120 150

180

240

300

0.4 0.4

0.4

0.4

0.4

0.4

150	0.4	
180	0.4	
240	0.4	
300	0.4	
Flow	rates	Groundwater
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)	Groundwater
30	0.3	Depth to top of
		Depth to bott

Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	5.5
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

mbgl

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.51
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.55
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Flow rates				
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)			
30	0.3			
60	0.4			
90	0.4			
120	0.4			
150	0.4			
180	0.4			
240	0.4			
300	0.4			

Site:	St. Kevins's Cork
Project No.:	20-0105
Date:	22/06/2020
Weather:	Wet

BH01	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH4 (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	1	18.8	0	0
60	0	1.3	17.9	0	0
90	0	1.4	17.7	0	0
120	0	1.3	17.8	0	0
150	0	1.2	18	0	0
180	0	1.3	17.8	0	0
240	0	1.3	17.7	0	0
300	0	1.3	17.6	0	0

BH08	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0.1	0.5	19.9	0	0
60	0	0.6	19.9	0	0
90	0	0.7	19.9	0	0
120	0	0.7	19.9	0	0
150	0	0.7	19.8	0	0
180	0	0.7	19.8	0	0
240	0	0.7	19.8	0	0
300	0	0.7	19.8	0	0

BH09	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	0.7	20	0	0
60	0	1.6	19.2	0	0
90	0	1.8	19	0	0
120	0	1.8	19	0	0
150	0	1.8	19	0	0
180	0	1.8	19	0	0
240	0	1.8	19	0	0
300	0	1.8	19	0	0

Equipment:		Geotechnical Instruments GA5000				
Ambient Conditions	Barometric Pressure	CH₄ (%)	C0 ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
Before:	1010	0	0.1	21.1	0	0
After:						

Flow rates			
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)		
30	0.4		
60	0.4		
90	0.4		
120	0.4		
150	0.4		
180	0.4		
240	0.4		
300	0.4		

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	5.5
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Flow rates				
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)			
30	0.4			
60	0.4			
90	0.4			
120	0.4			
150	0.4			
180	0.4			
240	0.4			
300	0.4			

Flow rates				
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)			
30	0.4			
60	0.4			
90	0.4			
120	0.5			
150	0.5			
180	0.5			
240	0.5			
300	0.5			

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.51
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.55
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Site:	St. Kevins's Cork
Project No.:	20-0105
Date:	29/06/2020
Weather:	Dry, windy

BH01	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	1.2	18.9	1	0
60	0	1.2	18.2	1	0
90	0	1.2	18.1	0	0
120	0	1.1	18.1	0	0
150	0	1.2	18.1	0	0
180	0	1.2	18.1	0	0
240	0	1.1.	18	0	0
300	0	1.1	18	0	0

BH08	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	0.4	19.8	1	0
60	0	0.4	19.8	0	0
90	0	0.5	19.8	0	0
120	0	0.5	19.7	0	0
150	0	0.5	19.7	0	0
180	0	0.5	19.8	0	0
240	0	0.5	19.8	0	0
300	0	0.5	19.8	0	0

BH09		Gas readings									
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)						
30	0	1.9	17.8	0	0						
60	0	1.9	17.7	0	0						
90	0	1.9	17.6	0	0						
120	0	1.8	17.5	0	0						
150	0	1.8	17.5	0	0						
180	0	1.8	17.5	0	0						
240	0	1.8	17.5	0	0						
300	0	1.8	17.5	0	0						

Equipment:		Geotechnical Instruments GA5000						
Ambient Conditions	Barometric Pressure	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm) H ₂ S (ppm)			
Before:	1013	0	0.1	19.8	0	0		
After:								

Flow rates							
Time (sec)	Flow (I/h) 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4						
30	0.3						
60	0.4						
90	0.4						
120	0.4						
150	0.4						
180	0.4						
240	0.4						
300	0.4						

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	5.5
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Flow rates								
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)							
30	0.5							
60	0.4							
90	0.5							
120	0.4							
150	0.4							
180	0.4							
240	0.4							
300	0.4							

Flow rates								
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)							
30	0.4							
60	0.4							
90	0.4							
120	0.4							
150	0.4							
180	0.5							
240	0.5							
300	0.5							

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.51
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.55
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A



APPENDIX H GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS





HEAD OFFICE

Registered in Northern Ireland. Company Number: NI610766

REGIONAL OFFICE

Causeway Geotech (IRL) Ltd Unit 3 Balbriggan Business Park, Balbriggan Co Dublin, Ireland, K32 EH36 ROI: +353 (0)1 526 7465

> Registered in Ireland. Company Number: 633786

www.causewaygeotech.com

3 June 2020

SOIL AND ROCK SAMPLE ANALYSIS LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Project Name:	St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork					
Project No.:	20-0105					
Client:	Land Development Agency					
Engineer:	Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers					

We are pleased to attach the results of laboratory testing carried out for the above project. This memo and its attachments constitute a report of the results of tests as detailed in the Contents page(s).

The attached results complete the testing requested and we would therefore wish to confirm that samples will be retained without charge for a period of 28 days from the above date after which they will be appropriately disposed of unless we receive written instructions to the contrary prior to that date.

We trust our report meets with your approval but if you have any queries or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

John Witn

Stephen Watson Laboratory Manager Signed for and on behalf of Causeway Geotech Ltd









1





Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork

Report Reference: Schedule 1

The table below details the tests carried out, the specifications used, and the number of tests included in this report.

Tests marked with* in this report are not United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS) accredited and are not included in Causeway Geotech Limited's scope of UKAS Accreditation Schedule of Tests. Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.

Material tested	Type of test/Properties measured/Range of measurement	Standard specifications	No. of results included in the report	
SOIL	Moisture Content of Soil	BS 1377-2: 1990: Cl 3.2	8	
SOIL	Liquid and Plastic Limits of soil-1 point cone penetrometer method	BS 1377-2: 1990: Cl 4.4, 5.3 & 5.4	8	
SOIL	Particle size distribution - wet sieving	BS 1377-2: 1990: Cl 9.2	16	
SOIL	Particle size distribution - sedimentation hydrometer method	BS 1377-2: 1990: Cl 9.5	14	

	JSE\ —GEO	NAY TECH							fication Test Results					
Project No.			Project	Name										
20-0	105					St.	Kevir	n's Asy						
Hole No.			mple	-	Soil Description	Dens bulk	ity dry	W	Passing 425µm	LL	PL	PI	Particle density	Casagrande Classification
	Ref	Тор	Base	Туре		Mg/m	13	%	%	%	%	%	Mg/m3	Classification
BH06	2	1.20		В	Brown sandy gravelly SILT.			14.0	62	33 -1pt	26	7		ML
BH06	3	2.00		В	Brown sandy gravelly SILT.			23.0	64	37 -1pt	28	9		М
BH08	2	1.20		в	Brown sandy gravelly silty CLAY.			9.6	41	32 -1pt	20	12		CL
BH08	3	2.00		В	Brown sandy gravelly silty CLAY.			14.0	58	35 -1pt	24	11		CL/CI/ML/MI
BH09	2	1.20		В	Brown sandy gravelly silty CLAY.			6.5	35	24 -1pt	18	6		ML/CL
BH10	3	2.00		В	Brown sandy gravelly silty CLAY.			15.0	58	39 -1pt	25	14		MI/CI
TP11	3	1.50		в	Brown sandy gravelly SILT.			17.0	43	41 -1pt	30	11		МІ
TP18	3	1.00		в	Brown sandy gravelly silty CLAY.			12.0	53	23 -1pt	14	9		CL
All tests perfo	rmed i	n accord	lance wit	h BS1	377:1990 unless specified	otherwis	e						LAB	01R Version 4
Key Density	All tests performed in accordance with BS1377:1990 unless specified otherwise Key Density test Linear measurement unless : 4pt cone unless : sp - small pyknom				Date Printed			Appr	oved	ed By				
unear measurement uniess : wd - water displacement wi - immersion in water					asagrande method gj - ga: ngle point test	gj - gas jar			50.00	Step	hen.	Watson	UKAS TESTING 10122	



Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



Approved

41

39

37

34

28

Particle density

2.65

(assumed)

Mg/m3

0.6

0.425

0.3 0.212

0.15

0.063



Particle density

2.65

(assumed)

Mg/m3

Stephen.Watson

78

72

68

62

59

55

49

43

31

3.35

2

1.18 0.6

0.425

0.3

0.212

0.063

LAB 05R Version 4

Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below

68

0.96

10122

Uniformity Coefficient

Curvature Coefficient

Remarks



3

1

0

(assumed)

Mg/m3

0.00505

0.00294

0.00156

Particle density

2.65

Grading Analysis		
0100	mm	
060	mm	8.44
D30	mm	0.48
010	mm	0.0169
Jniformity Coefficient		500
Curvature Coefficient		1.6

Remarks

Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



84

77

70

64

54

51

46 40

36

31

30

28

26

25

21

28

20

14

10

6.3

5

3.35

2 1.18

0.6

0.425

0.3

0.212

0.15

0.063

Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4



Sample Proportions		% dry mass
Cobbles		0
Gravel		48
Sand		21
Silt		30
Clay		1
Grading Analysis		
<u> </u>	mm	
D100	mm	4.61
Grading Analysis D100 D60 D30		4.61 0.0588
D100 D60	mm	-

Curvature Coefficient

Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



0.067

Approved

100

100

100

100

100

100

97

83

72

64

61

57 52

49

46

44

43

40

38

31

0.05097

0.03647

0.02624

0.01877

0.00991

0.00501

0.00292

0.00154

Particle density

2.65

28

24

19

16

9 5

2

0

(assumed)

Mg/m3

90

75

63

50

37.5

28

20

14

10

6.3

5

3.35

2 1.18

0.6

0.425

0.3

0.212

0.063



Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



Approved

28

25

24

22

21

19

15

Particle density

2.65

(assumed)

Mg/m3

1.18 0.6

0.425

0.3

0.15

0.063

Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4



31	Sample Proportions		% dry mass
26	Cobbles		0
23	Gravel		44
18	Sand		26
15	Silt		30
11	Clay		1
5			
2	Grading Analysis		
0	D100	mm	
	D60	mm	2.49
	D30	mm	0.061
	D10	mm	0.00903
	Uniformity Coefficient		280
	Curvature Coefficient		0.17

Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



Ap	proved	

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

90

77

73

65 56

52

47

45

42

40

37

31

0.05066

0.03626

0.02609

0.01866

0.00980

0.00501

0.00292

0.00154

Particle density

2.65

(assumed)

Mg/m3

90

75

63

50

37.5

28

20

14

10

6.3

5

3.35

2 1.18

0.6

0.425

0.3

0.212

0.063



Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



Approved

32

31

30

28

24

Particle density

2.65

(assumed)

Mg/m3

0.6

0.425

0.3 0.212

0.15

0.063



Particle Size mm	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing
125	100	0.06300	15
90	100	0.04894	14
75	100	0.03506	13
63	100	0.02526	11
50	100	0.01819	9
37.5	97	0.00967	6
28	91	0.00494	4
20	83	0.00291	2
14	70	0.00156	0
10	60		
6.3	47		
5	42		
3.35	36		
2	28		
1.18	25		
0.6	22	Particle density	(assumed)
0.425	21	2.65	Mg/m3
0.3	20		
0.212	19		
0.15	18		
0.063	15		

Sample Proportions	% dry mass
Cobbles	0
Gravel	72
Sand	14
Silt	14
Clay	1

Grading Analysis		
D100	mm	
D60	mm	10.1
D30	mm	2.26
D10	mm	0.0206
Uniformity Coefficient		490
Curvature Coefficient		25

Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



LAB 05R Version 4

Stephen.Watson

Approved



Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



Approved

32

31

29

28

25

19

Particle density

2.65

(assumed)

Mg/m3

0.6

0.425

0.3

0.15

0.063

Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4







Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4

10122



Sample Proportions		% dry mass
Cobbles		0
Gravel		55
Sand		26
Silt		18
Clay		2
Grading Analysis		
D100	mm	
D60	mm	4.81
D30	mm	0.245

D100	mm	
D60	mm	4.81
D30	mm	0.245
D10	mm	0.00803
Uniformity Coefficient		600
Curvature Coefficient		1.6

Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



Approved

100

100

100

100

100

100

93

79

65

61

54 46

41

36

34

32

29

26

20

0.03438

0.02447

0.01775

0.00939

0.00486

0.00288

0.00155

Particle density

2.65

17

16

14

11

7

3

1

(assumed)

Mg/m3

75

63

50

37.5

28

20

14

10 6.3

5

3.35

2 1.18

0.6

0.425

0.3

0.212

0.15

0.063

Stephen.Watson

LAB 05R Version 4



Sample Proportions		% dry mas
obbles		10
Gravel		43
Sand		21
Silt		27
Clay		1
Grading Analysis		
<u> </u>		
D100	mm	
D60	mm	5.09
D30	mm	0.117
D10	mm	0.00954
Uniformity Coefficient		530
		0.28

Preparation and testing in accordance with BS1377-2 :1990 unless noted below



100

100

90

90

86

81

77

74

69

63

60

55 48

43

38

37

35

33

31

27

0.05053

0.03616

0.02603

0.01872

0.00983

0.00505

0.00294

0.00156

Particle density

2.65

24

22

18

14

10

4

1

0

(assumed)

Mg/m3

90

75

63

50

37.5

28

20

14

10

6.3

5

3.35

2 1.18

0.6

0.425

0.3

0.212

0.15

0.063





LAB 05R Version 4

Approved

27

22

0.15

0.063



LAB 05R Version 4

10122

Approved



APPENDIX I ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS





Chemtest Ltd. Depot Road Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: info@chemtest.com

Report No.:	20-07191-1		
Initial Date of Issue:	16-Mar-2020		
Client	Causeway Geotech Ltd		
Client Address:	8 Drumahiskey Road Balnamore Ballymoney County Antrim BT53 7QL		
Contact(s):	Carin Cornwall Colm Hurley Darren O'Mahony Fernando Alfonso Gabriella Horan Joe Gervin John Cameron Lucy Newland Matthew Gilbert Neil Haggan Paul Dunlop Paul McNamara Sean Ross Stephen Franey Stephen McCracken Stephen Watson Stuart Abraham		
Project	20-0105 St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork		
Quotation No.:		Date Received:	05-Mar-2020
Order No.:		Date Instructed:	09-Mar-2020
No. of Samples:	23		
Turnaround (Wkdays):	5	Results Due:	13-Mar-2020
Date Approved:	16-Mar-2020		
Approved By: Details:	Darrell Hall, Director		
Estuno.			

Page 1 of 9



Chemtest Ltd. Depot Road Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: info@chemtest.com

<u>Results - Soil</u>

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd		Che	mtest Jo	ob No.:	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191
Quotation No.:		Chemtest Sample ID.:			981252	981253	981256	981257	981261	981262	981264	981266	981267
			ample Lo		TP01	TP02	TP03	TP04	TP06	TP06	TP07	TP08	TP09
			-	e Type:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			Top Dep		0.40	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.20	0.40	0.65	0.50	0.10
	Date Sampled:			02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020 DURHAM	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	
		Asbestos Lab:		DURHAM	02					02 11101 2020		DURHAM	
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units		20111				2010.0				2011
ACM Type	U	2192	00	N/A	-				-				-
	-			-	No Asbestos				No Asbestos				No Asbestos
Asbestos Identification	U	2192	%	0.001	Detected				Detected				Detected
ACM Detection Stage	U	2192		N/A	-				-				-
Moisture	N	2030	%	0.020	6.7	32	8.6	15		8.2	13	8.3	
pH	U	2010	, -	4.0	8.1	5.8	7.1	6.0		8.9	6.5	7.4	
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	U	2120	mg/kg	0.40	< 0.40	1.0	< 0.40	< 0.40		< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	U	2120	g/l	0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010		0.020	< 0.010	< 0.010	
Cyanide (Free)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Cyanide (Total)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	1.6	9.5	0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Thiocyanate	U	2300	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	N	2325	mg/kg	0.50	1.7	2.1	1.9	0.99		1.1	1.3	1.0	
Sulphate (Total)	U	2430	%	0.010	0.023	0.16	0.041	0.070		0.067	0.11	0.016	
Arsenic	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	47	25	41	25		38	58	76	
Cadmium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.15	0.15	< 0.10	< 0.10		0.11	0.33	< 0.10	
Chromium	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	16	16	19	14		18	14	18	
Copper	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	27	40	38	32		17	30	29	
Mercury	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.10	0.52	0.19	0.36		0.11	0.41	0.19	
Nickel	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	30	24	36	18		30	16	37	
Lead	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	80	140	59	130		49	140	57	
Selenium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.20	0.21	0.76	0.36	0.75		0.29	0.64	0.35	
Zinc	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	73	89	62	42		66	81	73	
Chromium (Hexavalent)	Ν	2490	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Organic Matter	U	2625	%	0.40	0.97	15	2.6	8.3		0.79	8.5	1.4	
Aliphatic TPH >C5-C6	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C6-C8	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C35-C44	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Aliphatic Hydrocarbons	Ν	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Aromatic TPH >C5-C7	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C7-C8	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	

<u>Results - Soil</u>

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd		Chemtest Job No.:			20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191
Quotation No.:		Chemte	est Sam	ple ID.:	981252	981253	981256	981257	981261	981262	981264	981266	981267
		Sa	ample Lo		TP01	TP02	TP03	TP04	TP06	TP06	TP07	TP08	TP09
		Sample Type: Top Depth (m): Date Sampled: Asbestos Lab:			SOIL								
					0.40	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.20	0.40	0.65	0.50	0.10
					02-Mar-2020								
					DURHAM				DURHAM				DURHAM
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD									
Aromatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		240	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C35-C44	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		240	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	10.0	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10		240	< 10	< 10	
Naphthalene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.21		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Acenaphthylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.59		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Acenaphthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.17		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Fluorene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.58		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Phenanthrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.0	< 0.10	6.6		< 0.10	1.3	< 0.10	
Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	0.12	< 0.10	1.7		< 0.10	0.47	< 0.10	
Fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	3.1	< 0.10	11		< 0.10	1.9	< 0.10	
Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	2.6	< 0.10	11		< 0.10	1.9	< 0.10	
Benzo[a]anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	0.93	< 0.10	4.8		< 0.10	0.91	< 0.10	
Chrysene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.1	< 0.10	5.2		< 0.10	1.3	< 0.10	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.4	< 0.10	5.2		< 0.10	0.78	< 0.10	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	0.86	< 0.10	2.3		< 0.10	0.72	< 0.10	
Benzo[a]pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.3	< 0.10	4.8		< 0.10	0.86	< 0.10	
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	0.88	< 0.10	2.6		< 0.10	0.56	< 0.10	
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.97		< 0.10	0.37	< 0.10	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	0.82	< 0.10	2.7		< 0.10	0.72	< 0.10	
Total Of 16 PAH's	U	2700	mg/kg	2.0	< 2.0	14	< 2.0	60		< 2.0	12	< 2.0	
Benzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Toluene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Ethylbenzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
m & p-Xylene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
o-Xylene	U		µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Phenols	U	2920	mg/kg	0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30		< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	

Aromatic TPH >C12-C16

Aromatic TPH >C16-C21

U

U

2680

mg/kg

2680 mg/kg

1.0

1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

Results - Soil

20-07191 981280 TP15 SOIL 1.50 03-Mar-2020

> 8.7 7.5 < 0.40 < 0.010 < 0.50 < 0.50 < 5.0 0.84 0.026 50 < 0.10 14 23 0.12 22 56 0.40 39 < 0.50 1.6 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 5.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd		Che	mtest J	ob No.:	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	
Quotation No.:	(Chemte	est Sam	ple ID.:	981268	981270	981271	981272	981274	981276	981277	981279	
		Sa	ample L	ocation:	TP09	TP12	TP10	TP10	TP11	TP13	TP14	TP15	ſ
			Sampl	e Type:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	
			Top De	pth (m):	0.75	1.00	0.05	0.80	1.50	0.50	0.10	0.10	
			Date Sa	ampled:	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	
				os Lab:			DURHAM					DURHAM	
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units										
АСМ Туре	U	2192		N/A			-						L
Asbestos Identification	U	2192	%	0.001			No Asbestos Detected					No Asbestos Detected	
ACM Detection Stage	U	2192		N/A			-					-	Γ
Moisture	Ν	2030	%	0.020	20	8.7		10	10	14	10	11	
рН	U	2010		4.0	8.5	8.0		7.3	8.2	7.2	6.2	6.5	
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	U	2120	mg/kg	0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40		< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	U	2120	g/l	0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010		< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	Ĺ
Cyanide (Free)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Cyanide (Total)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Thiocyanate	U	2300	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	Ν	2325	mg/kg	0.50	1.5	1.1		0.88	0.91	2.6	1.1	1.1	
Sulphate (Total)	U	2430	%	0.010	0.074	< 0.010		0.022	0.025	0.070	0.073	0.073	L
Arsenic	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	36	51		130	71	43	53	47	
Cadmium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.17	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.28	< 0.10	0.13	L
Chromium	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	14	13		13	11	17	17	17	L
Copper	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	24	25		12	12	39	32	36	L
Mercury	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.24	0.17		0.20	< 0.10	0.44	0.33	0.37	L
Nickel	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	25	33		25	19	23	28	25	L
Lead	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	78	50		72	44	240	120	120	L
Selenium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.20	0.49	0.21		0.26	0.34	0.89	0.93	0.73	L
Zinc	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	99	61		53	34	92	62	72	L
Chromium (Hexavalent)	Ν	2490	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	L
Organic Matter	U	2625	%	0.40	11	1.4		1.6	2.4	6.0	4.7	4.7	L
Aliphatic TPH >C5-C6	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C6-C8	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C35-C44	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Total Aliphatic Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	L
Aromatic TPH >C5-C7	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aromatic TPH >C7-C8	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aromatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aromatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
A serve atta TDLL, C40 C4C			//										4

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

6.4

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

Chemtest The right chemistry to deliver results

Results - Soil

Project: 20-0105 St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork													
Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd		Cher	ntest Jo	b No.:	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191
Quotation No.:	(st Sam		981268	981270	981271	981272	981274	981276	981277	981279	981280
		Sa	mple Lo		TP09	TP12	TP10	TP10	TP11	TP13	TP14	TP15	TP15
		Sample Type:			SOIL								
			Top Dep	, ,	0.75	1.00	0.05	0.80	1.50	0.50	0.10	0.10	1.50
			Date Sa	-	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020						
			Asbest				DURHAM					DURHAM	
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD									
Aromatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C35-C44	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Ν	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	6.4	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	10.0	< 10	< 10		< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Naphthalene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Fluorene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Phenanthrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	0.30	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.28	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	0.78	0.56		< 0.10	0.47	1.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	0.80	0.78		< 0.10	0.64	1.2	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[a]anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.50	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Chrysene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.46	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.65	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.38	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[a]pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.75	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.49	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.55	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Total Of 16 PAH's	U	2700	mg/kg	2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0	< 2.0	6.4	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
Benzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m & p-Xylene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-Xylene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Phenols	U	2920	mg/kg	0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30		< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30

Results - Soil

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd			ntest Jo		20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	
Quotation No.:	(Chemte	st Sam	ple ID.:	981281	981283	981285	981288	981289	
		Sa	ample Lo		TP16	TP17 SOIL 0.20	TP18	TP19	TP20 SOIL 0.20	
			Sampl	e Type:	SOIL 0.50		SOIL	SOIL		
			Top Dep				0.50	0.50		
			Date Sa		03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	
			Asbest	os Lab:			DURHAM		DURHAM	
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD						
АСМ Туре	U	2192		N/A			-		-	
Asbestos Identification	U	2192	%	0.001			No Asbestos Detected		No Asbesto Detected	
ACM Detection Stage	U	2192		N/A			-		-	
Moisture	N	2030	%	0.020	13	15	13	13	15	
рН	U	2010		4.0	8.0	7.7	7.3	7.5	5.8	
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	U	2120	mg/kg	0.40	0.58	0.62	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	U	2120	g/l	0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	
Cyanide (Free)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Cyanide (Total)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.60	
Thiocyanate	U	2300	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	N	2325	mg/kg	0.50	1.7	2.2	2.0	0.90	1.2	
Sulphate (Total)	U	2430	%	0.010	0.10	0.20	0.088	0.032	0.087	
Arsenic	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	46	76	38	62	28	
Cadmium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.26	0.50	0.59	< 0.10	0.12	
Chromium	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	19	24	14	17	19	
Copper	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	48	83	110	19	25	
Mercury	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.66	1.5	0.51	0.19	0.24	
Nickel	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	31	38	24	22	25	
Lead	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	170	300	220	83	100	
Selenium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.20	0.72	1.3	0.77	0.53	0.71	
Zinc	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	96	180	120	55	74	
Chromium (Hexavalent)	N	2490	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Organic Matter	U	2625	%	0.40	8.1	11	9.3	1.9	5.3	
Aliphatic TPH >C5-C6	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C6-C8	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C35-C44	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Aliphatic Hydrocarbons	Ν	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Aromatic TPH >C5-C7	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C7-C8	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	

Results - Soil

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd		Che	mtest Jo	ob No.:	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191
Quotation No.:	(Chemtest Sample ID.:			981281	981283	981285	981288	981289
		Sa	ample Lo		TP16	TP17	TP18	TP19	TP20
			Sampl	е Туре:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			Top Dep		0.50	0.20	0.50	0.50	0.20
			Date Sa	ampled:	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020
			Asbest	os Lab:			DURHAM		DURHAM
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD					
Aromatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C35-C44	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	10.0	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Naphthalene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Fluorene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Phenanthrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	2.0	< 0.10
Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.75	< 0.10
Fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.2	0.41	6.7	0.81
Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.1	0.50	7.1	0.81
Benzo[a]anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	3.2	< 0.10
Chrysene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	3.5	< 0.10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	4.5	< 0.10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	1.9	< 0.10
Benzo[a]pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	3.7	< 0.10
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	2.5	< 0.10
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.80	< 0.10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	2.6	< 0.10
Total Of 16 PAH's	U	2700	mg/kg	2.0	< 2.0	2.3	< 2.0	39	< 2.0
Benzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m & p-Xylene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-Xylene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Phenols	U	2920	mg/kg	0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30

The right chemistry to deliver results

Report Information

Key

- U UKAS accredited
- M MCERTS and UKAS accredited
- N Unaccredited
- S This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is accredited for this analysis
- SN This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is not accredited for this analysis
- T This analysis has been subcontracted to an unaccredited laboratory
- I/S Insufficient Sample
- U/S Unsuitable Sample
- N/E not evaluated
- < "less than"
- > "greater than"

Comments or interpretations are beyond the scope of UKAS accreditation The results relate only to the items tested Uncertainty of measurement for the determinands tested are available upon request None of the results in this report have been recovery corrected All results are expressed on a dry weight basis The following tests were analysed on samples as received and the results subsequently corrected to a dry weight basis TPH, BTEX, VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs, Phenols For all other tests the samples were dried at < 37°C prior to analysis All Asbestos testing is performed at the indicated laboratory Issue numbers are sequential starting with 1 all subsequent reports are incremented by 1

Sample Deviation Codes

- A Date of sampling not supplied
- B Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to extraction)
- C Sample not received in appropriate containers
- D Broken Container
- E Insufficient Sample (Applies to LOI in Trommel Fines Only)

Sample Retention and Disposal

All soil samples will be retained for a period of 45 days from the date of receipt All water samples will be retained for 14 days from the date of receipt Charges may apply to extended sample storage

If you require extended retention of samples, please email your requirements to:

customerservices@chemtest.com



APPENDIX J PRA/GQRA



Contaminated Land Risk Assessment St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork

M01831-07_DG01 | July 2020

WATER & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS
[PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]



DOCUMENT CONTROL

DOCUMENT FILENAME	M01831-07 ST. KEVIN'S ASYLUM, CORK_CONTAMINATED LAND Risk Assessment.Docx			
DOCUMENT REFERENCE	M01831-07_DG01			
TITLE	Contaminated Land Risk Assessment			
CLIENT	Causeway Geotech Ltd			
CLIENT CONTACT	Causeway Geotech Ltd			
PROJECT MANAGER	Francis McAuley			
AUTHOR(S)	Eppie Heaney-Henderson, Francis McAuley			
BRANCH	DUBLIN Unit 12, The BEaT Centre, Stephenstown Industrial Estate, Balbriggan, Co. Dublin T: +353 (0) 1 5138963 W: <u>www.mccloyconsulting.com</u>			

REVISION HISTORY

Rev. Ref.	Date	Prep	Chk	App	Amendments	Reason for Issue
1	26/03/2020	EHH	FM	FM	-	Interim Report for Review
2	07/07/2020	EHH	FM	FM	-	Final

DISTRIBUTION

Recipient	Revision					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
FILE	✓	~				
Causeway Geotech Ltd	\checkmark	\checkmark				

DISCLAIMER

This document has been prepared solely as a Contaminated Land Risk Assessment for Causeway Geotech Ltd at the instruction of the party named in this document control sheet. McCloy Consulting Ltd accepts no responsibility or liability for any use that is made of this document other than for the purposes for which it was originally commissioned and prepared, including by any third party.

The contents and format of this report are subject to copyright owned by McCloy Consulting Ltd save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned by us to another party or is used by McCloy Consulting Ltd under licence. McCloy Consulting Ltd own the copyright in this report and it may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than the purpose indicated in this report.



CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	 1
	1.1 TERMS OF REFERENCE	
	1.2 OBJECTIVES	
	1.3 STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY	
2	1.4 PROCEDURE	
2	2.1 SITE DETAILS	
	2.1 SITE LOCATION	
	2.3 DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL	
3	SITE SETTING	
-	3.1 GROUND CONDITIONS	
	3.1.1 Published Geology	
	3.1.2 Radon	
	3.2 Hydrogeology	 . 3
	3.2.1 Aquifer Characteristics and Vulnerability	 . 3
	3.2.2 Groundwater Abstractions	
	3.3 Hydrology 3.3.1 Surface Watercourses	
	3.3.2 Surface Water Catchments	
	3.4 DESIGNATED SITES AND SITES OF ENVIRONMENTAL INTEREST	 . 4
	3.5 EPA LICENSED IPPC / WASTE FACILITIES	
	3.6 HISTORIC MAPPING REVIEW	 . 4
4	INITIAL CONCEPTUAL MODEL	 6
	4.1 POTENTIAL CONTAMINATION SOURCES	
	4.1.1 Onsite	
	4.1.2 Offsite	
	4.2 POTENTIAL PATHWAYS	
	4.3 RECEPTORS	
	4.4.1 Methodology	
	4.4.2 Pollutant Linkage Discussion	
5	SITE INVESTIGATION	 9
	5.1 Scope of works	
	5.2 Site Investigation Rationale and Methodology	
	5.2.1 Overview of site investigation design and rationale	
6	GROUND CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED	
	6.1 TRIAL PITS	
	6.1.1 Made Ground	
	6.1.2 Superficial Deposits	
	6.1.4 Groundwater	
	6.2 BOREHOLE(S)	
	6.2.1 Made Ground	
	6.2.2 Superficial Deposits	
	6.2.3 Bedrock	
	6.2.4 Groundwater 6.3 CONTAMINATION	
7	GENERIC QUANTITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT	
1	7.1 SOIL	
	7.1 SOIL	
	7.1.2 Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons	
	7.2 GROUNDWATER	
	7.3 GROUND GAS	
	7.3.1 Meteorological Conditions	
	7.3.2 Site Gas Concentrations.	
	7.4 POLLUTANT LINKAGES FOR ASSESSMENT	
	7.4.1 Risks to Furniar Health	
	7.5 REVISED CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL	
8	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	



8.1 Devel	OPMENT PROPOSALS	. 13
8.2 Summ	ARY OF PRELIMINARY RISK ASSESSMENT (PRA)	. 13
8.3 Summ	ARY OF GENERIC QUANTITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT (GQRA)	. 13
8.4 RECOM	MMENDATIONS	. 13
8.4.1 Gr	ound gas monitoring	. 13
8.4.2 Ca	onsideration of clean soil capping layers	. 14
8.5 RESID	UAL RISKS	. 14

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2-1: SITE DESCRIPTION	2
Table 3-1 : Offsite Borehole Summary	
TABLE 3-2: EPA LICENSED IPPC / WASTE FACILITIES	4
Table 3-3: Summary of Historical Land use	5
Table 4-1: Initial Conceptual Model	8
Table 8-1: Summary of initial conceptual site model1	3

APPENDICES

Appendix A Location Plan Appendix B Risk Classification Appendix C Borehole and Trial Pit Logs Appendix D Laboratory Certificates Appendix E Generic Quantitative Analysis



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Terms of Reference

This Contaminated Land Risk Assessment report was commissioned by Causeway Geotech Ltd to support proposals for a residential development within the grounds of St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork.

1.2 **Objectives**

The Contaminated Land Risk Assessment is a combined Preliminary Risk Assessment (PRA) and Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment (GQRA) and provides a site-specific assessment of the contamination status of the site. The assessment identifies and quantifies potential complete pollutant linkages and is informed by an intrusive site investigation and environmental monitoring programme.

1.3 Statement of Authority

This assessment has been prepared and reviewed by qualified professions with appropriate experience. The key staff members involved in this project are as follows:

- Eppie Heaney-Henderson BSc MSc Graduate Geo-environmental Consultant specialising in geoenvironmental investigations and groundwater resource assessments.
- Francis McAuley BSc (Hons) MSc FGS Senior Consultant (Hydrogeologist) experienced in undertaking geo-environmental assessments, site investigations, and hydrogeological risk assessments

1.4 **Procedure**

This assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the Model Procedures for the Management of Land Contamination (CLR11, Environment Agency, 2004)¹ which is the recommended technical guidance and procedure presented in the 'Outline of Risk Based Approach' section of the EPA (2013) document Guidance on the Management of Contaminated Land and Groundwater at EPA Licensed Sites. In additional overall risk assessment methodology has been informed by the EPA's Code of Practice: Environmental Risk Assessment for Unregulated Waste Disposal Sites (2007)², referred in herein as "COP".

1

¹ Environment Agency (2004). Model Procedures for the Management of Land Contamination (CLR11). DAERA(2016) Available from: https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/articles/contaminated-land [Accessed: 06/03/2018].

² EPA (2007) Code of Practice: Environmental Risk Assessment for Unregulated Waste Disposal Sites



2 SITE DETAILS

2.1 Site Location

The site (centroid IGR 164988, 71725) is accessed from Rose Hill Upper Road and located north of the River Lee c.2.6 km northwest of Cork city centre.

2.2 Site Description

A description of the site is presented in Table 2-1 below.

Feature	Description
Site Description	
Site access and boundary description	The site is accessed from Rose Hill Upper Road at the east – north-east of the site. The Rose Hill Upper Road along with residential developments bounds the north and eastern boundary of the site. The western and southern boundaries are bound by neighbouring commercial units.
Ground levels / Topography	Ground levels at the north boundary of the site are c.65m OD and decrease with distance towards the river to the south of the site. Ground levels at the southern boundary are c.40m OD.
Ground cover	Ground cover is predominantly hardstanding with areas of vegetation cover and hedgerows and trees are evident throughout the site.
Built Development	Previous built development onsite.
Surrounding Land use	
North	Residential developments, The Carraig Mor Centre and St. Annes Pitch and Putt club.
East	Residential developments, commercial properties (i.e. Shanakiel Diagnostic Imaging) and historical Cork City Gaol.
South	Commercial property and River Lee
West	Commercial properties (Atkins Hall Apartments) and agricultural land with defined boundaries.

Table	2-1: 9	Site	description
iubic		JICC	acscription

2.3 Development Proposal

The development proposal includes the development of a residential housing with associated car parking, garden areas, and minor areas of soft landscaping.



3 SITE SETTING

3.1 Ground Conditions

3.1.1 <u>Published Geology</u>

A review of the Geological Survey Ireland published (1:100,000 scale) bedrock mapping datasets indicates the majority of the site is underlain by the Cuskinny Member, described as flaser bedded sandstone and mudstone. Bedrock underlying the NE of the site is the Glyeen Formation, described as sandstone with mudstone and siltstone. The north of the site is underlain by the Old Head Sandstone Formation, described as flaser-bedded sandstone and minor mudstone.

A review of the Geological Survey Ireland published (1:100,000 scale) quaternary sediments map indicates most of the site is underlain by 'urban' deposits. It is recorded that north-west corner of the site is mapped as Till derived from Devonian sandstones. An outcrop of bedrock is noted from to north-west of the site (Old Head Sandstone Formation).

3.1.2 <u>Radon</u>

A review of the Radon Map on the EPA website³ indicates the site is situated within an area where 1 - 5 % of the homes in the area estimated to be above the Radon reference level.

3.2 Hydrogeology

3.2.1 Aquifer Characteristics and Vulnerability

The groundwater body underlying the site is mapped as the Ballinhassig East groundwater body⁴, it is described as a poorly productive bedrock. The site is categorized as category LI, described as a locally important aquifer, moderately productive only in local zones) dominated by fracture flow. The site is located close to the margin / boundary of the South Cork groundwater body located to the south of the site, with a category Rg and is described as a regionally Important gravel aquifer.

GSI Groundwater Vulnerability mapping indicates the groundwater within the bedrock aquifer underlying the site has a 'Extreme' vulnerability to surface pollutants meaning it has a little to no thickness (<10m) of low permeability overburden affording the aquifer little / no protection for surface pollutants.

Groundwater flow is assumed to flow in a general south-western direction congruent with local surface water flow, discharging towards the River Lee.

3.2.2 Groundwater Abstractions

A review of the GSI Groundwater Wells and Springs data indicated the presence of 1 no. borehole records within a 1 km radius of the site. The key information is summarised in the table below/overleaf.

Table 3-1: Offsite Borehole Summary

Borehole No. /ID	Year	Depth (m)	Comments	Location (m)
1405NEW066	1961	24.4	-	Within 1km of the site to the south.

A review of group scheme abstractions on the GSI groundwater data viewer indicates there are no group scheme abstractions within 1km of the site.

³ Environmental Protection Agency (2020), Radon Map. Available from http://www.epa.ie/radiation/radonmap/

⁴ Geological Survey Ireland (2020), Groundwater Bodies, Dublin GWB: Summary of Initial Characterisation. Available from https://jetstream.gsi.ie/iwdds/delivery/GSI_Transfer/Groundwater/GWB/DublinGWB.pdf



3.3 Hydrology

3.3.1 Surface Watercourses

A desktop review of various mapping sources indicated that the nearest watercourse is the River Lee located c.0.25km south of the site and discharges into Lough Mahon which then discharges into the Celtic Sea c.14km southeast of the site.

3.3.2 Surface Water Catchments

The site is situated within the Lee, Cork Harbour and Youghal Bay catchment covering an area of c.2153km².

3.4 Designated Sites and Sites of Environmental Interest

Environmental receptors sensitive to change in the water environment such as Special Protected Areas (SPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Areas of Special Scientific Interest (ASSI), and RAMSAR sites have been considered within this assessment.

The Cork Harbour SPA / RAMSAR is located c.20km southeast of the site.

3.5 EPA Licensed IPPC / Waste Facilities

A review of the EPA 'waste' web maps identified several landfill / waste sites within 3 km of the site. A summary is shown in Table 3-2 below.

Name	Туре	Licensed	Distance from site	Direction from site
Cork University Hospital	Waste	Surrendered	1.47km	South
Ashgrove Recycling	Waste	Licensed	2.1km	Northeast

Table 3-2: EPA Licensed IPPC / Waste Facilities

3.6 Historic Mapping Review

A review of historic potentially contaminative land uses at the site and surrounding area (250 m) was undertaken. The review incorporated:

- Records available through the Ordnance Survey Ireland's GeoHive viewer⁵;
- Review of Google Earth Satellite imagery

⁵ Ordnance Survey Ireland (2017) GeoHive Viewer. Available at http://map.geohive.ie/ Accessed 26/02/2020



Epoch	Map Source	Onsite	Surrounding Area (250m)
Historic Map 6-inch Colour (1837- 1842)	GeoHive	Agricultural land with defined boundaries. Development present to the west of the site.	Defined land present to the north and west of the site. Residential and commercial developments present to the east and southeast and waterworks, a reservoir and River Lee present to the south.
Historic Map 25- inch (1888-1913)	GeoHive	Mental institution, hospital, chapel, mortuary, paths, garden areas and other patient outbuildings present.	Additional outbuildings. paths and forested areas Additional residential and commercial development to the southwest, southeast and east of site. Agricultural land present with defined boundaries to the north of site.
Aerial (1995)	GeoHive	No significant changes.	Additional outbuilding development. Increased residential development to the east of the site (Ashboro) and increased residential and commercial development (industrial estate and business park) to the north of the site. Reservoirs present to the northeast of site boundary.
Aerial (2000)	GeoHive	No significant changes.	Additional outbuilding development. Redevelopment of existing buildings to the northwest of the site.
Aerial (2005)	GeoHive	No significant changes.	Additional outbuilding development. Redevelopment of existing buildings / land use to west of the site.
Aerial (2005-2012)	GeoHive	No significant changes.	No significant changes.
Digital Globe 2011 -2013	GeoHive	Demolition of building located north within the site boundary.	Addition of residential developments to the north of the site.
Google Earth 2015	GoogleEarth	No significant changes.	No significant changes.
Google Earth 2015 -Present day	GoogleEarth	No significant changes.	No significant changes.

Table 3-3: Summary of Historical Land use



4 INITIAL CONCEPTUAL MODEL

For a risk of pollution or environmental harm to occur as a result of ground contamination, all of the following elements must be present:

- A source, i.e. a substance that is capable of causing pollution or harm;
- A receptor, i.e. something which could be adversely affected by the contaminant; and
- A pathway, i.e. a route by which the contaminant can reach the receptor.

Each of these elements can exist independently, but when they are all present and linked together, they create a risk. If one of the above elements is missing, there is no risk.

When a source-pathway-receptor linkage is present the risk is a function of the magnitude and mobility of the source, the sensitivity of the receptor, and the nature of the pathway.

This section presents a preliminary conceptual model by presenting the potential sources, pathways, and receptors and identifying plausible pollutant linkages.

4.1 **Potential Contamination Sources**

4.1.1 <u>Onsite</u>

A review of the historical mapping and recent aerial orthophotography indicates the local area has been significantly developed. The site was in operation until March 2002 as a mental asylum including hospital and mortuary results. Former development onsite has resulted in the potential for reduced quality made ground to be present at the site, which may contain contaminants such as heavy metals, sulphates, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH), asbestos, and bulk ground gases.

4.1.2 <u>Offsite</u>

A review of the historical and current land uses within the vicinity of the site indicates no potentially contaminative land use within 250 m of the site boundary.

4.2 **Potential Pathways**

Pathways are the means by which a contaminant can reach a receptor. Active pathways are primarily dependent on the physical characteristics of the site and the surrounding area between source and receptor. The nature of the site surface affects the potential for surface waters to infiltrate and penetrate the subsurface. The potential for infiltration will in turn affect the potential for leachate generation from potentially impacted vadose (unsaturated) zone soils.

The key pathways and exposure routes by which potentially contaminative substances can reach receptors are considered to be:

- Direct contact with soil and groundwater;
- Ingestion of soil and groundwater;
- Inhalation of fugitive dust; and
- Inhalation of ground gas / vapours to the proposed dwellings and buildings.
- Leaching of potential contaminants from soil to groundwater in the bedrock aquifer;
- Lateral and vertical migration of dissolved and free phase contaminants via groundwater

4.3 Receptors

Receptors are defined by their potential for being adversely affected by a contaminant. Receptors can be grouped into those that impact human health, and those that impact environmental receptors, such as controlled waters.

Human health receptors identified include:



• Future site end-users.

Environmental receptors identified include:

- Groundwater contained within the bedrock aquifer;
- Adjacent surface water environment including River Lee and Cork Harbour SPA / RAMSAR

Construction workers are not considered as a potential receptor in the conceptual model as personal protective equipment and procedures to ensure adherence to best practice guidelines during construction will limit exposure to potential contamination.

4.4 Conceptual Model

4.4.1 <u>Methodology</u>

The above information has been used to compile the initial conceptual site model (Table 4.1).

Where a complete pollutant linkage is identified, it is included in the conceptual site model table (CSM), and an estimated risk is assigned to each complete linkage. For a pollutant linkage to exist there has to be a viable pathway between a potential source and receptor (human health or environmental).

If there is no viable pathway linking a potential source to a receptor, it is not included in the below table as, by definition, the potential source poses no risk.

The classification methodology used to categorise the risk associated with complete pollutant linkages is provided at Appendix B. The risk categorisation is based on CLR 11 and considers the guidance laid out in CIRIA C552 and C665.

4.4.2 Pollutant Linkage Discussion

Risk to the environment (controlled waters) from onsite sources

Due to previous development at the site there is the potential for reduced quality made ground and shallow soils to be present and contain contaminants such as heavy metals, sulphates, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH), asbestos, and bulk ground gases.

The pathway for contaminants to migrate across the site is via leaching of potential contaminants from soil to groundwater in the bedrock aquifer and / or lateral and vertical migration of dissolved and free phase contaminants via surface runoff and / or groundwater, including River Lee to the south and Cork Harbour SPA / RAMSAR to the southeast.

Risk to human health from onsite sources

Due to previous development at the site there is the potential for reduced quality made ground and shallow soils to be present and contain contaminants such as heavy metals, sulphates, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH), asbestos, and bulk ground gases.

The pathway for contaminants to migrate across site is via direct contact with reduced quality soils, and / or inhalation and exposure to ground gases and VOC's.

Risk to human health from offsite sources (site end users)

No identified sources of contamination were identified off site. Therefore, no complete pollutant linkage exists from any potential offsite sources and site end users, to conclude no risk has been identified.



Table 4-1: Initial Conceptual Model

Potential contaminants	Potential receptor	Possible pathway	Likelihood	Severity	Risk
ON SITE SOURCES		·			
Reduced quality made ground:	Future site end users	Direct contact	Likely	Medium	Moderate
potentially including heavy metals, sulphates, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH), asbestos, and bulk ground gases.		Inhalation and exposure to ground gases / vapours	Likely	Medium	Moderate
	Controlled Waters (Bedrock Aquifer)	Leaching of potential contaminants from soil to groundwater in the bedrock aquifer	Likely	Medium	Moderate
	Controlled Waters (River Lee, Cork Harbour SPA / RAMSAR)	Lateral and vertical migration of dissolved and free phase contaminants via surface runoff and / or groundwater	Low	Medium	Moderate/Low



5 SITE INVESTIGATION

5.1 Scope of works

Based on the findings of the Preliminary Risk Assessment a site investigation was designed to characterise the identified potential pollutant linkages. The site investigation was designed and implemented in accordance with the following guidance:

- BS10175:2001 'Investigation of Potentially Contaminated Sites, Code of Practice'
- BS 5930:1999 'Code of Practice for Site Investigation'
- CLR4 'Sampling Strategies for Contaminated Land' (DoE, 1994)

The scope of works for the site investigation comprised the following:

- The drilling of 10 no. boreholes and installation 3 no. monitoring wells;
- Excavation of 20 no. trial pits;
- Collection of and laboratory analysis of c. 23 no. soil samples; and
- Monitoring of ground gas and groundwater levels at 3no. monitoring wells.

5.2 Site Investigation Rationale and Methodology

5.2.1 Overview of site investigation design and rationale

Boreholes and trial pits were cited to characterise the nature of the shallow soils and groundwater. The boreholes allowed for the following:

- Logging of the shallow soils (composition and thickness);
- Collection of soil samples for laboratory analysis; and
- Monitoring of ground gas and groundwater levels;

A site investigation plan is provided at Appendix C.



6 **GROUND CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED**

6.1 Trial Pits

6.1.1 <u>Made Ground</u>

Made Ground was encountered at 13 no. trial pit locations within the site. The made ground generally comprised of brown sandy silty gravelly clay. Cobbles of sandstone were also recorded.

Made Ground was encountered at the surface and ranged to depths (thicknesses) of 0.1 - 2.15m across the site.

6.1.2 <u>Superficial Deposits</u>

Superficial deposits were encountered at 11 no. trial pit locations and generally comprised silty sandy fine to coarse gravel, overlying cobbles of sandstone deposits.

The gravel deposits were generally encountered to depths of 0 – 1.6m bgl. Trial pits were terminated within gravel deposits to a maximum depth of 1.6m bgl.

6.1.3 <u>Bedrock</u>

Bedrock was encountered at 13no. locations. Depth to bedrock ranged from 0.40 - 1.60m bgl.

6.1.4 <u>Groundwater</u>

During trial pit excavations no groundwater strikes were recorded.

6.2 Borehole(s)

6.2.1 <u>Made Ground</u>

Made ground was encountered at 7 no. borehole locations and generally comprised soft to firm, light to dark brown sandy gravelly clay. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to medium with mixed lithologies.

6.2.2 <u>Superficial Deposits</u>

Superficial deposits were encountered at 8 no. borehole locations and generally comprised of light brown slightly sandy gravelly clay. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse of mixed lithologies. Very dense greenish grey sandy silty subangular to coarse gravel of sandstone. Sand is fine to coarse. Very dense greenish grey sandy clayey gravel is also noted.

6.2.3 <u>Bedrock</u>

Bedrock was encountered at 8 no. borehole locations and generally comprised of greenish grey medium strong (locally weak) very thinly bedded and grained, well cemented sandstone interbedded with very thin beds of dark grey mudstone. Partially weathered: slightly reduced strength, closer fracture spacing with a faint to patchy dark orangish brown discolouration.

6.2.4 <u>Groundwater</u>

No groundwater / groundwater strikes were encountered during intrusive site investigation works.

6.3 Contamination

No visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was recorded during the site investigation or environmental monitoring.



7 GENERIC QUANTITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT

7.1 Soil

A total of 23 no. soil samples were scheduled for a range of parameters, including:

- Metals suite
- Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (CWG)
- Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons
- BTEX compounds including MTBE
- Asbestos screen, cyanide, sulphate, and pH

As part of the generic quantitative risk assessment, results were screened against generic assessment criteria; The LQM/CIEH Suitable 4 Use Levels (S4UL's).

The screening spreadsheets are provided at Appendix E in which the results were screened against the 'residential' end use with soil organic matter contents of 1%, 2.5% and/or 6% where applicable.

In the absence of S4UL criterion, Category 4 screening levels, or CL:AIRE Generic Assessment Criteria were utilised in the generic quantitative screening process.

The majority of parameters tested were recorded as below the laboratory limit of detection and/or below the generic assessment criteria. However, 2 no. metals, and 2no. PAH compounds, returned marginally exceedances above the generic assessment criterion. Information on exceedances of the generic assessment criteria are provided below.

7.1.1 <u>Metals</u>

Arsenic levels in soils were recorded as above the generic assessment criteria at 1no. sample location. A recorded arsenic concentration of 130mg/kg at TP10 (0.8m) exceeds the Sobra GAC (child) of 80mg/kg. However, the single exceedance is not regarded as posing significant risk due to the sample being collected at depth (0.8m bgl) and the remainder of the results falling below the GAC (child). The result also falls well below the adult GAC of 7,000 mg/kg.

Lead concentration is soil exceeded the generic assessment criteria at 3no. sample locations. The generic assessment criteria for lead based on residential end use with homegrown produce is 200 mg/kg. The exceedances were marginal:

TP13 (0.5m) - 240 mg/kg

TP17 (0.2m) - 300 mg/kg

TP18 (0.5m) - 220 mg/kg

The exceedances are marginal and do not exceed that GAC for residential end-use without homegrown produce (310 mg/kg), and as such, are not regarded as posing significant risk.

7.1.2 Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons

Exceedances of benzo(b)fluoranthene were noted at 2no. locations (TP04 and TP19). Exceedances of benzo(b)fluoranthene and dibenz(a,h)Anthracene were noted at 3no. locations (TP04, TP07, TP19).

All of the above noted exceedances were marginal (same order of magnitude) and are therefore not regarded as posing significant risk.

7.2 Groundwater

No groundwater / groundwater strikes were encountered during intrusive site investigation works. All boreholes monitored over the environmental monitoring period were noted as 'dry' and therefore no groundwater samples were collected or tested.



7.3 Ground Gas

Gas monitoring and analysis of results has been carried out in accordance with BS 8485:2015 Code of Practice for the design of protective measures for methane and carbon dioxide ground gases for new buildings.

Four return gas monitoring visits were undertaken in June 2020. Monitoring was undertaken at 3 no. boreholes. The monitoring results are included in Appendix H.

7.3.1 <u>Meteorological Conditions</u>

Atmospheric pressures ranged from 996 to 1013 mbar.

7.3.2 <u>Site Gas Concentrations</u>

Gas screening values have been calculated for methane on the basis of peak flows and concentrations to reflect the fact that the risk posed by this gas is the production of an explosive mixture with air. Gas screening values for carbon dioxide have been calculated using steady state flows and concentrations to reflect the fact that the risk posed is the long-term accumulation of this suffocating gas in confined spaces.

The maximum steady state carbon dioxide concentration recorded at the site was 2% at BH09. The maximum peak methane concentration recorded at the site was 0.1 % at BH08 and BH09. The measured flow rate across the site ranged from 0.4 - 0.5 l/hr. The maximum peak and steady state flow rates were recorded at BH01 and BH09 (0.5 l/hr).

Considering the worst-case measured carbon dioxide concentration (2%) and the worst-case measured flow (0.4 l/hr), the most onerous gas screening value from across the site is 0.01 l/hr, which falls within characteristic situation 1 (CS1), when assessed under the Wilson and Card methodology.

7.4 **Pollutant Linkages for Assessment**

7.4.1 <u>Risks to Human Health</u>

7.4.1.1 Inhalation of vapours / ground gases

Soil samples were tested for volatile organic carbons (VOC's) and recorded concentrations all fell below the relevant screening criteria and as such there is no risk to human health via exposure to vapours.

Gas monitoring was carried out at 3 no. borehole locations during June 2020.

The worst case 'peak' methane concentrations, worst case 'steady-state' carbon dioxide concentrations, and worst-case flow rate, recorded at all boreholes were used to calculate gas screening values (GSV). The most onerous GSV for the site (0.01) falls within characteristic situation 1 (CS1) when assessed under Wilson and Card.

There is no risk posed to human health at the site by generation of ground gases or VOC vapours.

7.4.1.2 <u>Direct contact with reduced quality shallow soils and / or groundwater</u>

No significant contamination was encountered within the shallow soils at the site, and no shallow groundwater was encountered at the site.

7.4.2 <u>Risks to controlled waters</u>

No significant contamination was identified in shallow soils that pose a risk to underlying groundwater and/or wider water environment.

7.5 Revised Conceptual Site Model

Following the site investigation, environmental monitoring, and generic quantitative risk assessment it can be concluded that no significant source of contamination was identified onsite and therefore there are no complete pollutant linkages and, hence, no risk to human health, or the water environment.



8

8 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

8.1 Development Proposals

The proposals includes the development of residential housing with associated car parking, garden areas and minor areas of soft landscaping.

8.2 Summary of Preliminary Risk Assessment (PRA)

The Preliminary Risk Assessment included the development of an initial conceptual site model (iCSM) which identified the following complete pollutant linkages.

Potential Source	Potential receptor	Possible pathway				
Reduced quality made ground: potentially including heavy	Future site users	Inhalation and exposure to VOC's and ground gas				
metals, sulphates, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons		Direct contact with reduced quality shallow soils and / or groundwater				
(TPH), asbestos, and bulk ground gases	Controlled Waters (Bedrock Aquifer)	Leaching of potential contaminants from soil to groundwater in the bedrock aquifer				
	Controlled Waters (River Lee, Cork Harbour SPA / RAMSAR)	Lateral and vertical migration of dissolved and free phase contaminants via surface runoff and / or groundwater				

Table 8-1: Summary of initial conceptual site model

8.3 Summary of Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment (GQRA)

Following the site investigation, environmental monitoring, and generic quantitative risk assessment it can be concluded that no significant source of contamination was identified onsite and therefore there are no complete pollutant linkages and, hence, no risk to human health, or the water environment. Following the site investigation, environmental monitoring, and generic quantitative risk assessment it can be concluded that no significant source of contamination was identified onsite and therefore there are no complete pollutant linkages and, hence, no risk to human health, or the water environment.

8.4 **Recommendations**

8.4.1 Ground gas monitoring

The proposed development comprises of residential development. To comply with Guidance Document BS 8485 and NHBC⁶ a minimum of 6 no. ground gas monitoring rounds are required to have taken place onsite prior to development.

It is recommended that a further 2 no. additional monitoring rounds are undertaken onsite before development proceeds.

⁶ Guidance on evaluation and development of proposals on sites where methan and carbon dioxide are present (NHBC, 2007)



8.4.2 <u>Consideration of clean soil capping layers</u>

Although no significant contamination was identified across the site, some marginal exceedances of certain GAC values were recorded for lead, arsenic, and 2no. PAH compounds (as discussed in Section 7.2). As such, consideration may be given to installing certain levels of clean cover capping layers in residential garden areas, and areas of soft landscaping proximal to areas where marginal / single exceedances were recorded. Should this be incorporated into development proposals and were to be carried out in accordance to relevant industry guidance^{7 &}, clean cover systems installed in areas of private residential garden areas would have minimum thickness of 600mm and include a basal capillary break layer of thickness 150-200mm and at least 150mm of clean topsoil. The topsoil should comply with BS 3882⁹. The capillary break layers should comprise clean quarry stone. Areas of soft landscaping areas in shared spaces would comprise a minimum thickness of 400mm clean cover.

8.5 Residual Risks

8.5.1.1 Unexpected Contamination

It is anticipated the Planning Authority may require an additional related (standard) planning condition, i.e. during development works should evidence of contamination be encountered, works should cease, and the Planning Authority shall be notified immediately. Any intrusive investigation, remediation and verification undertaken on the identified area would be required to be recorded and completed to the satisfaction of the Planning Authority.

⁷ BRE 465: Cover systems for land regeneration - Thickness of cover systems for contaminated land

⁸ Planning guidance in relation to ground contamination: guidance note for applicants, developers, land owners and consultants Version 6.00 (September 2016) Environmental Protection Group and Manchester City Council

⁹ BS 3882:2015 Specification for Topsoil



Appendix A

Location Plan





Appendix **B**

Risk Classification



CLR11 outlines the framework to be followed for risk assessment in the UK. This report follows the first stage of the risk assessment process; preliminary risk assessment.

The initial conceptual model has been produced based on an assessment of risk, which is based a combination of the likelihood of an event occurring and its magnitude of its consequence. As such the guidance provided in CIRIA 552 has been used to produce the above conceptual model.

Classification	Definition
Severe	Short term (acute) risk to human health likely to result in "significant harm" as defined by the Environment Protection Act 1990, Part 2A.
	Catastrophic damage to buildings/property.
	Short term risk of pollution of sensitive water resource.
	Short term risk to a particular ecosystem, or organism forming part of such ecosystem (note: the definitions of ecological systems within the Defra Circular on Contaminated Land,01/2006)
Medium	Chronic damage to Human Health ("significant harm" as defined in the Defra Circular on Contaminated Land,01/2006)
	Pollution of sensitive water resources
	A significant change in a particular ecosystem, or organism forming part of such ecosystem. (note: the definitions of ecological systems within Defra Circular on Contaminated Land, 01/2006)
Mild	Pollution of non-sensitive water resources.
	Significant damage to crops, buildings, structures and services ("significant harm" as defined in the Defra Circular on Contaminated Land, 01/2006).
	Damage to sensitive buildings/structures or the environment.
Minor	Harm, not necessarily significant harm but that could result in financial loss, or expenditure to resolve.
	Non-permanent health effects to human health (easily prevented by means such as Personal Protective Clothing, etc).
	Easily repairable effects of damage to buildings/structures.

Table D1 Classification of Consequence (based on Table CIRIA552 6.3)



Classification	Definition
High Likelihood	An event which would either appear very likely in the short term and almost inevitable over the long term, or, there is evidence at the receptor of harm or pollution.
Likely	It is probable that an event, pollution linkage and all the elements are present and in the right place which means that it is probable that an event will occur.
	Circumstances are such that an event is not inevitable, but possible in the short term and likely over the long term.
Low Likelihood	Circumstances are possible under which an event could occur, but it is not means certain that even over a longer period such event would take place, and is less likely in the shorter term.
Unlikely	Circumstances are such that it is improbable that an event would occur even in the very long term

Once the probability of an event occurring and its consequence have been classified as a consequences/risk category may then be assigned in accordance to Table D3. Definitions of consequence or risk category are as below in Table D4

Table D3 Comparison of consequence against probability

		Consequence of Risl	ĸ		
		Severe	Medium	Mild	Minor
	High Likelihood	Very High Risk	High Risk	Moderate Risk	Moderate/Lo w Risk
	Likely	High Risk	Moderate Risk	Moderate/ Low Risk	Low Risk
ability	Low Likelihood	Moderate Risk	Moderate/ Low Risk	Low Risk	Very Low Risk
Probabi	Unlikely	Moderate/ Low Risk	Low Risk	Very Low Risk	Very Low Risk



Classification	Description
Very High Risk	There is a high probability that severe harm could arise to a designated receptor from an identified hazard,
	OR,
	There is evidence that severe harm to a designated receptor is currently happening and as such urgent investigation and remediation are likely to be required, and could results in substantial liability.
High Risk	Harm is likely to occur. Remedial works may be required, with a realisation of the risk is likely to present a suitability liability, with investigation required and remedial in the short and likely long term.
Moderate Risk	It is possible that harm could arise to a designated receptor from an identified hazard.
Low Risk	It is possible that harm could arise to a receptor from an identified hazard, but it is likely that if the hard would occur, at worst, this harm if realised would normally be mild.
Very Low Risk	There is a low possibility that harm could occur. In the event of such harm being realised it is not likely to be severe.

Table D4 Definitions of these risk categories (based on Table CIRA 552 6.6)



Appendix C

Borehole and Trial Pit Logs

Contaminated Land Risk Assessment St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork

Meth	8/ -	AUS G	EOT	EC	H	Base	(m)		0105	Client: Client's		velopment Nahony Co	Agency nsulting Engir	neers			BH01	
Light Per Rotary D Rotary 0	cussion Drilling	Dando Te Comacchi Comacchi	errier o 205	0	.00 .10 .50	0.1 2.5 5.5	LO 50	16492	Final Depth: 5.50 m Sta 927.73 E				04/03/2020	Driller: Logger:	_		Scale: 1: FINAI	:50
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Fiel	d Record	s		Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend		Des	cription		1	Water	Backfill	
								63.46	0.10		\TOPSOIL Very dense greenish description)	a grey sandy c	layey subangula	r GRAVEL (Driller's	7		0.5 1.0 · 1.5 2.0 ·
								61.26 61.06	2.30 2.50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Grey SANDSTONE (D Medium strong (loca			fine graine	d well	_		2.5
			100 100	0 50	9				(1.30)		cemented grey SANI grey MUDSTONE. Pa closer fracture spaci discolouration. Discontinuities:	DSTONE inter artially weath ing with patcl	bedded with ve ered: slightly red hy dark orangish	ry thin bed duced stren brown	s of sark ngth,			3.0 -
3.60		_			_			50.70			1. 10 to 20 degree b planar and slightly u	indulating, sn						3.5
								59.76	3.80	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	staining on fracture 2. At 3.3m to 3.40m patchy dark orangisl	>85 degree j			ith	1		, 4.0
			100 100	0 44	7				(0.70)		Medium strong (loca cemented grey SAN	ally weak) ve DSTONE inter	ry thinly bedded bedded with ve	fine graine ry thin bed	s of dark			*
								59.06	4.50		grey mudstone. Part slightly closer fractu							4.5
.10		-			10				(1.00)		staining. Discontinuities: 1. 0 to 15 degree joi	nts medium	spaced (100/235	5/300) plan	ar			5.0
.50			100 85	30				58.06	5.50		smooth with faint an surfaces.	nd patchy ora	angish brown sta	ining on fra	acture			,
								50.00			Medium strong (loca cemented grey SANI grey MUDSTONE. Pa closer fracture spaci discolouration.	DSTONE inter artially weath	bedded with ve ered: slightly red	ry thin bed duced strer	s of dark Igth,			6.0
											Discontinuities: 1. 10 to 20 degree b planar, smooth with surfaces.							6.5
											2.>85 degree joints rough with faint and surfaces. 5.40m to 5.50m: Discontin	d patchy dark	orangish brown					7.0
																		8.0
																		0.0
																		8.5
																		9.
			TCR SCI	R RQD) FI											+		
uck at (m)	Water Casing to (m)	Strikes Time (min) I	Rose to	(m) I	From (elling To (m	Details		Remarks No ground [,]	water encountered.							
Casing I To (m)	Details Diam (mm)	Water A From (m)	Added To (m															
2.50	130		ווו) טו	<u>, </u>	Core	Barr	el	Flush	Type 1	Terminati	on Reason			Last Up	dated			

		EOTE	СН		20-	ect No. 0105	Project Client: Client's		velopment A		neers		orehole ID BH02
Method Light Percussio	Plant Us n Dando Ter		op (m) E 0.00	0.50		dinates 22.93 E	Final De	pth: 0.50 m	Start Date:	04/03/2020	Driller: JC		heet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:40
				Carlos Lucas		28.17 N	Elevatio	n: 65.82 mOD	End Date:	04/03/2020	/03/2020 Logger: SR		FINAL
(m) Te 0.20 - 0.30 B1 0.30 - 0.50 B2	pie / Field		ır	Casing Wate periton Deptition (m) Dry	65.61 65.52 65.32	Depth (m) - 0.20 - 0.50 - 0.50 - -	Legend	TOPSOIL Soft light brown slig (Gravel is angular fir Very dense greenisl (GRAVEL of sandstor	ghtly sandy gra ne to coarse of h grey sandy si ne. Sand is fine	mixed lithologie Ity subangular f	es.	Water	Backfill
	to (m) Time (min) R	ose to (m)			ng Detail		Remarks No groundv	vater encountered.					
Casing Detail To (m) Diam		dded To (m)											
								on Reason on possible bedrock			Last Updated		AGS

•		GEOT	AY ECH				ct No. 0105	Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Client: Land Development Agency Client's Rep: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers		hole ID H03
Metho		Plant Used	Top (m)			Coord	linates		Shee	et 1 of 1
Light Percu	ussion	Dando Terrier	0.00	1.0	60		9.09 E 2.20 N	Final Depth: 1.60 m Start Date: 04/03/2020 Driller: JC Elevation: 65.21 mOD End Date: 04/03/2020 Logger: SR		le: 1:40 NAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	;	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend Description	Water	ackfill
0.20 - 1.20 1.20 - 1.60 1.60 1.60 - 1.98	B1 B2 D3 SPT (S)	N=49 (20,10/49 for 22 Hammer SN = 0490	5mm)		Dry	65.01 64.01 63.61	- 0.20 - 1.20 - 1.60	TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Soft to firm light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse of mixed lithologies. Soft to firm light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is angular to rounded fine to coarse of mixed lithologies. End of Borehole at 1.60m	-	0.5 1.0 - 1.5
1.60		04-03-2020			Dry					2.0 - 2.5 3.0 - 3.5 4.0 - 4.5 5.0 -
							- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			6.0 - 6.5 7.0 -
Casing D	asing to (m	r Strikes) Time (min) Rose to (Display="block"> Display="block" block" Display="block" block" Display="block" block" block" block Display="block" block" block" block block Display="block" block block" block block Display="block" block block block" block block block block block" block b			ellin _i To (g Details m) Tim		le marks		
								ermination Reason Last Updated , erminated on refusal. 04/06/2020		AGS

	C	G	E							ct No. 0105	Client: Land Development Agency Client's Rep: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers						Borehole ID BH04
Metho		Plant L				(m)			Coord	Coordinates Final Depth: 8.00 m Start Date: 05/03/2020 Drille							Sheet 1 of 2
Light Percu Rotary Dr Rotarty C	rilling	Dando T Comacch Comacch	io 20)5	1.	00 20 00	1.2 5.(8.(00		19.82 E 55.55 N	Elevatio		Logger: SR+I	Scale: 1:5			
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Fie	eld Rec	cords			Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend		Des	scription	Ч. п.		Backfill
0.10 - 0.50	B1								58.32	0.10		MADE GROUND: Bi MADE GROUND: G		ndy angular to	subangular fine to		
0.50 - 1.20	B2								57.92	0.50		coarse GRAVEL of m	ixed litholog	ies with low cob	ble content. Sand		0.5
0.50 - 1.20	DZ								57.92	0.50		fine to coarse. Cobl Firm light brown sa				el is	0.5
												angular fine to med	ium of mixed	lithologies.			1.0
										1.20					00.0010		1.0
1.20 1.20 - 1.65	D3 SPT (S)	N=44 (20,10/	/9,11,	12,12	2)			Dry	57.22			Very dense greenish description)	n grey sandy o	clayey subangula	ar GRAVEL (Driller	s	
		Hammer SN :			,					Ē							1.5
1.20		05-03-2020						Dry		Ē							
										Ē							2.0
										Ē							
										Ē							2.5
										Ē							
										E		-					3.0
												-					
																	3.5
																	4.0
										Ē							
									53.92	4.50							4.5
									55.52	4.50		Greenish grey SAND	STONE (Drille	er's description)			
										E 5 00							
						6			53.42	- 5.00		Medium strong thin cemented SANDSTC		•	-		5.0
			100	69	13	>20				(0.50)		strength, closer frac					
			-			10			52.92	5.50 (0.30)		discolouration. Discontinuities:				l	5.5
5.80					-	-			52.62	5.80		1.>80 degree joint f				/	
			100	51	0	13				(0.47)		patchy orangish bro Medium strong (loc					6.0
			100	71					52.15	6.27		cemented SANDSTC	NE interbed	ded with very th	in beds of mudsto	one.	
5.50					├	18				Ē		Partially weathered faint patchy orangis			cture spacing with	י 📗	6.5
			100	80	50	8				(0.83)		Discontinuities:			and (100/200)		
				55		Ľ			51.32	7.10		1. 55 to 70 degree b >500) slightly undul	-				7.0
7.20			\vdash		-	11			91.3Z	1.10		staining on fracture Weak (locally mediu	surfaces.	-			
						\vdash				(0.90)		MUDSTONE interbe	dded with ve	ry thin beds of s	sandstone. Partial	· 11	7.5
			100	43	0	18				Ē		weathered: slightly with purplish browr			oser fracture spaci	ng	
3.00									50.42	8.00		Discontinuities:					8.0
												 75 to 90 degree b slightly undulating, 	-			re	
										Ē		surfaces.		· ·			8.5
												Medium strong thin SANDSTONE. Partial					
										Ē		fracture spacing wit Discontinuities:	h faint and p	atchy orangish t	prown discolourati	on.	9.0
												1. 35 to 45 degree b	edding fracti	ures closely space	ced (90/115/290)		9.0
	<u> </u>		TCR	SCR	RQD	FI				Ĺ							
•		Strikes							, Details		Remarks						
		Time (min)			n) F	<u>rom (</u>	<u>m)</u>	То (і	m) Tim	ie (hh:mm) p	No ground	water encountered.					
Casing D To (m) D	etails Piam (mm)	Water From (m)		ed (m)													
5.00	130			- <u>(</u> 11)													
						Core	Barr	el	Flush	Туре	F erminati	ion Reason			Last Updated		
						-	-101			ter -	Forminator	d at scheduled depth.			04/06/2020		AGS

										ect No. 0105	Project Client: Client's		velopment		ieers	B	Borehol BH04	
Meth ight Perc Rotary D	cussion	Plant Dando ⁻ Comacch	Terrie	er	0.	(m) 00 20	1	e (m) 20 00		dinates 19.82 E	Final De	pth: 8.00 m	Start Date:	05/03/2020	Driller: JC+R	S	Sheet 2 o Scale: 1	
Rotarty (Coring	Comaccl	nio 20	05	5.	00		00	7176	55.55 N	Elevatio	n: 58.42 mOD	End Date:	26/03/2020	Logger: SR+M		FINA	L
Depth (m)	Samples	/ Field Records	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend			cription		Water	Backfill	
	Casing to (m	Strikes	Rose			FI		selling To (g Details m) Tim		Remarks	Medium strong thin SANDSTONE. Partial fracture spacing wit Discontinuities: 1. 35 to 45 degree bi orangish brown stai Medium strong (loc. cemented grey SAN grey MUDSTONE. Pa- closer fracture spaci discolouration. Discontinuities: 1. 10 to 20 degree bi slightly undulating, fracture surfaces. 2. At 7.25m to 8.00r patchy orangish bro 7.25m to 7.57m: 90 quart	lly weathered th faint and pa- bedding fractur smooth with or oint at 6045m ning on fractur ally weak) ver DSTONE inter artially weath- ing with faint bedding fractur smooth with pa- m: 85 to 90 de wen staining. z vein	: slightly reduced ttchy orangish br res closely space orangish brown : to 6.65m: undu tre surface. y thinly bedded bedded with ver ered: slightly red and patchy oran res medium spa patchy orangish	d strength, closer own discolourati ed (90/115/290) staining on fractu lating, rough with fine grained well y thin beds of da luced strength, gish brown ced (95/225/230 brown staining or	on. re n rk		9.3 10.0 10.1 11.0 11.1 12.0 12.0 13.0 14.0 13.1 14.0 15.0 16.0 16.1 15.1 16.0 16.1 17.0 18.1 18.1
Casing D Io (m) D 5.00 D	Details Diam (mm) 130	Water From (m)	-	ed o (m)		Core	Barı	rel	Flush	Type	Terminati	on Reason			Last Updated			
							-101		Wa			at scheduled depth.			04/06/2020		A	G

Meth		GEOT	ECH	Bass	(m)	20-0	ct No. D105 linates	5 Client: Land Development Agency Client's Rep: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers es Image: Client's Rep:						
Light Perc		Dando Terrier	0.00	0.3		16492	20.21 E	Final Depth: 0.80 m Start Date: 05/03/2020 Driller: JC Elevation: 53.90 mOD End Date: 05/03/2020 Logger: SR		Sheet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:40 FINAL				
Depth	Sample /	Field Record	s	Casing Depth	Water Depth (m)	Level	Depth	Elevation: 53.90 mOD End Date: 05/03/2020 Logger: SR Legend Description	Water	Backfill				
(m)	Tests			(m)	(m)	mOD	(m) - -	TOPSOIL	3					
0.30 - 0.80	B1					53.60	- 0.30 - -	Very dense light brown sandy clayey angular fine to medium GRA of sandstone. Sand is fine to coarse.	/EL	0.5				
0.80 - 1.12		N=50 (11,13/50 for 17 Hammer SN = 0490	70mm)		Dry	53.10	- 0.80	End of Borehole at 0.80m	_					
.80		05-03-2020			Dry		-			1.0				
							-			1.5				
							-							
										2.0				
							-			2.5				
							-							
							-			3.				
							-			3.				
							-			4.				
							-			4.1				
							-			4.				
							-			5.				
							-							
							-			5.				
							-			6.				
							-							
							-			6.				
							-			7.0				
							-							
ruck at (m) (r Strikes) Time (min) Rose to (m) From		elling To (Details		marks groundwater encountered						
					(- (groundwater encountered.						
Casing D To (m)	Details Diameter	Water Added From (m) To (m)												
								rmination Reason Last Updated						

										ct No.	Project	Name: St. Kevin	's Asylum, (Cork		Borehole	e ID
	\mathcal{B}		GEC		A ECI	Y H			20-0	0105	Client:		velopment			BHO	5
								()			Client's	Rep: Barrett N	Mahony Cor	nsulting Engi	ineers		
Meth Light Perc		Plant Dando			_	(m) 00	Base 4.0		Coord	linates	Final De	pth: 9.50 m	Start Date:	04/03/2020	Driller: JC+RS	Sheet 1 c Scale: 1:	
Rotary D Rotary C	-	Comacch Comacch				00 50	6.5 9.5		164950.16 71792.20		Elevation: 63.27 mOD End Date: 25/03/2020				Logger: SR+NP		
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Fi	eld Re	cords			Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend		Des	cription		Backfill	
).20 - 1.20	B1								63.07	0.20		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: So	oft to firm bro	wn slightly san	dy gravelly CLAY		
												Sand is fine to coars coarse of mixed lith	se. Gravel is s	• •			0.
1.20 1.20 - 2.00 1.20 - 1.65	D6 B2 SPT (S)	N=14 (4,4/3, 0490	,2,4,5) Ham	nmer	SN =		Dry	62.07	1.20		MADE GROUND: Fi fine to coarse. Grav mixed lithologies.	-		• •		1.5
2.00 2.00 - 3.00	D7 B3	0450							61.27	2.00		MADE GROUND: So fine to coarse. Grav				is	2.0
2.00 - 2.45	SPT (S)	N=6 (1,1/2,1 0490	1,2,1)	Hamr	mer S	N =		Dry				lithologies.	ier is subaligui		un of mixed		2.5
3.00 3.00 - 3.70 3.00 - 3.45	D8 B4 SPT (S)	N=5 (1,1/1,1	.,2,1)	Hamr	mer S	N =		Dry	60.27	3.00		Soft light brown slig Gravel is subangular					3.0
70 4 00	В5	0490							50 57	3.70		lithologies.					з.
.70 - 4.00		N 50 /5- 5		1-					59.57	5.70		Very dense greenish	n grey sandy c	layey GRAVEL (Driller's description)	4.
.00 - 4.11 .00	SPT (S)	N=50 (30 for 30mm) Ham 04-03-2020						Dry Dry									
		04-03-2020						DIY									4
																	5
																	5.
									57.27	6.00	•••••	Greenish grey SAND	STONE (Drille	r's description)	I		6.
			1						56.77	6.50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Medium strong (loc					6.5
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cemented SANDSTC MUDSTONE. Partial	ly weathered:	reduced stren	gth, closer fracture	/	7.
			100	73	0	4						spacing with patchy Discontinuities: 1. 0 to 15 degree be					
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	slightly undulating, surfaces.	-				7.
8.00										(3.00)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.80 to 90 degree f undulating, rough w					8
						>20						surfaces. 6.50m to 7.90m: 85 quart.	z vein	_			
			100	76	10						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						8
						11					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						9
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Wate	r Strikes	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Chise	elling	g Details		Remarks						
ruck at (m) C	Casing to (m) Time (min)	Rose	e to (r	n) Fi	rom (ı	m)	To (m) Tim	ie (hh:mm)	No groundv	vater encountered.					
Casing D	Details	Water	Add	ed													
	Diam (mm 130		-	o (m)													
						Core	Barre	el	Flush	Туре	Terminatio	on Reason			Last Updated		
						T2-	-101		Wa	ter	Terminated	at scheduled depth.			04/06/2020	AC	ג

•		GEC	DTE	ECI	Н			20-	ect No. 0105	Project Client: Client's		velopment		leers		Borehole I BH06	
Method Light Percussic Rotary Drilling		Terrie	er	Top 0.0 4.0	00	Base 4.0 6.!	00		dinates	Final De	pth: 9.50 m	Start Date:	04/03/2020	Driller: JC+	RS	Sheet 2 of Scale: 1:50	
Rotary Coring		nio 20)5	6.		9.!	50	717	92.20 N	Elevatio	63.27 mOD	End Date:	25/03/2020	Logger: SR+		FINAL	
Depth (m) Sau	mples / Field Records	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend			cription		Water	Backfill	
9.50 Utility of the second sec	s Water	Rose					elling To (53.77 g Detail: m) Tin		Remarks	Medium strong (loc cemented SANDSTC MUDSTONE. Partial spacing with patchy Discontinuities: 1. 0 to 15 degree be slightly undulating, surfaces. 2. 80 to 90 degree f undulating, rough w surfaces.	DNE interbedc ly weathered: r orangish bro edding fractur smooth with ractures wide vith patchy or	ed with very thin reduced strengt wn discolouratio es closely spaced orangish brown s ly spaced (650/1	n beds of dark g h, closer fractu n. I (35/155/480) staining fracture 000/1600)	rey		9.5 9.5 1.0 - 1.5 1.5 1.5 2.0 - 1.5 3.5 3.5 - 3.5 5.5 - 5.5 5.5 - - 5.5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5
6.50 13	0				Core	Barr	el	Flush			on Reason			Last Update	ł	AG	_

Metho		GEOT	ECH	Bass /	20	oject No. D-0105 ordinates	Project Name: St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork Client: Land Development Agency Client's Rep: Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers	Borehole ID BH07
Light Perci		Dando Terrier	0.00	2.00	165	005.24 E 757.17 N	Final Depth: 2.00 m Start Date: 06/03/2020 Driller: JC Elevation: 60.22 mOD End Date: 06/03/2020 Logger: SR	Sheet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:40
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Record	s	Casing Wa Depth De (m) (r	oth		Legend Description	Backfill
0.30 - 1.20	B1				59.9	2 - 0.30	TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Soft light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sa is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coar of mixed lithologies.	nd
1.20 - 2.00 1.20 - 1.65	B2 SPT (S)	N=44 (4,5/8,8,14,14) SN = 0490	Hammer	D	59.0	2 1.20	Dense light brown sandy clayey angular fine to medium GRAVEL sandstone. Sand is fine to coarse.	1.0 Df
2.00 2.00 - 2.32 2.00		N=50 (8,13/50 for 168 Hammer SN = 0490 06-03-2020	3mm)	D		2 – 2.00	End of Borehole at 2.00m	2.0
						- - - - -		3.0
						- - - - -		3.5
						- - - -		4.5
						- - - - -		5.0
						- - - - -		6.0
						- - - -		6.5
						-		7.0
		r Strikes	(m) F ===		ing Deta		emarks	
Casing D)) Time (min) Rose to (Water Added From (m) To (m)		<u>(m)</u>	<u>ō (m)</u>	Time (hh:mm)	o groundwater encountered.	
							ermination Reason Last Update erminated on refusal. 04/06/2020	

			-							ct No.	Project	Name: St. Kevin	s Asylum, v	LUIK			ehole II
			EC		A	Y			20-	0105	Client:	Land Dev	velopment	Agency		1	3H08
			IEC								Client's	Rep: Barrett N	/lahony Cor	nsulting Engir	neers		
Metho Light Perce Rotary Dr	ussion	Plant L Dando T Comacch	errie		Top 0.0 3.8	00	Base 3.8 5.0	30		linates	Final De	pth: 7.00 m	Start Date:	05/03/2020	Driller: JC+SJ		eet 1 of 1 ale: 1:50
Rotary Co	oring	Comacch	io 40	15	5.(00	7.0			53.55 N	Elevatio	n: 44.85 mOD	End Date:	21/05/2020	Logger: SR		INAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Fie	ld Rec	ords			Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend		Des	cription		Water	Backfill
.20 - 1.20	B1								44.65	0.20		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: So CLAY. Sand is fine to to coarse of mixed l	coarse. Grav				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
.20 .20 - 2.00 .20 - 1.65		N=6 (2,2/1,1, 0490	.2,2) H	lamr	ner Sl	N =		Dry	43.65	1.20		MADE GROUND: Sc fine to coarse. Grav					
.00 .00 - 3.00 .00 - 2.45		N=13 (4,4/4, 0490	3,3,3)	Ham	imer :	SN =		Dry	42.85	- 2.00		Firm light brown slig Gravel is angular fin				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2.
.00 .00 - 3.80 .00 - 3.45		N=22 (4,5/5, 0490	6,6,5)	Ham	imer	SN =		Dry	41.85	3.00		Stiff light brown slig Gravel is angular fin					3.
80 - 3.99 80		N=50 (25 for 115mm) Han 05-03-2020						Dry Dry	41.05	3.80		Brown clayey GRAV	EL with cobble	es (Driller's desci	ription)		4
									40.35	4.50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Grey weathered SAI	NDSTONE (Dri	ller's descriptior	n)		4
30			100 100	0	0				39.85	5.00		Weak thinly bedded Heavily weathered: with faint and patch fractures.	slightly reduc	ed strength, clos	ser fracture spacing		5
60 00			100 100	0	0	NI				(2.00)		Discontinuities:	- deline for the				6
20 50			100	0	0						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 35 to 45 degree b planar, smooth with Sub-vertical fractor 	brown staini ures probably	ng on fracture su medium spaced	urfaces.		e
00			100	85	70	3			37.85	7.00		blackish brown stair	-	re surface. shole at 7.00m		_	
																	-
																	8
																	8
	Water	Strikes	TCR	SCR	RQD	FI	Chier	elling	g Details		Remarks						
ıck at (m) Ci) Time (min)	Rose	to (n	n) Fr			To (vater encountered.					
Casing D To (m) D 5.00 D	etails Diam (mm) 200	Water From (m)	_	e d (m)													
						Core	Barre	el	Flush	Type 1	Terminati	on Reason			Last Updated		AG

										ect No.	Project	Name: St. Kevin	's Asylum, C	ork		B	orehole
			EO	V TF	A CF	1			20-	0105	Client:		velopment A				BH09
											Client's	Rep: Barrett N	Mahony Con:	sulting Engin	leers	_	
Metho Light Percu	ussion	Plant L Dando T	errier		0.0	00	Base 2.(00		dinates	Final De	pth: 5.70 m	Start Date:	05/03/2020	Driller: JC+SJ		heet 1 of Scale: 1:5
Rotary Dr Rotary Co	-	Comacch Comacch			2.0 5.0		5.0 5.7			38.04 E 57.60 N	Elevatio	n: 49.44 mOD	End Date:	21/05/2020	Logger: SR		FINAL
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Fie	ld Recor	ds			Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Level mOD	Depth (m)	Legend		Descr	iption		Water	Backfill
.20 - 1.20	B1								49.24	0.20		TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: So fine to coarse. Grav mixed lithologies.					
.20 .20 - 2.00 .20 - 1.65	D3 B2 SPT (S)	N=37 (9,8/8,9 SN = 0490	9,10,10)) Ha	mme	er		Dry	48.24	1.20		Dense light brown s coarse GRAVEL of m					
.00 .00 - 2.38 .00	D4 SPT (S)	N=50 (9,16/5 Hammer SN : 05-03-2020		25m	m)			Dry Dry	47.44	_ 2.00		Brown sandy gravel	ly CLAY with co	bbles (Driller's	description)		
									45.24	4.20		Grey weathered SAI	NDSTONE (Drill	er's description	n)		
.30 .70			100 (100 (0	NI			44.44 43.74	5.00 (0.70) 5.70		Weak thinly beddec Heavily weathered: brown discolouratic Discontinuities:	closer fracture	e spacing with f	aint and patchy		
										· · ·		1. 10 to 30 degree b planar, smooth with	n brown stainin	g on fracture su	urfaces.		
												2. Sub-vertical fract staining on fracture		5.70 planar, wit	h blackish brown		
													End of Boreł	nole at 5.70m			
			TCR S	CR	RQD	FI				-							
unik et 1. 3		Strikes	Poss t	. /				elling To (g Details		Remarks						
Casing D To (m) D	P etails Diam (mm	Water			<u>, rí</u>	<u>un (I</u>		(<u>, ((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((</u>	INO groundv	vater encountered.					
5.00	200				(Barr	el	Flush Wa			on Reason on scheduled depth.			Last Updated 04/06/2020		AG
		GEOT	AY ECH		20-	ect No. 0105	Project Name:St. Kevin's Asylum, CorkClient:Land Development AgencyClient's Rep:Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers	Borehole ID BH10									
------------------------------------	---------------------	---	------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----------	---------------------------------	---	-----------------------------									
Metho Light Percu		Plant Used Dando Terrier	Top (m) 0.00	Base (m 5.00		dinates	Final Depth: 5.00 m Start Date: 05/03/2020 Driller: JC	Sheet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:40									
						28.53 E 12.34 N	Elevation: 40.79 mOD End Date: 05/03/2020 Logger: SR	FINAL									
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	;	Casing Wate Depth Depti (m) (m)		Depth (m)	Legend Description	Backfill									
0.20 - 1.20	Β1				40.59	- 0.20	TOPSOIL MADE GROUND: Soft to firm dark brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to medium of mixed lithologies.										
1.20 1.20 - 2.00 1.20 - 1.65	D6 B2 SPT (S)	N=18 (2,1/6,4,4,4) Hai 0490	mmer SN =	Dry	39.59	1.20	Stiff dark brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse of mixed lithologies.	1.5 -									
2.00 2.00 - 3.00 2.00 - 2.45	D7 B3 SPT (S)	N=10 (3,3/4,3,1,2) Hai 0490	nmer SN =	Dry	38.79	- - 2.00 - - -	Firm dark brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular to subrounded fine to coarse of mixed lithologies.	2.0									
3.00 3.00 - 4.00 3.00 - 3.45	D8 B4 SPT (S)	N=14 (2,1/3,3,4,4) Hai 0490	mmer SN =	Dry	37.79	- - 3.00 - - -	Firm light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is angular to subangular fine to coarse of mixed lithologies.	3.0									
4.00 4.00 - 5.00 4.00 - 4.45	D9 B5 SPT (S)	N=31 (5,5/5,7,11,8) Ha = 0490	ammer SN	Dry	36.79	- - - - - -	Very stiff light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is angular fine to coarse of mixed lithologies of mixed lithologies.	4.0 -									
5.00 5.00 - 5.42 5.00	D10 SPT (S)	N=50 (19,19/50 for 27 Hammer SN = 0490 05-03-2020	5mm)	Dry 0.0		- - - - - - -	End of Borehole at 5.00m										
						- - - - -		6.0									
						- - - -		6.5 -									
						-		7.0 -									
	Wate	r Strikes		Chiselli	ng Detail	<u> </u>	Remarks										
Casing D	asing to (m	i) Time (min) Rose to (No groundwater encountered.										
							Termination Reason Last Updated Terminated on refusal. 04/06/2020	AGS									

	CALIC	EWAY		e ct No. -0105	St. Kev	: Name: in's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
		SEWAY GEOTECH	Coor	dinates	Client:	evelopment Agency			TP01
Method:				33.83 E		s Representative:		5	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				01.20 N	Barrett	Mahoney			icale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked E	wayatar		Elev	vation mOD	Date: 02/03/	2020	Logger: RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description	CJ		
(m) 0.05	Tests ES1	Field Records	(mOD)	(m) -	Legend	TOPSOIL		Water	
				0.10		Pinkish brown very silty sandy subangular fine to a sandstone with high cobble content. Sand is fine t	coarse GRAVEL of o coarse.		-
0.40 0.40	B3 ES2			0.40	××××	End of trial pit at 0.40m			0.5
				-					-
				-					-
									1.0
				- - -					-
				- - - -					1.5 —
				-					-
				- 					2.0
				-					-
				- - - -					2.5
				-					-
				- - 					3.0
				-					-
				-					3.5 —
				- - -					-
				- - 					4.0
				-					-
				- - - -					4.5
				- - - -					
				-					-
Wa Struck at (m	ter Strikes	Depth: 0.40	Rema	arks: roundwate	er encour	tered			
		Width: 0.60 Length: 1.20							
		Stability:		ination Re			Last Updated		AGS
		Unstable	Term	inated on	possible	bedrock	05/03/2020		CDA

				ect No.		Name:		Т	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH		0105	St. Kevi	n's Asylum, Cork		-	TP02
	——-G	EOTECH		dinates		evelopment Agency			IF VZ
Method:				59.92 E		Representative:		Sł	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				83.89 N		Mahoney			cale: 1:25
Plant:			Elev	/ation	Date:		Logger:		DRAFT
3T Tracked Ex Depth	cavator Sample /		Level	mOD Depth	02/03/		RS		
(m)	ES1	Field Records	(mOD)	(m) 	Legend	Description MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy slig with coarse gravel sized pieces of broken glass, San Gravel is subangular fine to coarse of mixed litholog	d is fine to coarse. gies.	Water	
				- - - - -		Orangish brown very clayey sandy subangular fine to sandstone with low cobble content. Sand is fine to sandstone.			 0.5
0.75 0.75	B3 ES2			0.75	<u>** * *** *</u>	End of trial pit at 0.75m			-
				— - - - - -					1.0 — — —
				- - - - -					
				- - - - -					 2.0
				- - - - -					-
				-					2.5 —
				- - - - -					 3.0 —
				-					 3.5
				- - - - - -					
				-					
				-					4.5 — — — —
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 0.75 Width: 0.60 Length: 1.70	Rema No gr	arks: roundwate	er encoun	tered			
		Stability: Stable		ination Re		pedrock	Last Updated 05/03/2020		AGS

	CALIS	SEWAY		ect No. -0105	St. Kev	: Name: n's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
		SEWAY GEOTECH	Coor	dinates	Client:			1	ТР03
Method:			5650	22.91 E		evelopment Agency Representative:			
Trial Pitting			5718	89.16 N		Mahoney			neet 1 of 1 cale: 1:25
Plant:			Elev	vation	Date:	including y	Logger:		
3T Tracked Ex	cavator			mOD	02/03/	2020	RS		DRAFT
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.10	ES1					TOPSOIL		-	
0.10	1.51			- 0.20		Light orangish brown clayey sandy subangular fine	to coorco CPV/EL of		_
				-		sandstone with low cobble content. Sand is fine to			_
0.50	B3			-		sandstone.			0.5
0.50	ES2			-					-
				0.75					_
				-		End of trial pit at 0.75m			
				-					1.0
				-					_
				-					
				-					_
				-					1.5 —
				-					_
				-					_
				-					_
				-					2.0
				-					_
				-					_
				-					2.5 —
				-					- 2.5
				-					_
				-					_
				-					3.0
				-					_
				-					
				-					_
				-					3.5 —
				-					_
				-					_
				-					_
				-					4.0
				-					
				-					
				-					4.5
				-					
				-					
				-					_
				-					
	er Strikes	Depth: 0.75	Rema			torod			
Struck at (m)	Remarks	Width: 0.60	No gr	roundwate	er encour	terea			
		Length: 2.00							
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated		
		Stable	Term	inated on	possible	pedrock	05/03/2020		AGS
L									

				ect No.		t Name:		1	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY GEOTECH		-0105 dinates	St. Kev	in's Asylum, Cork		-	TP04
		GEOTECH				evelopment Agency			
Method:				05.08 E	Client'	s Representative:		S	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				73.82 N		Mahoney		5	icale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Ex	covator		Elev	wation mOD	Date: 02/03/	2020	Logger: RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description		Water	
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	(m) -	Legenu	Firm brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY. Sar	nd is fine to coarse.	Ŵ	
				-		Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse of mixed lithol	ogies.		
				-					_
				-					-
0.50	ES1			- 0.60		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0.5 —
				0.70		Orange very clayey sandy subangular fine to coarse sandstone. Sand is fine to coarse.	,		_
				-	××××	Pink very silty sandy subangular fine to coarse GRA low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Cobbles			-
				-	××××				1.0
				-	×				-
				-	×××				-
				-	×××				_
1.50	B3			-	× × ×				1.5 —
1.50	ES2			1.60	<u>*</u>	End of trial pit at 1.60m			
				-					_
				-					-
				-					2.0
				-					_
				-					-
				-					2.5
				-					-
				-					-
				-					_
				-					3.0
				-					
				-					_
				-					-
				-					3.5 —
				- -					–
				-					-
				-					4.0
				-					–
				-					_
				-					–
				-					4.5
				-					_
				-					–
				-					-
Wate	er Strikes		Rema	arks:	1				
Struck at (m)		Depth: 1.60Width: 0.60	No gr	roundwate	er encour	tered			
		Length: 2.50							
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated		
		Stable	Term	inated on	possible	bedrock	05/03/2020		AGS

				e ct No. -0105		: Name: in's Asylum, Cork		T	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY BEOTECH		dinates	Client:			-	TP05
	(GEOTECH				evelopment Agency			
Method:				74.18 E		s Representative:		S	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				64.27 N		Mahoney		5	cale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Ex	an ator		Elev	vation	Date:	2020	Logger:		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /		Level	mOD Depth	02/03/		RS	er	
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	(m)	Legend	-		Wat	
(m) 0.10		Field Records			Legend	TOPSOIL Light brown very silty sandy subangular fine to coa sandstone with low cobble content. Sand is fine to sandstone. End of trial pit at 1.60m	rse GRAVEL of coarse. Cobbles are of	Mater	
				-					-
				-					4.0
				-	1				-
				-	1				-
				-					-
				-	1				4.5
				-	1				-
				-	1				-
				-	1				-
				-					
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 1.60 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.30	Rema No gr	arks: roundwate	er encour	tered		<u> </u>	
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	ason.		Last Updated		
		Stability.			asom		Last opuated		AGS

	CALLO			ect No. 0105		Name: n's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH		dinates	Client:			-	TP06
	G	EOTECH				evelopment Agency			
Method:				13.61 E 87.53 N		Representative:			neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting						Mahoney	1-	S	cale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Ex	cavator		Elev	vation mOD	Date: 02/03/	2020	Logger: RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /		Level	Depth	-		NJ .	er	
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	(m)	Legend	Description MADE GROUND: Greyish brown slightly silty very sa	andy subrounded to	Water	
0.20	ES1 B3			0.40		subangular fine to coarse GRAVEL of mixed litholog pieces of waste pipe and concrete. Sand is fine to c End of trial pit at 0.40m	ies with gravel sized	_	-
0.40	ES2			- - - - - - - - -					0.5
				- - - - - -					- - - 1.5 —
				- - - - - -					-
				-					2.0
				-					2.5 —
				- - - - - -					3.0
				- - - - -					- 3.5 — -
				- - - - - -					4.0
				-					
				- - - - - - -					4.5 — - -
Wate Struck at (m)	Remarks	Depth: 0.40 Width: 0.60 Length: 1.80	Rema No gr	arks: oundwate	er encoun	tered			
		Stability: Unstable		ination Re			Last Updated 05/03/2020		AGS

	CALIC			ect No. -0105		: Name: n's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY BEOTECH	Coor	dinates	Client:				TP07
		BLOTLETT	5648	73.62 E		evelopment Agency			
Method:	_			43.99 N		s Representative:			neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting Plant:				vation	Barrett	Mahoney	Logger:	S	cale: 1:25
3T Tracked E>	xcavator		Elev	mOD	02/03/	2020	RS	DRAF	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.05 0.65 0.65	ES1 B3 ES2			0.10		TOPSOIL Orange very silty sandy subangular fine to coarse G with low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Cob sandstone. End of trial pit at 0.65m	RAVEL of sandstone bbles are of		
									1.0 — - - 1.5 —
				- - - - - - - -					- - 2.0
				- - - - - - - - -					- - 2.5 — -
				- - - - - - - - -					3.0
				-					- 3.5 — -
				- - - - - -					4.0
									- - 4.5 —
				- - - - - -					
Wat Struck at (m)	e r Strikes) Remarks	Depth: 0.65 Width: 0.50 Length: 2.00	Rema No gi	arks: roundwate	er encour	tered			
		Stability: Stable		ination Re		pedrock	Last Updated 05/03/2020		AGS

				ect No. -0105		t Name: in's Asylum, Cork		1	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	SEWAY GEOTECH		dinates	Client:			-	TP08
	C	JEOTECH				evelopment Agency			
Method:				79.36 E		s Representative:		S	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				39.01 N		Mahoney			icale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Ex	covotor		Elev	vation mOD	Date:	2020	Logger: RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /		Level	Depth	02/03/		cn	er	
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	(m)	Legend	Description MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy sli	abtly gravelly CLAV	Water	
0.10	ES1			-		with medium coarse gravel sized pieces of glass. Sa	nd is fine to coarse.		-
				0.20	• × • • × 1	Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse of mixed litholo Light brown very silty sandy subangular fine to coa	rse GRAVEL of	1	
				-	م × م × م × م	sandstone with low cobble content. Sand is fine to sandstone.	coarse. Cobbles are of		-
0.50 0.50	B3 ES2			-	م× مح م× مح				0.5 —
0.50	132			0.60		End of trial pit at 0.60m			-
				-					-
				-					-
				-					1.0
				-					-
				-					-
				-					1.5
				-					-
				-					-
				-					-
				-					2.0
				-					-
				-					-
				-					-
				-					2.5 —
				-					-
				-					-
				-					-
				-					3.0
				-					-
				-					-
				-					3.5 —
				-					-
				-					-
				-					-
				- -					4.0
				-					-
				-					-
				-					-
				-					4.5 -
				-					-
				-					-
				[- 					
Wate	er Strikes	Denth: 0.60	Rema						1
Struck at (m)	Remarks	Depth: 0.60Width: 0.60	No gr	roundwate	er encour	tered			
		Length: 2.00							
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated		
		Unstable	Termi	inated on	possible	bedrock	05/03/2020		AGS
	1								

			Proje			t Name: in's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY BEOTECH	Coord		Client:			-	ТР09
		BEOTECH	56495			evelopment Agency			
Method:			57181			s Representative:			heet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting						Mahoney	1-	S	Scale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked E	xcavator			a tion mOD	Date: 02/03/	2020	Logger: RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description		Water	
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	(m)	Legenu	Brown very clayey sandy subangular fine to coarse	GRAVEL of sandstone		
0.10	ES1					with high cobble content, sheets of plastic and stee pieces of glass and boulder sized pieces of concrete fine to coarse. Cobbles are of sandstone.	l, coarse gravel sized		 0.5
0.75 0.75	B3 ES2		-	0.75		End of trial pit at 0.75m		_	
									1.0
			-						-
									_
									1.5 —
									2.0
									_
									-
									2.5 —
									-
									-
									3.0
									3.5 —
									-
									_
									4.0
									–
									-
									4.5
									-
Wa	ter Strikes		Remar	·ks:					
Struck at (m		Depth: 0.75 Width: 0.80			er encour	tered			
		Length: 2.00							
		Stability:		nation Re			Last Updated		AGS
		Unstable	lermir	nated on	concrete		05/03/2020		

				ect No. 0105		t Name: in's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH		dinates	Client:			-	TP10
	G	EOTECH		17.94 E		evelopment Agency			
Method:				93.04 N		s Representative:			neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting						Mahoney	1-	5	cale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked E	volutor		Elev	vation	Date:	2020	Logger: RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /		Level	mOD Depth	03/03/		KS		
(m)	Tests ES1	Field Records	(mOD)	(m)	Legend	Description MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy sli		Water	
				0.10		Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular fine to o lithologies. MADE GROUND: Pink very clayey sandy subangular GRAVEL of sandstone with low cobble content. San Cobbles are of sandstone.	coarse of mixed		
0.80 0.80	B3 ES2			0.80		End of trial pit at 0.80m		_	-
				- 					1.0
				- - - -					- 1.5
				- - -					-
				- - - -					2.0
				- - - -					-
				-					2.5 —
				- - - - - - -					 3.0
				-					
				- - - - - -					
				- - - -					-
				-					4.5 —
Wat Struck at (m	ter Strikes) Remarks	Depth: 0.80	Rema No gr	arks: roundwate	er encour	itered			
		Width: 0.60 Length: 1.60 Stability:	Term	ination Re	ason.		Last Updated	1	
		Stable		inated on			05/03/2020		AGS

				ect No.		Name:		۲	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH		0105	St. Kevi	n's Asylum, Cork			TD44
	——-G	EOTECH	Coor	dinates		evelopment Agency			TP11
Method:			5648	64.15 E		Representative:			200t 1 cf 1
Trial Pitting			5717	83.47 N		Mahoney			neet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:25
Plant:			Elev	vation	Date:	,	Logger:		
3T Tracked Exc	cavator			mOD	03/03/	2020	RS		DRAFT
Depth (m)	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description		/ater	
		Field Records	Level (mOD)				gravelly CLAY. Sand is of mixed lithologies. y very gravelly CLAY	Material	
				-	1				
Wate	er Strikes		Rema	arks:	1				
Struck at (m)	Remarks	— Depth: 1.65		oundwate	er encoun	tered			
		Width: 0.60							
		Length: 2.00							
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated		
		Stable		inated on			05/03/2020		AGS
		STUDIE	lerm	mateu ofi	ı cı u Sdl		03/03/2020		

				ect No. -0105		: Name: n's Asylum, Cork		1	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	EWAY BEOTECH		dinates	Client:				TP12
	C	GEOTECH				evelopment Agency			
Method:				50.25 E		Representative:		S	heet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting			5718	02.72 N	Barrett	Mahoney			Scale: 1:25
Plant:			Elev	vation	Date:		Logger:		
3T Tracked Ex				mOD	02/03/	2020	RS		DRAFT
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
0.05	ES1			0.10		TOPSOIL Grey very clayey sandy subangular fine to coarse GRA with low cobble content. Sand is fine to coarse. Cobb	VEL of sandstone		-
				-		sandstone.			-
				-					0.5 —
				-					-
1.00 1.00	B3 ES2			-					1.0
1.00				1.10		End of trial pit at 1.10m			-
				- - -					
				-					-
				-					-
									2.0
				-					-
				- - -					2.5 —
				-					-
				- - -					3.0
				-					-
				-					- 3.5
				- - -					-
				- - -					-
									4.0
				-					-
				- - -					4.5
				- - -					-
				-					-
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes) Remarks	Depth: 1.10 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.10	Rem a No gi	arks: roundwate	er encour	tered			
		Stability: Unstable		ination Re		pedrock	Last Updated		AGS

	CALIS			ct No. 0105	St. Kevi	t Name: in's Asylum, Cork		ſ	rial Pit ID
		EWAY GEOTECH	Coord	linates	Client:				TP13
Method:			56492	3.32 E		evelopment Agency s Representative:			
Trial Pitting			57174	5.13 N		Mahoney			neet 1 of 1 Scale: 1:25
Plant:			Eleva	ation	Date:		Logger:		
3T Tracked Ex				mOD	03/03/	2020	RS		DRAFT
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Water	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) 0.30 0.60		Description MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy sli Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subrounded fine to lithologies. Stiff yellowish brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly content. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is subangula sandstone. Cobbles are of sandstone. End of trial pit at 0.60m	coarse of mixed CLAY with low cobble	Water	
Wate Struck at (m)	Remarks	Width: 0.60 Length: 2.00		oundwate		tered			
		Stability:		nation Re			Last Updated		ACC
		Stable	Termir	nated on	possible l	bedrock	05/03/2020		AGS

	CALIC			ect No. 0105		t Name: in's Asylum, Cork		ľ	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	SEWAY GEOTECH		dinates	Client:				TP14
		BLOTLETT	5649	39.26 E		evelopment Agency			
Method:				61.44 N		s Representative:			neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting Plant:				vation	Barrett	Mahoney	Logger:	5	cale: 1:25
3T Tracked Ex	cavator		LIEV	mOD	03/03/	2020	RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level	Depth	Legend	Description		Water	
(m) 0.10	Tests ES1		(mOD)	(m) 		MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy slig with cobble sized pieces of of red brick. Sand is fine subrounded fine to coarse of mixed lithologies.	ghtly gravelly CLAY e to coarse. Gravel is	Ň	-
0.50	вз			-		Brownish yellow very clayey sandy subangular fine sandstone with low cobble content. Sand is fin to c sandstone.	to coarse GRAVEL of oarse. Cobbles are of		
0.50	ES2			-					-
				0.90					_
				-		End of trial pit at 0.90m			1.0
				-					_
				-					1.5 —
				-					_
				a a					-
				-					2.0
				-					-
				-					-
				-					2.5
				-					
				-					-
				- 					3.0
				-					
				-					-
				-					4.0
				-					-
				- - - -					–
				-					4.5 —
				- - -					
			<u> </u>						
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Width: 0.60 Length: 2.00	Rema No gr	arks: oundwate	er encour	itered			
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated		
		Stable	Term	inated on	possible	bedrock	05/03/2020		AGS

			Project N 20-0105		c t Name: vin's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
	G	EWAY	Coordinat				_	TP15
	———G	EOTECH		Land	Development Agency			-
Method:			564982.08 571752.82	Clien	's Representative:		SI	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				Barre	tt Mahoney	1.	S	cale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Exc	avator		Elevation mOI		/2020	Logger: RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level Dep			11.5	Water	
(m)	Tests		(mOD) (m) Legen	MADE GROUND: Firm brown slightly sandy slightly	gravelly CLAY. Sand is		
1.00	ES1 B3		0.2	5	fine to coarse. Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse MADE GROUND: Yellowish brown very clayey sand coarse GRAVEL of sandstone with low cobble conte sized pieces of steel. Sand is fine to coarse. Cobble	y subangular fine to ent and coarse gravel		
1.50	ES2		- 1.5	0	End of trial pit at 1.50m			 1.5
								2.0
								-
								2.5 —
								 3.0
								-
								4.0
								-
								4.5 — — —
							_	
Wate Struck at (m)	r Strikes Remarks	Depth: 1.50 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.20	Remarks: No ground	vater encou	Intered			
		Stability: Stable	Terminatio		e bedrock	Last Updated 05/03/2020		AGS

						Name:		Trial Pit ID		
	CAUS	EWAY GEOTECH			St. Kev Client:	n's Asylum, Cork			TP16	
		GEOTECH	Coordi			evelopment Agency			1110	
Method:			565003			s Representative:		S	neet 1 of 1	
Trial Pitting			571761			Mahoney			cale: 1:25	
Plant:			Elevat		Date:		Logger:		DRAFT	
3T Tracked Ex Depth	cavator Sample /			nOD Depth	03/02/		RS			
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	(m)	Legend	Description MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy gr	avally CLAY Candia	Water		
						fine to coarse. Gravel is subangular fine to coarse of			_	
									_	
			-						_	
0.50	ES1								0.5	
				0.60		MADE GROUND: Brown very clayey sandy subangu			_	
			-			GRAVEL of sandstone with high cobble content. Sa Cobbles are of sandstone.	nd is the to coarse.		-	
									-	
1.00	B3								1.0	
			-						_	
									_	
									1.5 —	
									_	
									_	
2.00	B4			2.05					2.0	
2.00	ES2			2.05		End of trial pit at 2.05m			_	
									_	
			-						_	
									2.5 —	
									_	
			-						_	
									_	
									3.0	
									_	
									_	
									3.5 —	
									_	
					1				-	
					1				_	
					1				4.0	
					1				-	
					1				_	
					1					
									4.5	
					1				_	
					1					
Wate	er Strikes		Remark	s:	1					
Struck at (m)		Depth: 2.05			er encour	etred				
		Width: 0.60								
		Stability:	Termina	ation Re	ason:		Last Updated			
		Stable				alls collapsing	05/03/2020		AGS	
					- 10 pri W	ano controning	03/03/2020			

			Project No		ct Name:		Т	rial Pit ID
	G	EWAY	20-0105 Coordinate	- 44	vin's Asylum, Cork t:		-	TP17
	———G	EOTECH		Land	Development Agency			
Method:			565047.06 571752.36		t's Representative:		SI	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting				Barre	tt Mahoney		S	cale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Exc	cavator		Elevation mO[Logger: RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /	Field Records	Level Dep	h Logo			ater	
3T Tracked Exc Depth (m) 0.20 1.00 1.00		Field Records	Level Dep (mOD) (m 0.3	h Leger	d Description MADE GROUND: Firm dark brown slightly sandy slightly subagular fine to coarse of mixed lithologies. MADE GROUND: Orangish brown very clayey sand coarse GRAVEL of sandstone with high cobble cont sized rootlets. Sand is fine t coarse. Cobbles are of slightly sandy slightly sl	RS ghtly gravelly CLAY ne to coarse. Gravel is / subangular fine to ent nd fine gravel	Water	DRAFT
								-
	u Ctuilus a		Bemarks					
Wate Struck at (m)	r Strikes Remarks	Depth: 1.65 Width: 0.60 Length: 2.50	Remarks: No groundv	vater encc	untered			
		Stability:	Terminatio	Reason:		Last Updated		100
		Unstable	Terminated	due to pit	walls collapsing	05/03/2020		AGS

		ect No.	Project		Trial Pit ID				
	CAUS	EWAY EOTECH		0105	St. Kevi	n's Asylum, Cork		-	TP18
	——-G	EOTECH		linates		evelopment Agency			1110
Method:				15.28 E		Representative:		cl	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting			57170	9.66 N		Mahoney			icale: 1:25
Plant:			Elev	ation	Date:		Logger:		
3T Tracked Exe	cavator			mOD	03/03/2	2020	RS		DRAFT
Depth (m)	Sample /	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m)	Legend	Description		Vater	
		Field Records			-		ghtly gravelly CLAY Sand is fine to coarse. gies. y sandy slightly to coarse. Gravel is	Nater	
									4.5
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 2.15	Rema No gro		er encoun	tered			
		Width: 0.60							
		Length: 2.20							
		Stability:	Termi	nation Re	eason:		Last Updated		
		Stable	Termi	nated due	e to pit wa	Ills collapsing	05/03/2020		AGS

				ect No. -0105		: Name: n's Asylum, Cork		Т	rial Pit ID
	CAUS	SEWAY GEOTECH		dinates	Client:			-	TP19
		SEOTECH				evelopment Agency			
Method:				03.93 E 17.64 N		s Representative:		S	neet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting						Mahoney		5	cale: 1:25
Plant: 3T Tracked Ex	ravator		Elev	vation mOD	Date: 03/03/	2020	Logger: RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /	Field Dear 1	Level	Depth				Water	
(m)	Tests	Field Records	(mOD)	(m)	Legend	Description MADE GROUND: Firm brown slightly sandy slightly	gravelly CLAY. Sand i	Wat	
0.10	ES1			0.15		fine to coarse. Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse MADE GROUND: Light brown very clayey sandy sub	of mixed lithologies.	_	
				-		GRAVELM of sandstone with low cobble content. S Cobbles are of sandstone.			-
				-					_
0.50 0.50	B3 ES2			-					0.5
				-					_
				-					-
				- 0.90		End of trial pit at 0.90m			1.0
				-					_
				-					
				-					
				-					1.5 —
				-					
				-					_
				-					-
				-					2.0
				-					-
				-					
				-					2.5 —
				-					-
				-					
				-					_
				-					3.0
				-					_
				-					-
				-					3.5 —
				-					-
				-					-
				-					
				-					4.0
				-					-
				-					_
				-					-
				-					4.5 —
				-					
				- - -					
								-	
	er Strikes	Depth: 0.90	Rema			tarad			
Struck at (m)	Remarks	Width: 0.60	No gr	roundwate	encoun	lerea			
		Length: 2.00							
		Stability:	Term	ination Re	eason:		Last Updated		
		Stable	Term	inated on	possible	pedrock	05/03/2020		AGS
L	1						L		

	CALIC			ect No. 0105		t Name: in's Asylum, Cork			frial Pit ID
	G	EVVAI	L	dinates	Client:				TP20
	0	LOTLETT	5648	72.66 E		evelopment Agency			
Method:	_			32.96 N		s Representative:			heet 1 of 1
Trial Pitting Plant:				/ation		Mahoney	Lessen		Scale: 1:25
3T Tracked Ex	cavator		Elev	mOD	Date: 03/03/	2020	Logger: RS		DRAFT
Depth	Sample /		Level	Depth				ter	
(m) 0.20	ES1 B3 ES2	Field Records	(mOD)	0.35	Legend	Description MADE GROUND: Firm brown slightly sandy slightly fine to coarse. Gravel is subrounded fine to coarse MADE GROUND: Stiff brown slightly sandy very gra to coarse. Gravel is subangular fine to coarse of sar of sandstone. End of trial pit at 1.15m	of mixed lithologies. velly CLAY. Sand is fine	Water	0.5
				-					
									2.0
				-					3.0
				-					
				• • • • • •					4.0
				-					4.5 — - -
Wate Struck at (m)	er Strikes Remarks	Depth: 1.15 Width: 0.60 Length: 1.80	Rema No gr	arks: oundwate	er encour	tered			
		Stability: Stable		ination Re		bedrock	Last Updated 05/03/2020		AGS



Appendix D

Laboratory Certificates

Contaminated Land Risk Assessment St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork



Chemtest Ltd. Depot Road Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: info@chemtest.com

Report No.:	20-07191-1		
Initial Date of Issue:	16-Mar-2020		
Client	Causeway Geotech Ltd		
Client Address:	8 Drumahiskey Road Balnamore Ballymoney County Antrim BT53 7QL		
Contact(s):	Carin Cornwall Colm Hurley Darren O'Mahony Fernando Alfonso Gabriella Horan Joe Gervin John Cameron Lucy Newland Matthew Gilbert Neil Haggan Paul Dunlop Paul McNamara Sean Ross Stephen Franey Stephen McCracken Stephen Watson Stuart Abraham		
Project	20-0105 St. Kevin's Asylum, Cork		
Quotation No.:		Date Received:	05-Mar-2020
Order No.:		Date Instructed:	09-Mar-2020
No. of Samples:	23		
Turnaround (Wkdays):	5	Results Due:	13-Mar-2020
Date Approved:	16-Mar-2020		
Approved By: Details:	Darrell Hall, Director		

Page 1 of 9



Chemtest Ltd. Depot Road Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: info@chemtest.com

Results - Soil

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd		Che	ntest J	ob No.:	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191
Quotation No.:		Chemte	est Sam	ple ID.:	981252	981253	981256	981257	981261	981262	981264	981266	981267
		Sa	ample Lo	ocation:	TP01	TP02	TP03	TP04	TP06	TP06	TP07	TP08	TP09
			Sampl	e Type:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			Top De	pth (m):	0.40	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.20	0.40	0.65	0.50	0.10
			Date Sa	ampled:	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020
			Asbest	os Lab:	DURHAM				DURHAM				DURHAM
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD									
АСМ Туре	U	2192		N/A	-				-				-
Asbestos Identification	U	2192	%	0.001	No Asbestos Detected				No Asbestos Detected				No Asbestos Detected
ACM Detection Stage	U	2192		N/A	-				-				-
Moisture	Ν	2030	%	0.020	6.7	32	8.6	15		8.2	13	8.3	
рН	U	2010		4.0	8.1	5.8	7.1	6.0		8.9	6.5	7.4	
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	U	2120	mg/kg	0.40	< 0.40	1.0	< 0.40	< 0.40		< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	U	2120	g/l	0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010		0.020	< 0.010	< 0.010	
Cyanide (Free)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Cyanide (Total)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	1.6	9.5	0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Thiocyanate	U	2300	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	N	2325	mg/kg	0.50	1.7	2.1	1.9	0.99		1.1	1.3	1.0	
Sulphate (Total)	U	2430	%	0.010	0.023	0.16	0.041	0.070		0.067	0.11	0.016	
Arsenic	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	47	25	41	25		38	58	76	
Cadmium	U	2450	mg/kg		0.15	0.15	< 0.10	< 0.10		0.11	0.33	< 0.10	
Chromium	U	2450	mg/kg		16	16	19	14		18	14	18	
Copper	U	2450	mg/kg		27	40	38	32		17	30	29	
Mercury	U	2450	mg/kg		0.10	0.52	0.19	0.36		0.11	0.41	0.19	
Nickel	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	30	24	36	18		30	16	37	
Lead	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	80	140	59	130		49	140	57	
Selenium	U	2450	mg/kg		0.21	0.76	0.36	0.75		0.29	0.64	0.35	
Zinc	U	2450	mg/kg		73	89	62	42		66	81	73	
Chromium (Hexavalent)	N	2490	mg/kg		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Organic Matter	U	2625	%	0.40	0.97	15	2.6	8.3		0.79	8.5	1.4	
Aliphatic TPH >C5-C6	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C6-C8	N	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C35-C44	N	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Aliphatic Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Aromatic TPH >C5-C7	N	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C7-C8	N	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	

<u>Results - Soil</u>

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd			mtest J		20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191
Quotation No.:		Chemte	est Sam	ple ID.:	981252	981253	981256	981257	981261	981262	981264	981266	981267
		Sa	ample Lo		TP01	TP02	TP03	TP04	TP06	TP06	TP07	TP08	TP09
			Sampl	e Type:	SOIL								
			Top De	· · /	0.40	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.20	0.40	0.65	0.50	0.10
			Date Sa	ampled:	02-Mar-2020								
			Asbest	os Lab:	DURHAM				DURHAM				DURHAM
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD									
Aromatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		240	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C35-C44	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		240	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	10.0	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10		240	< 10	< 10	
Naphthalene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.21		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Acenaphthylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.59		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Acenaphthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.17		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Fluorene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.58		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Phenanthrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.0	< 0.10	6.6		< 0.10	1.3	< 0.10	
Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	0.12	< 0.10	1.7		< 0.10	0.47	< 0.10	
Fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	3.1	< 0.10	11		< 0.10	1.9	< 0.10	
Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	2.6	< 0.10	11		< 0.10	1.9	< 0.10	
Benzo[a]anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	0.93	< 0.10	4.8		< 0.10	0.91	< 0.10	
Chrysene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.1	< 0.10	5.2		< 0.10	1.3	< 0.10	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.4	< 0.10	5.2		< 0.10	0.78	< 0.10	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	0.86	< 0.10	2.3		< 0.10	0.72	< 0.10	
Benzo[a]pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.3	< 0.10	4.8		< 0.10	0.86	< 0.10	
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	0.88	< 0.10	2.6		< 0.10	0.56	< 0.10	
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.97		< 0.10	0.37	< 0.10	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	0.82	< 0.10	2.7		< 0.10	0.72	< 0.10	
Total Of 16 PAH's	U	2700	mg/kg	2.0	< 2.0	14	< 2.0	60		< 2.0	12	< 2.0	
Benzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Toluene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Ethylbenzene	U		µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
m & p-Xylene	U		µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
o-Xylene	U		µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Phenols	U	2920	mg/kg	0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30		< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	

Aromatic TPH >C12-C16

Aromatic TPH >C16-C21

U

U

2680

mg/kg

2680 mg/kg

1.0

1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

Results - Soil

20-07191 981280 TP15 SOIL 1.50 03-Mar-2020

> 8.7 7.5 < 0.40 < 0.010 < 0.50 < 0.50 < 5.0 0.84 0.026 50 < 0.10 14 23 0.12 22 56 0.40 39 < 0.50 1.6 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 5.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd		Che	mtest J	ob No.:	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	
Quotation No.:	(Chemte	est Sam	ple ID.:	981268	981270	981271	981272	981274	981276	981277	981279	
		Sa	ample L	ocation:	TP09	TP12	TP10	TP10	TP11	TP13	TP14	TP15	ſ
			Sampl	e Type:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	
			Top De	pth (m):	0.75	1.00	0.05	0.80	1.50	0.50	0.10	0.10	
			Date Sa	ampled:	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	
				tos Lab:			DURHAM					DURHAM	
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units										
АСМ Туре	U	2192		N/A			-						L
Asbestos Identification	U	2192	%	0.001			No Asbestos Detected					No Asbestos Detected	
ACM Detection Stage	U	2192		N/A			-					-	Γ
Moisture	Ν	2030	%	0.020	20	8.7		10	10	14	10	11	
рН	U	2010		4.0	8.5	8.0		7.3	8.2	7.2	6.2	6.5	
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	U	2120	mg/kg	0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40		< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	U	2120	g/l	0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010		< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	Ĺ
Cyanide (Free)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Cyanide (Total)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
Thiocyanate	U	2300	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	Ν	2325	mg/kg	0.50	1.5	1.1		0.88	0.91	2.6	1.1	1.1	
Sulphate (Total)	U	2430	%	0.010	0.074	< 0.010		0.022	0.025	0.070	0.073	0.073	L
Arsenic	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	36	51		130	71	43	53	47	
Cadmium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.17	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.28	< 0.10	0.13	L
Chromium	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	14	13		13	11	17	17	17	L
Copper	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	24	25		12	12	39	32	36	L
Mercury	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.24	0.17		0.20	< 0.10	0.44	0.33	0.37	L
Nickel	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	25	33		25	19	23	28	25	L
Lead	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	78	50		72	44	240	120	120	L
Selenium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.20	0.49	0.21		0.26	0.34	0.89	0.93	0.73	L
Zinc	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	99	61		53	34	92	62	72	L
Chromium (Hexavalent)	N	2490	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	L
Organic Matter	U	2625	%	0.40	11	1.4		1.6	2.4	6.0	4.7	4.7	L
Aliphatic TPH >C5-C6	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C6-C8	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C35-C44	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Total Aliphatic Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	L
Aromatic TPH >C5-C7	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aromatic TPH >C7-C8	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aromatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aromatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	L
A serve atta TDLL, C40 C4C			· · · · · // · · · ·										4

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

6.4

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

< 1.0

Chemtest The right chemistry to deliver results

Results - Soil

Project: 20-0105 St. Kevin's Asylum, Co	<u>ork</u>												
Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd		Cher	ntest Jo	b No.:	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191
Quotation No.:	(st Sam		981268	981270	981271	981272	981274	981276	981277	981279	981280
		Sample Location:		TP09	TP12	TP10	TP10	TP11	TP13	TP14	TP15	TP15	
			Sample	e Type:	SOIL								
			Top Dep	, ,	0.75	1.00	0.05	0.80	1.50	0.50	0.10	0.10	1.50
			Date Sa	-	02-Mar-2020	02-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020						
			Asbest				DURHAM					DURHAM	
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD									
Aromatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C35-C44	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	6.4	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	10.0	< 10	< 10		< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Naphthalene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Fluorene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Phenanthrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	0.30	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.28	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	0.78	0.56		< 0.10	0.47	1.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	0.80	0.78		< 0.10	0.64	1.2	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[a]anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.50	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Chrysene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.46	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.65	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.38	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[a]pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.75	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.49	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	0.55	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Total Of 16 PAH's	U	2700	mg/kg	2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0	< 2.0	6.4	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
Benzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m & p-Xylene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-Xylene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Phenols	U	2920	mg/kg	0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30		< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30

Results - Soil

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd			ntest Jo		20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191
Quotation No.:	(Chemte	st Sam	ple ID.:	981281	981283	981285	981288	981289
		Sa	ample Lo		TP16	TP17	TP18	TP19	TP20
			Sampl	e Type:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			Top Dep		0.50	0.20	0.50	0.50	0.20
			Date Sa		03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020
			Asbest	os Lab:			DURHAM		DURHAM
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD					
АСМ Туре	U	2192		N/A			-		-
Asbestos Identification	U	2192	%	0.001			No Asbestos Detected		No Asbesto Detected
ACM Detection Stage	U	2192		N/A			-		-
Moisture	N	2030	%	0.020	13	15	13	13	15
рН	U	2010		4.0	8.0	7.7	7.3	7.5	5.8
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	U	2120	mg/kg	0.40	0.58	0.62	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	U	2120	g/l	0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010
Cyanide (Free)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
Cyanide (Total)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.60
Thiocyanate	U	2300	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	N	2325	mg/kg	0.50	1.7	2.2	2.0	0.90	1.2
Sulphate (Total)	U	2430	%	0.010	0.10	0.20	0.088	0.032	0.087
Arsenic	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	46	76	38	62	28
Cadmium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.26	0.50	0.59	< 0.10	0.12
Chromium	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	19	24	14	17	19
Copper	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	48	83	110	19	25
Mercury	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	0.66	1.5	0.51	0.19	0.24
Nickel	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	31	38	24	22	25
Lead	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	170	300	220	83	100
Selenium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.20	0.72	1.3	0.77	0.53	0.71
Zinc	U	2450	mg/kg	0.50	96	180	120	55	74
Chromium (Hexavalent)	N	2490	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
Organic Matter	U	2625	%	0.40	8.1	11	9.3	1.9	5.3
Aliphatic TPH >C5-C6	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C6-C8	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C35-C44	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Aliphatic Hydrocarbons	Ν	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Aromatic TPH >C5-C7	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C7-C8	Ν	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C8-C10	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C10-C12	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C12-C16	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C16-C21	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0

Results - Soil

Client: Causeway Geotech Ltd		Che	mtest Jo	ob No.:	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191	20-07191
Quotation No.:	(Chemte	est Sam	ple ID.:	981281	981283	981285	981288	981289
		Sa	ample Lo		TP16	TP17	TP18	TP19	TP20
			Sampl	е Туре:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			Top Dep		0.50	0.20	0.50	0.50	0.20
			Date Sa	ampled:	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020	03-Mar-2020
			Asbest	os Lab:			DURHAM		DURHAM
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD					
Aromatic TPH >C21-C35	U	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C35-C44	N	2680	mg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	N	2680	mg/kg	10.0	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Naphthalene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Fluorene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Phenanthrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	2.0	< 0.10
Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.75	< 0.10
Fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.2	0.41	6.7	0.81
Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	1.1	0.50	7.1	0.81
Benzo[a]anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	3.2	< 0.10
Chrysene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	3.5	< 0.10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	4.5	< 0.10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	1.9	< 0.10
Benzo[a]pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	3.7	< 0.10
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	2.5	< 0.10
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.80	< 0.10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	2.6	< 0.10
Total Of 16 PAH's	U	2700	mg/kg	2.0	< 2.0	2.3	< 2.0	39	< 2.0
Benzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m & p-Xylene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-Xylene	U	2760	µg/kg	1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Phenols	U	2920	mg/kg	0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30

The right chemistry to deliver results

Report Information

Key

- U UKAS accredited
- M MCERTS and UKAS accredited
- N Unaccredited
- S This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is accredited for this analysis
- SN This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is not accredited for this analysis
- T This analysis has been subcontracted to an unaccredited laboratory
- I/S Insufficient Sample
- U/S Unsuitable Sample
- N/E not evaluated
- < "less than"
- > "greater than"

Comments or interpretations are beyond the scope of UKAS accreditation The results relate only to the items tested Uncertainty of measurement for the determinands tested are available upon request None of the results in this report have been recovery corrected All results are expressed on a dry weight basis The following tests were analysed on samples as received and the results subsequently corrected to a dry weight basis TPH, BTEX, VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs, Phenols For all other tests the samples were dried at < 37°C prior to analysis All Asbestos testing is performed at the indicated laboratory Issue numbers are sequential starting with 1 all subsequent reports are incremented by 1

Sample Deviation Codes

- A Date of sampling not supplied
- B Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to extraction)
- C Sample not received in appropriate containers
- D Broken Container
- E Insufficient Sample (Applies to LOI in Trommel Fines Only)

Sample Retention and Disposal

All soil samples will be retained for a period of 45 days from the date of receipt All water samples will be retained for 14 days from the date of receipt Charges may apply to extended sample storage

If you require extended retention of samples, please email your requirements to:

customerservices@chemtest.com

Site:	St. Kevins's Cork
Project No.:	20-0105
Date:	08/06/2020
Weather:	Dry, warm

BH01	Gas readings						
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)		
30	0	0.8	18.8	0	0		
60	0	0.8	18.8	0	0		
90	0	0.8	18.8	0	0		
120	0	0.8	18.8	0	0		
150	0	0.8	18.7	0	0		
180	0	0.8	18.7	0	0		
240	0	0.7	18.7	0	1		
300	0	0.7	18.7	0	1		

BH08	Gas readings						
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)		
30	0	0.2	20.7	0	0		
60	0	0.4	20.4	0	0		
90	0	0.7	19.9	0	0		
120	0	0.9	19.5	0	0		
150	0	1	19.4	0	0		
180	0	1	19.3	0	0		
240	0	0.9	19.3	0	0		
300	0	0.9	19.3	0	0		

BH09		Gas readings						
Time (sec)	CH4 (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)			
30	0	1.7	18	0	0			
60	0	1.7	18	0	0			
90	0	1.7	18	0	0			
120	0	1.7	18	0	0			
150	0	1.7	18	0	0			
180	0	1.7	18	0	0			
240	0	1.7	18.1	0	0			
300	0	1.7	18.2	0	0			

Equipment:	uipment: Geotechnical Instruments GA5000					
Ambient Conditions	Barometric Pressure	CH₄ (%)	C0 ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H₂S (ppm)
Before:	996	0	0.1	20.9	0	0
After:						

Flow rates						
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)					
30	0.4					
60	0.5					
90	0.5					
120	0.5					
150	0.5					
180	0.5					
240	0.5					
300	0.5					

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	5.3
Depth to bottom of BH	5.5
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Flow rates							
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)						
30	0.3						
60	0.4						
90	0.4						
120	0.4						
150	0.4						
180	0.4						
240	0.4						
300	0.4						

Flow rates			
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)		
30	0.4		
60	0.5		
90	0.5		
120	0.5		
150	0.5		
180	0.5		
240	0.4		
300	0.5		

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.51
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.55
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Site:	St. Kevins's Cork
Project No.:	20-0105
Date:	15/06/2020
Weather:	Wet, humid

BH01	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	0	20.7	0	0
60	0	0	20.7	0	0
90	0	0	20.7	0	0
120	0	0	20.7	0	0
150	0	0	20.7	0	0
180	0	0	20.6	0	0
240	0	0	20.6	0	0
300	0	0.1	20.5	0	0

BH08	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0.1	0.4	19.9	0	0
60	0	0.5	19.8	0	0
90	0	0.6	19.6	0	0
120	0	0.6	19.6	0	0
150	0	0.7	19.6	0	0
180	0	0.7	19.6	0	0
240	0	0.7	19.6	0	0
300	0	0.7	19.6	0	0

BH09	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH ₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0.1	2.1	17	0	0
60	0	2.1	17	0	0
90	0	2	17.1	0	0
120	0	2	17.1	0	0
150	0	2	17.2	0	0
180	0	2	17.1	0	0
240	0	1.9	17.1	0	0
300	0	2	17.1	0	0

Equipment:		Geotechnical Instruments GA5000				
Ambient Conditions	Barometric Pressure	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H₂S (ppm)
Before:	998	0	0.1	20.9	0.1	0
After:						

Groundwater monitoring

Flow rates			
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)		
30	0.4		
60	0.4		
90	0.4		
120	0.4		
150	0.4		
180	0.4		
240	0.4		
300	0.4		

30 60 90

120 150

180

240

300

0.4 0.4

0.4

0.4

0.4

0.4

150	0.4	
180	0.4	
240	0.4	
300	0.4	
Flow	rates	Groundwater
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)	Groundwater
30	0.3	Depth to top of
		Depth to bott

Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	5.5
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

mbgl

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.51
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.55
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Flow rates			
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)		
30	0.3		
60	0.4		
90	0.4		
120	0.4		
150	0.4		
180	0.4		
240	0.4		
300	0.4		

Site:	St. Kevins's Cork
Project No.:	20-0105
Date:	22/06/2020
Weather:	Wet

BH01	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH4 (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	1	18.8	0	0
60	0	1.3	17.9	0	0
90	0	1.4	17.7	0	0
120	0	1.3	17.8	0	0
150	0	1.2	18	0	0
180	0	1.3	17.8	0	0
240	0	1.3	17.7	0	0
300	0	1.3	17.6	0	0

BH08	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0.1	0.5	19.9	0	0
60	0	0.6	19.9	0	0
90	0	0.7	19.9	0	0
120	0	0.7	19.9	0	0
150	0	0.7	19.8	0	0
180	0	0.7	19.8	0	0
240	0	0.7	19.8	0	0
300	0	0.7	19.8	0	0

BH09	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	0.7	20	0	0
60	0	1.6	19.2	0	0
90	0	1.8	19	0	0
120	0	1.8	19	0	0
150	0	1.8	19	0	0
180	0	1.8	19	0	0
240	0	1.8	19	0	0
300	0	1.8	19	0	0

Equipment:		Geotechnical Instruments GA5000				
Ambient Conditions	Barometric Pressure	CH ₄ (%) CO ₂ (%) O ₂ (%) CO (ppm) H ₂ S (ppr			H ₂ S (ppm)	
Before:	1010	0	0.1	21.1	0	0
After:						

Flow rates			
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)		
30	0.4		
60	0.4		
90	0.4		
120	0.4		
150	0.4		
180	0.4		
240	0.4		
300	0.4		

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	5.5
Sample collected (Y/N)	N
Sample depth	N/A

Flow rates				
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)			
30	0.4			
60	0.4			
90	0.4			
120	0.4			
150	0.4			
180	0.4			
240	0.4			
300	0.4			

Flow rates			
Time (sec) Flow (I/h			
30	0.4		
60	0.4		
90	0.4		
120	0.5		
150	0.5		
180	0.5		
240	0.5		
300	0.5		

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.51
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.55
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Site:	St. Kevins's Cork
Project No.:	20-0105
Date:	29/06/2020
Weather:	Dry, windy

BH01	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	1.2	18.9	1	0
60	0	1.2	18.2	1	0
90	0	1.2	18.1	0	0
120	0	1.1	18.1	0	0
150	0	1.2	18.1	0	0
180	0	1.2	18.1	0	0
240	0	1.1.	18	0	0
300	0	1.1	18	0	0

BH08	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	0.4	19.8	1	0
60	0	0.4	19.8	0	0
90	0	0.5	19.8	0	0
120	0	0.5	19.7	0	0
150	0	0.5	19.7	0	0
180	0	0.5	19.8	0	0
240	0	0.5	19.8	0	0
300	0	0.5	19.8	0	0

BH09	Gas readings				
Time (sec)	CH₄ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	0 ₂ (%)	CO (ppm)	H ₂ S (ppm)
30	0	1.9	17.8	0	0
60	0	1.9	17.7	0	0
90	0	1.9	17.6	0	0
120	0	1.8	17.5	0	0
150	0	1.8	17.5	0	0
180	0	1.8	17.5	0	0
240	0	1.8	17.5	0	0
300	0	1.8	17.5	0	0

Equipment:		Geotechnical Instruments GA5000				
Ambient Conditions	Barometric Pressure	CH ₄ (%) CO ₂ (%) O ₂ (%) CO (ppm) H ₂ S (ppm				H ₂ S (ppm)
Before:	1013	0	0.1	19.8	0	0
After:						

Flow rates			
Time (sec) Flow (I/h)			
30	0.3		
60	0.4		
90	0.4		
120	0.4		
150	0.4		
180	0.4		
240	0.4		
300	0.4		

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	5.5
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Flow rates			
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)		
30	0.5		
60	0.4		
90	0.5		
120	0.4		
150	0.4		
180	0.4		
240	0.4		
300	0.4		

Flow rates			
Time (sec)	Flow (l/h)		
30	0.4		
60	0.4		
90	0.4		
120	0.4		
150	0.4		
180	0.5		
240	0.5		
300	0.5		

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.51
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A

Groundwater monitoring	mbgl
Depth to top of water	Dry
Depth to bottom of BH	2.55
Sample collected (Y/N)	Ν
Sample depth	N/A



Appendix E

Generic Quantitative Analysis

M01831-07 St. Kevins Asylum, Cork Generic Assessment Screening; soils

Generic Assessment Screening; soils				Generic Assess	ment Criter	ia			SI Location	and Sa	mple D	epth (m	bgl)							
		Residential	WITH homegrown p			bra	C4	SL's	TP01	TP02	TP03	TP04	TP06	TP06	TP07	TP08	TP09	TP09	TP12	TP10
Determinand	Units	SOM 1%	SOM 2.5%	SOM 6%	Child	Adult	With	Without	0.40	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.20	0.40	0.65	0.50	0.10	0.75	1.00	0.05
Asbestos Identification	%								No Asbestos				No Asbestos				No Asbestos			No Asbestos
Aspestos identification	76								Detected				Detected				Detected			Detected
													Bottoottou				Deteoted			L
Moisture	%								6.7	32	8.6	15		8.2	13	8.3		20	8.7	L
pH									8.1	5.8	7.1	6.0		8.9	6.5	7.4		8.5	8.0	
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	mg/kg	-	-	290					< 0.40	1.0	< 0.40	< 0.40		< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40		< 0.40	< 0.40	1
										<	<	<			<	<		<	<	
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	g/l								< 0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010		0.020	0.010			0.010		1
Questide (Free)									. 0.50	0.50	. 0.50	. 0.50		. 0. 50	. 0.50	. 0.50		. 0.50	. 0.50	
Cyanide (Free)	mg/kg		24		24	2100			< 0.50	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	1
Cyanide (Total)	mg/kg								< 0.50	1.6	9.5	0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	1
			34																	
Thiocyanate	mg/kg		34						< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	l
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	mg/kg								1.7	2.1	1.9	0.99		1.1	1.3	1.0		1.5	1.1	l
Sulphate (Total)	%								0.023	0.16	0.041	0.070		0.067	0.11	0.016		0.074	< 0.010	1
Arsenic	mg/kg	-	-	37	80	7000	37	40	47	25	41	25		38	58	76		36	51	
							3,	1										1		
Cadmium	mg/kg	-	-	11	140*	12000	22	150	0.15	0.15	< 0.10	< 0.10		0.11	0.33	< 0.10		0.17	< 0.10	
Chromium	mg/kg	-	-	910					16	16	19	14		18	14	18		14	13	
Copper	mg/kg	-	-	2400					27	40	38	32		17	30	29		24	25	
Mercury	mg/kg	_	-	40					0.10	0.52	0.19	0.36		0.11	0.41	0.19		0.24	0.17	1
																				l
Nickel	mg/kg	-	-	180					30	24	36	18		30	16	37		25	33	l
Lead	mg/kg	-	-	-			200	310	80	140	59	130		49	140	57		78	50	
Selenium	mg/kg	-	-	250					0.21	0.76	0.36	0.75		0.29	0.64	0.35		0.49	0.21	l
Zinc	mg/kg	-	-	3700					73	89	62	42		66	81	73		99	61	l
Chromium (Hexavalent)	mg/kg	-	-	6			21	21	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	1
Organic Matter	%	-	-	-					0.97	15	2.6	8.3		0.79	8.5	1.4		11	1.4	
Aliphatic TPH >C5-C6	mg/kg	42	78	160					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	-	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C6-C8	mg/kg	100	230	530					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C8-C10	mg/kg	27	65	150					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C10-C12	mg/kg	130 (48)vap	330(118)vap	760(283)vap					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C12-C16	mg/kg	1100(24)vap	2400(59)sol	4300(142)					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0		_		< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C16-C21	mg/kg	65000(8.48)f,sol	92000(21)f,sol	110000f					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0		< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C21-C35	mg/kg	65000(8.48)f,sol	92000(21)f,sol	110000f					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	_	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	L
Aliphatic TPH >C35-C44	mg/kg	65000(8.48)f,sol	92000(21)f,sol	110000f					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0				< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Aliphatic Hydrocarbons	mg/kg	-	-	-					< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		< 5.0	< 5.0	l
Aromatic TPH >C5-C7	mg/kg	70	140	300					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0				< 1.0	< 1.0	l
Aromatic TPH >C7-C8 Aromatic TPH >C8-C10	mg/kg mg/kg	130 34	290 83	660 190					< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	-	< 1.0 < 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C8-C10 Aromatic TPH >C10-C12	mg/kg	34 74	180	380		ł		1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0		< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	<u> </u>
Aromatic TPH >C12-C16	mg/kg	140	330	660					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	ł
Aromatic TPH >C16-C21	mg/kg	260f	540f	930f		l			< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	_			< 1.0	< 1.0	<u> </u>
Aromatic TPH >C21-C35	mg/kg	1100f	1500f	1700f			1	1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		240	< 1.0			< 1.0	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH >C35-C44	mg/kg	1100f	1500f	1700f		i –			< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0		_		< 1.0	< 1.0	l
Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons	mg/kg	-	-	-					< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0		240	< 5.0	< 5.0	İ	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	mg/kg	-	-	-					< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10		240	< 10	< 10		< 10	< 10	
Naphthalene	mg/kg	2.3f	5.6f	13f					< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.21		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	170	420	920					< 0.10	< 0.10		0.59		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	210	510	1100					< 0.10	< 0.10		0.17			< 0.10				< 0.10	
Fluorene	mg/kg	170	400	860					< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.58		< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	L
	1	1	1			1	1	1	1											

				Generic Assess	ment Criteri	ia														
			WITH homegrown p					C4SL's	TP01	TP02		TP04	TP06			TP08	TP09	TP09		TP10
Determinand	Units	SOM 1%	SOM 2.5%	SOM 6%					0.40	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.20	0.40	0.65	0.50	0.10	0.75	1.00	0.05
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	95	220	440					< 0.10	1.0	< 0.10	6.6		< 0.10	1.3	< 0.10		0.30	< 0.10	
Anthracene	mg/kg	2400	5400	11000					< 0.10	0.12	< 0.10	1.7		< 0.10	0.47	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	280	560	890					< 0.10	3.1	< 0.10	11		< 0.10	1.9	< 0.10		0.78	0.56	
Pyrene	mg/kg	620	1200	2000					< 0.10	2.6	< 0.10	11		< 0.10	1.9	< 0.10		0.80	0.78	
Benzo[a]anthracene	mg/kg	7.2	11	13					< 0.10	0.93	< 0.10	4.8		< 0.10	0.91	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Chrysene	mg/kg	15	22	27					< 0.10	1.1	< 0.10	5.2		< 0.10	1.3	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	mg/kg	2.6	3.3	3.7					< 0.10	1.4	< 0.10	5.2		< 0.10	0.78	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	mg/kg	77	93	100					< 0.10	0.86	< 0.10	2.3		< 0.10	0.72	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Benzo[a]pyrene	mg/kg	2.2	2.7	3			5	5.3	< 0.10	1.3	< 0.10	4.8		< 0.10	0.86	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	mg/kg	27	36	41					< 0.10	0.88	< 0.10	2.6		< 0.10	0.56	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	mg/kg	0.24	0.28	0.3					< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.97		< 0.10	0.37	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	mg/kg	320	340	350					< 0.10	0.82	< 0.10	2.7		< 0.10	0.72	< 0.10		< 0.10	< 0.10	
Total Of 16 PAH's	mg/kg	-	-	-					< 2.0	14	< 2.0	60		< 2.0	12	< 2.0		< 2.0	< 2.0	
Benzene	µg/kg	87	170	370	47	4100			< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	
Toluene	µg/kg	130000	290000	660000					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	
Ethylbenzene	µg/kg	47000	110000	260000					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	
m & p-Xylene	µg/kg	59000	140000	320000					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	
o-Xylene	µg/kg	60000	140000	330000					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Phenols	mg/kg	280	550	1100	2000	175000			< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30		< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30		< 0.30	< 0.30	

M01831-07 St. Kevins Asylum, Cork

Asbestos Identification Moisture PH	Units %	Residential SOM 1%	WITH homegrown p SOM 2.5%	Generic Assess roduce SOM 6%		bra Adult	C4 With	SL's	TP10 0.80	TP11 1.50	TP13 0.50	TP14 0.10	TP15	TP15 1.50	TP16 0.50	TP17 0.20	TP18	TP19	TP20
Asbestos Identification Moisture PH	%				Child	Adult	With	14/iala aut	0.80	1 50	0.50	0.10	0.40	1 50	0.50	0.20	0.50	0.50	0.00
Moisture pH							with	Without		1.50	0.00	0.10	0.10	1.50	0.00	0.20	0.50	0.50	0.20
pH	%												No Asbestos Detected				No Asbestos Detected		No Asbestos Detected
p									10	10	14	10	11	8.7	13	15	13	13	15
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)									7.3	8.2	7.2	6.2	6.5	7.5	8.0	7.7	7.3	7.5	5.8
	mg/kg	-	-	290					< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	0.58	0.62	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	g/l								< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010
Cyanide (Free)	mg/kg		24		24	2100			< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
	mg/kg		34						< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
	mg/kg		34						< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	mg/kg								0.88	0.91	2.6	1.1	1.1	0.84	1.7	2.2	2.0	0.90	1.2
Sulphate (Total)	%								0.022	0.025	0.070	0.073	0.073	0.026	0.10	0.20	0.088	0.032	0.087
Arsenic	mg/kg	-	-	37	80	7000	37	40	130	71	43	53	47	50	46	76	38	62	28
Cadmium	mg/kg	-	-	11	140*	12000	22	150	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.28	< 0.10	0.13	< 0.10	0.26	0.50	0.59	< 0.10	0.12
	mg/kg	-	-	910					13	11	17	17	17	14	19	24	14	17	19
Copper	mg/kg	-	-	2400					12	12	39	32	36	23	48	83	110	19	25
Mercury	mg/kg	-	-	40					0.20	< 0.10	0.44	0.33	0.37	0.12	0.66	1.5	0.51	0.19	0.24
Nickel	mg/kg	-	-	180					25	19	23	28	25	22	31	38	24	22	25
Lead	mg/kg	-	-	-			200	310	72	44	240	120	120	56	170	300	220	83	100
Selenium	mg/kg	-	-	250					0.26	0.34	0.89	0.93	0.73	0.40	0.72	1.3	0.77	0.53	0.71
Zinc	mg/kg	-	-	3700					53	34	92	62	72	39	96	180	120	55	74
Chromium (Hexavalent)	mg/kg	-	-	6			21	21	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
Organic Matter	%	-	-	-					1.6	2.4	6.0	4.7	4.7	1.6	8.1	11	9.3	1.9	5.3
	mg/kg	42	78	160					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	100	230	530					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	27	65	150					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	130 (48)vap	330(118)vap	760(283)vap					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg mg/kg	1100(24)vap 65000(8.48)f,sol	2400(59)sol 92000(21)f,sol	4300(142) 110000f					< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0
	mg/kg	65000(8.48)f,sol	92000(21)f,sol	110000f					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	65000(8.48)f,sol	92000(21)f,sol	110000f					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	-	-	-					< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
	mg/kg	70	140	300					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	130	290	660					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	34	83	190					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C10-C12	mg/kg	74	180	380					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	140	330	660					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	260f	540f	930f					< 1.0	< 1.0	6.4	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	1100f	1500f	1700f					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	1100f	1500f	1700f					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	-	-	-					< 5.0	< 5.0	6.4	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
· ·	mg/kg mg/kg	- 2.3f	- 5.6f	- 13f					< 10	< 10 < 0.10	< 10 < 0.10	< 10 < 0.10	< 10 < 0.10	< 10 < 0.10	< 10 < 0.10	< 10 < 0.10	< 10 < 0.10	< 10 < 0.10	< 10 < 0.10
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	170	420	920					< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	210	510	1100					< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Fluorene	mg/kg	170	400	860					< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10

		SI Location and S	Sample Depth (m bgl)																
			WITH homegrown p					C4SL's	TP10		TP13	TP14	TP15	TP15	TP16		TP18	TP19	TP20
		SOM 1%	SOM 2.5%	SOM 6%					0.80	1.50	0.50	0.10	0.10	1.50	0.50	0.20	0.50	0.50	0.20
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	95	220	440					< 0.10	< 0.10	0.28	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	2.0	< 0.10
Anthracene	mg/kg	2400	5400	11000					< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.75	< 0.10
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	280	560	890					< 0.10	0.47	1.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	1.2	0.41	6.7	0.81
Pyrene	mg/kg	620	1200	2000					< 0.10	0.64	1.2	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	1.1	0.50	7.1	0.81
Benzo[a]anthracene	mg/kg	7.2	11	13					< 0.10	< 0.10	0.50	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	3.2	< 0.10
Chrysene	mg/kg	15	22	27					< 0.10	< 0.10	0.46	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	3.5	< 0.10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	mg/kg	2.6	3.3	3.7					< 0.10	< 0.10	0.65	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	4.5	< 0.10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	mg/kg	77	93	100					< 0.10	< 0.10	0.38	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	1.9	< 0.10
Benzo[a]pyrene	mg/kg	2.2	2.7	3			5	5.3	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.75	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	3.7	< 0.10
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	mg/kg	27	36	41					< 0.10	< 0.10	0.49	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	2.5	< 0.10
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	mg/kg	0.24	0.28	0.3					< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.80	< 0.10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	mg/kg	320	340	350					< 0.10	< 0.10	0.55	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	2.6	< 0.10
Total Of 16 PAH's	mg/kg	-	-	-					< 2.0	< 2.0	6.4	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	2.3	< 2.0	39	< 2.0
Benzene	µg/kg	87	170	370	47	4100			< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	µg/kg	130000	290000	660000					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	µg/kg	47000	110000	260000					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m & p-Xylene	µg/kg	59000	140000	320000					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-Xylene	µg/kg	60000	140000	330000					< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Phenols	mg/kg	280	550	1100	2000	175000			< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30



Summary of Gas Monitoring Data and Gas Screening Values M01831-07 St Kevins Asylum, Cork Page 1 of 1

ID	Date	Pressure before monitoring (mbar)	Pressure after monitoring (mbar)	Peak Flow (I/hr)	Steady State Flow (I/hr)	CH4 Peak Conc (%)	CO2 Steady State Conc (%)	Depth to Water (m)	Borehole Depth (m)	GSV CH4 (l/hr)	GSV CO2 (l/hr)	Modified Wilson & Card Classification	Risk Classification
	08/06/2020	996		0.5	0.5	0	0.7	5.30	5.50				
BH01	15/06/2020	998		0.4	0.4	0	0.1	DRY	5.50	_		_	_
DITOI	22/06/2020	1010		0.4	0.4	0	1.3	DRY	5.50				
	29/06/2020	1013		0.4	0.4	0	1.1	DRY	5.50				
Maxim	um flow rate a	nd concentration	IS:	0.5	0.5	0	1.3		-	0	0.0065	CS1	VERY LOW

ID	Date	Pressure before monitoring (mbar)	Pressure after monitoring (mbar)	Peak Flow (I/hr)	Steady State Flow (I/hr)	CH4 Peak Conc (%)	CO2 Steady State Conc (%)	Depth to Water (m)	Borehole Depth (m)	GSV CH4 (l/hr)	GSV CO2 (l/hr)	Modified Wilson & Card Classification	Risk Classification
	08/06/2020	996		0.4	0.4	0	0.9	DRY	2.51				
BH08	15/06/2020	998		0.4	0.4	0.1	0.7	DRY	2.51				
впое	22/06/2020	1010		0.4	0.4	0.1	0.7	DRY	2.51	-	-	-	-
	29/06/2020	1013		0.4	0.4	0	0.5	DRY	2.51				
Maxim	um flow rate a	nd concentration	s:	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.9		-	0.0004	0.0036	CS1	VERY LOW

ID	Date	Pressure before monitoring (mbar)	Pressure after monitoring (mbar)	Peak Flow (I/hr)	Steady State Flow (I/hr)	CH4 Peak Conc (%)	CO2 Steady State Conc (%)	Depth to Water (m)	Borehole Depth (m)	GSV CH4 (l/hr)	GSV CO2 (l/hr)	Modified Wilson & Card Classification	Risk Classification
	08/06/2020	996		0.5	0.5	0	1.7	DRY	2.55				
BH09	15/06/2020	998		0.4	0.4	0.1	2	DRY	2.55				
впоэ	22/06/2020	1010		0.5	0.5	0	1.8	DRY	2.55	-	-	-	-
	29/06/2020	1013		0.5	0.5	0	1.8	DRY	2.55				
Maxim	um flow rate a	nd concentration	IS:	0.5	0.5	0.1	2		-	0.0005	0.01	CS1	VERY LOW



APPENDIX K SPT HAMMER ENERGY MEASUREMENT REPORT







LOLER REPORT THOROUGH EXAMINATION OF LIFTING EQUIPMENT

This report complies with the requirements of the Lifting Operations and Lifting Equipment Regulations 1998

Date of Examination: 03/01/20	20 Date of Report:	03/01/2020	Report No:	387602
Name & address of employer for whom the thorough e CAUSEWAY GEOTECH LTD 8 DRUMAHISKEY ROAD BALLYMONEY CO ANTRIM	xamination was made:	Address of premises at which the CAUSEWAY GEOTE 8 DRUMAHISKEY RC BALLYMONEY CO ANTRIM	CH LTD	
Description and identification of	the equipment:	Safe working load(s)	Date of manufacture	Date of last thorough examination
SPT HAMMER LIFTING POINT		100 KGS	Unknown	19/06/19
10.110 - 200			UNKNOWN	19/06/19
Is this the first examination after installation		Was the examination carried	out:	
or after assembly at a new site or location?	No	within an interval of 6 months	\$?	No
If the answer to the above question is YES.		within an interval of 12 month	ns?	Yes
has the equipment been installed correctly?		In accordance with an exami	nation scheme?	No
		after the occurence of excep	tional circumstances?	No
Identification of any part found to have a defect w	hich is or could become a dan	ger to persons and a descripti	on of the defect: (If none sta	te NONE)
	None			
Is the above a defect which is immediate danger t	to persons? Note this is a repo	ortable defect	1	NO
Is the above a defect which is immediate danger	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	NO	
Particulars of any repair, renewal or alteration req	·	ntified above:		
Particulars of any tests carried out as part of the	None examination: (If none state NO	NE)		
	None			
IS THIS EQUIPMENT SAFE TO	OPERATE?		YES	
Company Approved Qualified Examiner	Name of person authe		carried out:	n examination must be
Daryl Haire	Signed:	Bour Low	\sim (03/07/2020
Name and address of employer of persons makin	g and authenticating this repo	rt:		
		M-NI Ltd		
		el Industrial Park		
	iviaydown, i	Derry, BT47 6SZ		
Form 17 Issue 1				





SPT Hammer Energy Test Report

.T5

22/02/2020

03/03/2020

.T5.spt

NPB

in accordance with BSEN ISO 22476-3:2005

Southern Testing	
Keeble House	
Stuart Way	
East Grinstead	
West Sussex	
RH19 4QA	

Instrumented Rod Data

Diameter d _r (mm):	5 4
Wall Thickness tr (mm):	6.0
Assumed Modulus E _a (GPa):	200
Accelerometer No.1:	6458
Accelerometer No.2:	96 07

SPT Hammer Information

Hammer Mass m (kg):	63.5
Falling Height h (mm):	76 0
SPT String Length L (m):	10.0

Comments / Location

BALLEYMONEY

3

n

0

2 2

SPT Hammer Ref:

Test Date:

Report Date:

Test Operator:

File Name:





Displacement

4

3

2

5

Time (ms)

6 7 8 9 10

Velocity



Calculations

Energy Ratio E _r (%):		76	
Measured Energy E _{meas}	(J):	360	
Theoretical Energy Etheor	(J):	473	
Area of Rod A (mm2):		905	

NPBurrens

Signed: Neil Burrows Title: Field Operations Manager

The recommended calibration interval is 12 months